

Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/earth/

Research Article

Turkish J Earth Sci (2018) 27: 384-404 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/yer-1802-13

Mineral records of the pyroxenites formed within harzburgites (Ulaş, Sivas, Turkey): implications on petrogenesis and tectonic setting*

Özgür BİLİCİ¹^{**}, Hasan KOLAYLI²

¹Department of Petroleum and Natural Gas Engineering, Faculty of Earth Science, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey ²Department of Geological Engineering, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey

Received: 12.02.2018	•	Accepted/Published Online: 17.07.2018	•	Final Version: 28.09.2018
----------------------	---	---------------------------------------	---	---------------------------

Abstract: In this article, the petrological processes of the clinopyroxenite dykes and host peridotites occurring in Ulas District (Sivas, mid-Anatolia, Turkey) are discussed. The new geochemical data from major minerals in the clinopyroxenite dykes and host harzburgites revealed differences to the supra-subduction zone (SSZ)-type pyroxenites and peridotites. In particular, the NiO content of olivines in the host harzburgites showed the signature of the mantle, whereas rare olivines of the clinopyroxenites had a NiO content and Fo number that were inferior to those of mantle peridotites. The high Mg-number of clinopyroxenes in the clinopyroxenites was likely associated with the partial remelting of the host harzburgites. Additionally, the rare earth element pattern of clinopyroxenes from the studied clinopyroxenites exhibited a similar pattern to those of the other SSZ or fore-arc clinopyroxenes. Additionally, the low Mgnumber and relatively high Cr-number of spinels in the clinopyroxenites showed similarity to the subduction-related origin. Based on textural and geochemical evidence, the harzburgites were interpreted as depleted mantle rock, which was modified by melt-peridotite interactions. Consequently, the pyroxenites likely occurred as a crystallizing or cumulative zone of the SSZ-type melt and the minerals were gained from partial melting of the harzburgites through the interaction with such magma.

Key words: Pyroxenite dyke, peridotite, subduction zone, partial melting, Sivas, Turkey

1. Introduction

Pyroxenites generally occur as veins or dykes in peridotites related to ophiolites and represent up to 5% of all ultramafic bodies (Pearson and Nowell, 2004; Downes, 2007; Van Acken et al., 2010). However, these subject pyroxenites have been found in relationship with the abyssal peridotites, which are extremely rare (Dantas et al., 2007; Van Acken et al., 2010). Despite the fact that the pyroxenites represent a very small portion of the upper mantle, essential information is provided within on the petrological and dynamic processes of the Earth. This includes crustal recycling and melt-rock interaction in order to interpret the different tectonic environments (Downes, 2007; Gonzaga et al., 2010; France et al., 2015). The origin of the pyroxenite is still debated, supporting an enormous scope of theories, which are often reciprocal. Previous studies proposed the following to clarify the

origin of the mantle pyroxenites: (1) metamorphic resolution of the peridotites (Dick and Sinton, 1979), (2) crystal precipitation of silicate magmas derived from the asthenosphere (Loubet and Allegre, 1982), (3) remnants of the subducted oceanic lithosphere (Allegre and Turcotte,

1986), and (4) high pressure crystal segregates of melting subducted oceanic crust (Pearson et al., 1993). Numerous studies examined the pyroxenite developments in the mantle, including the collaboration between peridotite and penetrating melt or the response amongst the peridotite and liquefied subducted lithosphere (Yaxley and Green, 1998; Garrido and Bodinier, 1999; Santos et al., 2002; Bodinier and Godard, 2003; Brooker et al., 2004; Berly et al., 2006; Downes, 2007; Van Acken et al., 2010; France et al., 2015).

The pyroxenite veins/dykes in the mantle peridotites were interpreted by crystallization products of the mantle melts, indicating partial melting of the host peridotites (Menzies and Allen, 1974; Buchl et al., 2004). However, the variety of origins suggest that each can be generated in different tectonic environments through various petrologic processes.

In this paper, the mineral geochemical characteristics of the Ulaş (Sivas, mid-Turkey) clinopyroxenite dykes and the host rocks are demonstrated. This provides the first operation of petrogenesis, which is compared with the typical supra-subduction zone (SSZ) and abyssal



This paper is part of the PhD thesis of the first author at Karadeniz Technical University

Correspondence: ozgurbilici@atauni.edu.tr **

pyroxenites, related to host peridotites. The objective of this study is to identify whether the pyroxenite occurred in an SSZ setting and to determine any differences to typical pyroxenites. Detailed mineral major and trace element data were obtained and interpreted on a series of numerical diagrams of melt-rock interaction processes in order to better understand the petrological mechanisms of origin.

2. Geological setting

In the Anatolian sector of the Alpine–Himalayan Orogenic Belt included in the study area, the ophiolitic rocks of Turkey are the ruins of the Tethyan ophiolites (MTA, 2002). These ophiolitic rocks are found in tectonic units of Turkey, from south to north, composed of Taurides, Anatolides, Pontides, and the Border Folds (Ketin, 1983; Okay and Tüysüz, 1999), as shown in Figure 1a.

The Sivas basin is a residual basin between the Tauride and Pontide platforms (Cater et al., 1991; Poisson et al., 1996) and is 60 km long and 30 km wide, formed over the course of the closure of the northern branch of the Neo-Tethys within the early Tertiary (Yılmaz and Yılmaz, 2006). The ophiolitic units, previously called the Divriği ophiolite (Yılmaz et al., 2001; Yılmaz and Yılmaz, 2004), bear ultramafics mostly of Cretaceous age transported in soils that are ubiquitous and stretch over hundreds of kilometers in Turkey, especially in the Sivas region (MTA, 2002), as shown in Figure 1b. This region is composed of autochthonous platform carbonates, ophiolitic mélange, metamorphic sole, ophiolitic rocks, volcano-sedimentary units, granitoid rocks, and sediments (Yılmaz et al., 2001; Yılmaz and Yılmaz, 2004; Parlak, 2016). The mantle tectonites of this ophiolite are composed of harzburgite containing dunitic lenses with chromite pods (Parlak, 2016). The widespread harzburgites were cut by pyroxenite and diabasic (partly gabbroic) dykes at different levels (Bilici, 2015; Parlak, 2016).

In the study area (southeastern Ulaş, Sivas), the dark red, partly altered dunites were preserved in narrow fields within the harzburgitic peridotites. The harzburgites are more widespread than other ultramafics, which are cut by thin, coarse-grained, and grayish green-black pyroxenitic dykes as well as less dioritic, diabasic dykes several meters thick. Observed pyroxenite dykes have been mapped locally, in the study area around the Yaycı Mountain, as shown in Figure 2 (Bilici, 2015).

3. Analytical methods

Representative minerals were selected from the harzburgite and clinopyroxenite samples. A series of thin sections of these lithologies were examined under a polarizing microscope to determine the common textural and mineralogical properties of the rock varieties. The major oxide analyses of olivine, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene, and chromian spinels were performed on polished thin sections at the Electron Microprobe Laboratory of the University of Maine (Orono, ME, USA). All element analyses were applied by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) using EDS and WDS detectors attached to a Cameca SX 100 scanning electron microscope. The accelerating voltage was 15 kV and the beam current was 3.3 nA with a 0.6- μ m beam diameter. The detection limits were ~0.01% and accuracy was better than 5%. Cationic ratios of elements were calculated on the basis of 6 oxygen atoms and 32 oxygen atoms assuming pyroxene and spinel stoichiometry, respectively.

On the other hand, the trace and rare earth element concentrations of clinopyroxenes were detected in situ for single mineral phases using the LA-ICP-MS method at the University of Houston (Houston, TX, USA). The system combines a 193-nm Ar-F Excimer laser ablation system and a quadrupole ICP-MS with collision and reaction cell in pulse counting mode. Laser ablation was applied at a constant point on the mineral surface at a fluence of 20 J cm⁻², a stroke repetition rate of 10 Hz, and a typical point diameter of $60-120 \mu m$.

4. Results

4.1. Field observations and petrography

The pyroxenites generally occur as dykes with varying sizes having relatively sharp contacts with the host harzburgitic peridotites (Figures 3a–3d). No lherzolites have been observed in the study area. Although the degree of serpentinization of the host peridotites is quite intensive, the pyroxenite dykes remain fresh and visually distinguishable, pyroxene-rich in composition (Figures 3a–3c). The variable thickness of these pyroxenite dykes ranges from about 50 cm–1 m to about 5–10 m in length. (Figure 3c). Dunite and chromitite bodies are locally observed near these pyroxenite dykes (Figure 3d).

The mineral paragenesis of the clinopyroxenites comprises coarse euhedral crystals of clinopyroxene and rare orthopyroxene, which define it as a cumulate texture. The intercumulus space is occupied by fine-grained mosaic olivine and rarely by spinel. Based on the modal analysis with point counting on the thin sections, the pyroxenite samples from various levels of the harzburgitic peridotite suite can be defined as clinopyroxenites or olivine clinopyroxenites.

The harzburgites show typical porphyroclastic texture with >80 vol. % olivine and orthopyroxene, and also <3 vol. % cpx and ~1–2 vol. % chromian spinels and serpentine minerals (Figures 4a and 4b). The major mineral assemblages of the clinopyroxenites are dominated by



Figure 1. (a) Distribution of ophiolite belts in Turkey and tectonic map of the northeastern Mediterranean region showing the major sutures and continental blocks (modified from MTA (2002) and Okay and Tüysüz (1999)), (b) Geological map of the Ulaş (Sivas) area (modified from Yılmaz et al. (1989)).



Figure 2. Detailed geological map of the study area (southeastern Ulaş, Sivas) (Bilici, 2015).



Figure 3. Field photographs showing the relationship between pyroxenite dykes and host peridotites (a–c). Pyroxenite dykes occur in variable thickness; (d) field view of the pyroxenite, harzburgite, and dunite with chromitite bodies.

clinopyroxene (>80 vol. %) with small amounts olivine– orthopyroxene (1–5 vol. %) and chromian spinel (~1 vol. %) and alteration minerals, such as serpentine minerals (Figures 4c–4f). Microscopic studies show that olivines in the studied clinopyroxenites are serpentinized and appear interstitially between clinopyroxenes (Figures 4c and 4d). The texture of these dykes is granular with large subhedral clinopyroxene crystals (Figures 4c–4f).

4.2. Mineral chemistry

4.2.1. Olivine

Results of the olivine analyses representing the clinopyroxenites and host harzburgite are given in Table 1. Olivines in the harzburgite samples show higher Fonumber than those in the clinopyroxenite samples and range from 90.34 to 91.82 and 88.20 to 88.83, respectively. Similarly, NiO (wt. %) contents of olivines are very low and show a decrease towards the clinopyroxenites from the harzburgites. The Fonumber and NiO contents of all olivine grains exhibit a positive correlation from the

clinopyroxenites to the harzburgites (Figure 5). The Fo-number vs. NiO diagram shows that olivines in the harzburgite plot mostly within the mantle olivine array and are comparable with those from the upper mantle peridotites. However, in the same diagram, the olivines in the clinopyroxenites have lower NiO contents (<0.2 wt. %) when compared with the other SSZ clinopyroxenites (Figure 5).

4.2.2. Orthopyroxene

Results of the major element analyses of orthopyroxenes are given in Table 2. The clinopyroxenite dykes contain very low modal orthopyroxene mineral abundance relative to the harzburgites. All of these orthopyroxenes are enstatite in composition in both rock types (Figure 6a). Although the Mg-number of orthopyroxenes in the clinopyroxenite ranges from 0.91 to 0.95, these values show a wider range in harzburgites (i.e. 0.89–0.94). The Al_2O_3 contents of orthopyroxene are low in both the harzburgites and the clinopyroxenites, ranging from 0.79 to 2.26 (wt. %) and from 1.24 to 2.44 (wt. %), respectively.



Figure 4. Photomicrographs from the harzburgite (a and b) and pyroxenite (c–f) of the study area (a, c, and e: crossed-nicol in polarized light; b, d, and f: plane polarized light; Abbreviations: spl: chromian spinel; ol: olivine; opx: orthopyroxene; cpx: clinopyroxene; spt: serpentine).

Overall, the harzburgites and the clinopyroxenites display similar distribution in the Mg-number vs. Al_2O_3 diagram and are comparable with other SSZ peridotites and clinopyroxenites (Figure 6b).

4.2.3. Clinopyroxene

All clinopyroxenes in both rock types have limited compositional variations (Table 2). Most of the analyzed clinopyroxenes are diopside in composition except for two samples, which are in augite composition in the clinopyroxenites. Generally, it can be said that the Mg-numbers of clinopyroxenes decrease towards the harzburgites from the clinopyroxenites. The Al_2O_3 contents of all clinopyroxenes are low concentrations and range between 0.61 and 2.45 (wt. %) in the harzburgites and between 0.01 and 5.88 (wt. %) in the clinopyroxenites. Similarly, the Cr_2O_3 contents of clinopyroxenes range from 0.23 to 1.21 (wt. %) and from 0.00 to 1.12 (wt. %) in the harzburgites and the clinopyroxenites, respectively. Based on the increasing Mg-number, overall, the Al_2O_3 and Cr_2O_3 contents of clinopyroxenes from the harzburgites to the clinopyroxenes (Figures 6c and 6d). In this regard,

Sample	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.
Rock type	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.
SiO ₂	41.16	41.82	41.16	41.44	41.03	41.27	41.09	41.31	41.15	41.71	41.23	41.54	41.67	41.14
TiO ₂	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Al ₂ O ₃	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
Cr_2O_3	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.30	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.07
FeO	8.93	8.87	8.97	9.05	8.69	8.03	8.47	8.88	8.81	9.04	8.78	7.74	9.19	9.29
MnO	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.09	0.05	0.14	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.16	0.12
MgO	49.61	48.64	49.37	48.73	49.41	50.08	49.69	48.67	49.25	48.84	48.89	48.76	48.50	48.72
CaO	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Na ₂ O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NiO	0.41	0.39	0.40	0.42	0.38	0.42	0.46	0.44	0.48	0.41	0.40	0.48	0.36	0.42
Total	100.27	99.95	100.07	99.86	99.68	100.19	99.78	99.47	99.90	100.22	99.55	98.64	99.89	99.76
Fo	90.83	90.72	90.75	90.56	91.02	91.75	91.27	90.72	90.88	90.59	90.85	91.82	90.39	90.34
Sample	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.	Ol.
Rock type	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.
SiO ₂	41.19	41.39	41.58	39.64	39.32	39.39	40.08	39.28	38.10	40.49	38.09	39.18	38.71	39.95
TiO ₂	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02
Al ₂ O ₃	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.01
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.12	0.01	0.05	0.12	0.08	0.01	0.09	0.04
FeO	8.81	8.92	8.52	10.52	10.84	10.53	10.99	10.44	10.78	10.20	10.76	10.45	10.71	10.54
MnO	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.18	0.05	0.14	0.26	0.20	0.21	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.16	0.15
MgO	49.57	48.69	48.82	46.24	47.11	46.76	46.05	46.35	46.36	45.49	46.05	45.27	45.16	45.24
CaO	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.01
Na ₂ O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02
NiO	0.42	0.44	0.48	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00
Total	100.22	99.62	99.55	96.66	97.44	96.97	97.53	96.34	95.57	96.46	95.17	95.08	94.91	95.94
Fo	90.93	90.68	91.08	88.68	88.57	88.78	88.20	88.79	88.46	88.83	88.41	88.54	88.26	88.44

Table 1. Representative major element analyses (in wt. %) of olivines from the Ulaş ultramafics (Hrz: harzburgite; Pyrx: pyroxenite; Ol: olivine).

all clinopyroxenes differ from residual abyssal peridotite clinopyroxenes (Seyler et al., 2003) except for two samples in augite composition (Figure 6e). Moreover, the Al_2O_3 and Cr_2O_3 contents of most clinopyroxenes in the clinopyroxenite show close distribution with secondary clinopyroxene (compared with Nozaka (2005), Seyler et al. (2007), and Wojtulek et al. (2016)). However, the harzburgitic clinopyroxenes exhibit modified characters (Figure 6e).

The rare earth element (REE) contents of clinopyroxenes in the clinopyroxenite samples have low concentrations (Table 3). The chondrite-normalized REE patterns of clinopyroxenes in the clinopyroxenite exhibit a flat-shape pattern from MREE to HREE and depletion in LREE (Figure 7).

4.2.4. Spinel

Analyzed chromian spinel compositions from the clinopyroxenites and host harzburgites are presented in Table 4. The variations in cationic ratios and oxides such as Mg-number and Cr-number along with the Fe³⁺-number and TiO₂ contents of the chromian spinels from the Ulaş ultramafic rocks are illustrated in Figures 8–11. In general, spinels show slightly higher Cr-number in the clinopyroxenites than that of the harzburgites, ranging between 0.57 and 0.65 and 0.46 and 0.65, respectively. In contrast, the Mg-number of chromian spinels decreases towards the clinopyroxenite (0.30–0.34) from the harzburgites (0.52–0.70). In the Mg-number vs. Cr-number diagram, chromian spinels in the harzburgites and the clinopyroxenites are clustered in different fields and

Sample	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.
Rock type	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.
SiO ₂	57.56	55.84	57.23	56.36	56.66	56.72	57.58	56.89	56.46	56.96	56.37	56.57	56.66	56.84
TiO ₂	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.06
Al ₂ O ₃	1.92	1.52	1.79	1.78	1.94	1.81	1.76	1.58	1.81	1.56	1.84	1.94	2.01	0.79
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.57	0.64	0.44	0.99	0.36	0.34	0.55	0.40	0.54	0.71	0.63	0.63	0.72	0.68
FeO	6.36	6.42	6.11	6.31	6.36	6.41	6.46	6.45	6.84	6.85	7.12	7.26	7.02	7.13
MnO	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.19	0.20	0.15
MgO	32.96	34.64	33.54	33.59	33.68	33.56	32.48	33.62	33.44	32.89	32.41	33.01	32.83	32.78
CaO	0.48	0.77	0.64	0.76	0.64	0.71	0.88	0.76	0.66	1.10	0.73	0.65	0.59	0.78
Na ₂ O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
K ₂ O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	100.02	100.03	99.95	100.01	99.82	99.74	99.89	99.94	99.91	100.29	99.27	100.25	100.08	99.21
Mg #	0.90	0.94	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89
Sample	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.	Opx.
Sample Rock type	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.	Opx. Hrz.
Sample Rock type SiO ₂	Opx. Hrz. 57.13	Opx. Hrz. 56.88	Opx. Hrz. 57.23	Opx. Hrz. 56.81	Opx. Hrz. 56.37	Opx. Hrz. 56.34	Opx. Hrz. 56.84	Opx. Hrz. 56.21	Opx. Hrz. 56.53	Opx. Hrz. 56.62	Opx. Hrz. 57.74	Opx. Hrz. 56.32	Opx. Hrz. 56.64	Opx. Hrz. 55.93
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al_2O_3 Cr_2O_3	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Cr ₂ O ₃ FeO	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79 6.65	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74 6.47	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68 6.02	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98 6.27	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74 6.43	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66 5.43	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57 5.81	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69 6.03	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32 5.92	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44 5.77	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53 5.87	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31 6.58	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57 5.69	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85 5.99
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Cr ₂ O ₃ FeO MnO	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79 6.65 0.14	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74 6.47 0.14	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68 6.02 0.17	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98 6.27 0.12	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74 6.43 0.14	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66 5.43 0.16	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57 5.81 0.16	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69 6.03 0.12	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32 5.92 0.15	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44 5.77 0.10	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53 5.87 0.19	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31 6.58 0.14	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57 5.69 0.16	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85 5.99 0.18
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Cr ₂ O ₃ FeO MnO MgO	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79 6.65 0.14 32.73	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74 6.47 0.14 32.59	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68 6.02 0.17 32.86	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98 6.27 0.12 32.68	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74 6.43 0.14 33.62	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66 5.43 0.16 34.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57 5.81 0.16 33.28	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69 6.03 0.12 33.74	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32 5.92 0.15 33.24	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44 5.77 0.10 34.56	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53 5.87 0.19 33.15	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31 6.58 0.14 34.52	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57 5.69 0.16 33.08	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85 5.99 0.18 34.55
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Cr ₂ O ₃ FeO MnO MgO CaO	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79 6.65 0.14 32.73 0.79	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74 6.47 0.14 32.59 0.88	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68 6.02 0.17 32.86 0.64	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98 6.27 0.12 32.68 0.85	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74 6.43 0.14 33.62 0.83	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66 5.43 0.16 34.00 0.67	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57 5.81 0.16 33.28 1.16	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69 6.03 0.12 33.74 0.89	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32 5.92 0.15 33.24 0.52	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44 5.77 0.10 34.56 0.87	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53 5.87 0.19 33.15 0.69	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31 6.58 0.14 34.52 0.61	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57 5.69 0.16 33.08 0.78	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85 5.99 0.18 34.55 0.84
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Cr ₂ O ₃ FeO MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79 6.65 0.14 32.73 0.79 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74 6.47 0.14 32.59 0.88 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68 6.02 0.17 32.86 0.64 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98 6.27 0.12 32.68 0.85 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74 6.43 0.14 33.62 0.83 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66 5.43 0.16 34.00 0.67 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57 5.81 0.16 33.28 1.16 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69 6.03 0.12 33.74 0.89 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32 5.92 0.15 33.24 0.52 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44 5.77 0.10 34.56 0.87 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53 5.87 0.19 33.15 0.69 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31 6.58 0.14 34.52 0.61 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57 5.69 0.16 33.08 0.78 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85 5.99 0.18 34.55 0.84 0.00
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Cr ₂ O ₃ FeO MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79 6.65 0.14 32.73 0.79 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74 6.47 0.14 32.59 0.88 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68 6.02 0.17 32.86 0.64 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98 6.27 0.12 32.68 0.85 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74 6.43 0.14 33.62 0.83 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66 5.43 0.16 34.00 0.67 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57 5.81 0.16 33.28 1.16 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69 6.03 0.12 33.74 0.89 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32 5.92 0.15 33.24 0.52 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44 5.77 0.10 34.56 0.87 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53 5.87 0.19 33.15 0.69 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31 6.58 0.14 34.52 0.61 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57 5.69 0.16 33.08 0.78 0.00 0.00	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85 5.99 0.18 34.55 0.84 0.00 0.00
Sample Rock type SiO ₂ TiO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃ Cr ₂ O ₃ FeO MnO MgO CaO Na ₂ O K ₂ O Total	Opx. Hrz. 57.13 0.01 1.82 0.79 6.65 0.14 32.73 0.79 0.00 0.00 100.06	Opx. Hrz. 56.88 0.00 2.03 0.74 6.47 0.14 32.59 0.88 0.00 0.00 99.73	Opx. Hrz. 57.23 0.00 1.95 0.68 6.02 0.17 32.86 0.64 0.00 0.00 99.55	Opx. Hrz. 56.81 0.01 2.26 0.98 6.27 0.12 32.68 0.85 0.00 0.00 99.98	Opx. Hrz. 56.37 0.02 1.22 0.74 6.43 0.14 33.62 0.83 0.00 0.00 99.37	Opx. Hrz. 56.34 0.01 2.04 0.66 5.43 0.16 34.00 0.67 0.00 0.00 99.31	Opx. Hrz. 56.84 0.06 1.85 0.57 5.81 0.16 33.28 1.16 0.00 0.00 99.73	Opx. Hrz. 56.21 0.05 1.96 0.69 6.03 0.12 33.74 0.89 0.00 0.00 99.69	Opx. Hrz. 56.53 0.03 1.55 0.32 5.92 0.15 33.24 0.52 0.00 0.00 98.26	Opx. Hrz. 56.62 0.07 1.51 0.44 5.77 0.10 34.56 0.87 0.00 0.00 99.94	Opx. Hrz. 57.74 0.00 1.35 0.53 5.87 0.19 33.15 0.69 0.00 0.00 99.52	Opx. Hrz. 56.32 0.01 1.44 0.31 6.58 0.14 34.52 0.61 0.00 0.00 99.93	Opx. Hrz. 56.64 0.03 1.52 0.57 5.69 0.16 33.08 0.78 0.00 0.00 98.47	Opx. Hrz. 55.93 0.06 1.19 0.85 5.99 0.18 34.55 0.84 0.00 0.00 99.59

Table 2. Representative major element analyses (in wt. %) of pyroxenes from the Ulaş ultramafics (Opx: orthopyroxene; Cpx: clinopyroxene).

Table 2. (Continued).

Sample	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Cpx.	Срх.	Cpx.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.
Rock type	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.
SiO ₂	53.83	54.26	53.81	54.31	53.48	53.25	55.44	55.63	55.58	55.61	54.80	55.68	53.79	53.24
TiO ₂	0.02	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.15	0.12
Al ₂ O ₃	1.77	1.41	1.96	1.68	2.38	2.45	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.85	1.30	0.77	5.88	5.88
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.94	0.99	1.21	0.94	1.12	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.29	0.59	0.18	1.08	1.12
FeO	2.16	2.15	2.22	2.26	1.90	1.86	0.07	0.06	0.10	2.46	2.59	2.49	3.48	3.68
MnO	0.06	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.09
MgO	17.65	17.15	16.22	17.47	16.77	17.48	18.43	18.60	18.63	18.32	18.25	18.50	21.45	21.18
CaO	23.61	23.89	24.37	23.19	23.41	23.98	25.87	25.70	25.73	24.69	23.87	24.12	12.97	13.07
Na ₂ O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.73	0.75
K ₂ O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	100.04	100.04	99.97	100.02	99.23	99.97	99.88	100.08	100.16	102.44	101.61	101.92	99.63	99.20
Mg #	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.91
Sample	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Cpx.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.
Rock type	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.
SiO ₂	54.45	54.51	54.75	54.60	54.59	55.14	57.97	54.69	54.71	55.06	54.89	54.52	54.64	55.02
Al ₂ O ₃	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.03
TiO ₂	1.29	1.30	1.32	1.30	1.26	1.15	1.24	1.33	1.30	1.27	1.19	0.81	1.32	1.28
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.58	0.57	0.58	0.55	0.56	0.57	0.40	0.55	0.61	0.49	0.41	0.21	0.60	0.60
FeO	2.52	2.65	2.52	2.54	2.61	2.33	7.30	2.65	2.66	2.51	2.52	2.39	2.62	2.61
MnO	0.15	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.09
MgO	18.58	18.31	18.25	18.10	18.23	18.39	34.83	18.39	18.12	18.30	18.20	18.14	18.26	18.31
CaO	22.82	23.99	23.84	23.95	23.83	24.50	0.75	23.65	23.85	24.26	24.23	24.31	23.87	23.69
Na ₂ O	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.02	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.09
K ₂ O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	100.51	101.55	101.59	101.27	101.33	102.34	102.74	101.50	101.48	102.17	101.75	100.57	101.62	101.77
Mg #	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.90	0.93	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93



Figure 5. NiO vs. Fo-number diagram for olivine from the Ulaş (Sivas) ultramafic rocks (olivine field of the clinopyroxenite from Solomon Islands is taken from Berly et al. (2006), peridotitic olivine field of the Cabo Ortegal is taken from Girardeau and Ibarguchi (1991), and mantle olivine array from Takahashi et al. (1987)).

Sample	Cpx.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.	Срх.
Rock type	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.
La	0.012	0.006	0.018	0.007	0.009	0.011	0.007	0.007	0.007
Ce	0.029	0.030	0.033	0.029	0.034	0.029	0.024	0.027	0.028
Pr	0.008	0.004	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.006
Nd	0.074	0.068	0.072	0.074	0.059	0.079	0.075	0.065	0.042
Sm	0.070	0.055	0.050	0.067	0.079	0.058	0.038	0.057	0.061
Eu	0.024	0.029	0.034	0.028	0.025	0.033	0.026	0.028	0.027
Gd	0.109	0.142	0.114	0.120	0.127	0.138	0.115	0.134	0.133
Tb	0.027	0.030	0.029	0.030	0.027	0.025	0.025	0.030	0.033
Dy	0.211	0.201	0.235	0.195	0.259	0.182	0.202	0.224	0.196
Но	0.050	0.048	0.046	0.055	0.052	0.050	0.054	0.054	0.050
Er	0.146	0.142	0.145	0.165	0.154	0.150	0.149	0.120	0.138
Tm	0.019	0.022	0.019	0.022	0.024	0.022	0.022	0.018	0.024
Yb	0.166	0.135	0.129	0.113	0.174	0.122	0.141	0.154	0.150
Lu	0.020	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.023	0.021	0.017	0.021	0.019
Sr	4.080	3.530	4.010	3.480	4.040	3.830	3.430	3.310	3.440
Zr	0.207	1.117	0.099	0.113	0.116	0.110	0.113	0.110	0.102
Zr/Sm	2.957	20.309	1.980	1.687	1.468	1.897	2.974	1.930	1.672
Sr/Nd	55.135	51.912	55.694	6.486	68.475	48.481	45.733	50.923	81.905

Table 3. Representative rare earth element analysis (in ppm) of clinopyroxenes in the pyroxenites from the Ulaş ultramafics.

BİLİCİ and KOLAYLI / Turkish J Earth Sci

Sample	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.
Rock type	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.
SiO ₂	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00
TiO ₂	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.03
Al ₂ O ₃	23.69	26.71	26.00	27.38	23.45	26.79	28.21	27.05	28.89	31.06	27.23	29.34	22.64	18.89
Cr ₂ O ₃	41.35	41.48	43.08	41.93	41.01	41.12	41.18	42.92	40.87	40.52	40.57	39.74	44.57	46.00
FeO	18.91	16.32	17.38	17.04	17.02	16.67	16.50	17.05	18.64	17.19	18.31	19.47	20.59	20.54
MnO	0.33	0.27	0.31	0.31	0.22	0.28	0.29	0.30	0.33	0.27	0.27	0.29	0.24	0.18
MgO	12.74	14.47	13.24	12.55	13.21	15.84	13.69	13.35	11.96	11.64	14.00	14.43	11.96	15.25
CaO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	97.04	99.28	100.04	99.25	94.98	100.77	99.96	100.70	100.71	100.71	100.44	103.29	100.06	100.89
Fe ⁺³	0.79	0.52	0.34	0.07	0.67	0.89	0.23	0.23	0.08	-0.38	0.67	0.77	0.69	1.73
Cr #	0.54	0.51	0.53	0.51	0.54	0.51	0.49	0.52	0.49	0.47	0.50	0.48	0.57	0.62
Mg #	0.60	0.65	0.60	0.57	0.63	0.70	0.61	0.60	0.54	0.52	0.63	0.62	0.55	0.69
Sample	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.	Spl.
Rock type	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Hrz.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.	Pyrx.
SiO ₂	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TiO ₂	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.21	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.19	0.22
Al ₂ O ₃	22.40	22.18	22.06	20.37	21.41	27.00	26.32	26.55	19.86	18.29	17.90	20.01	16.04	19.31
Cr ₂ O ₃	44.59	45.15	44.97	37.96	45.48	40.81	40.81	33.91	39.39	43.50	41.01	40.27	42.89	39.52
FeO	20.04	20.65	20.14	21.91	20.36	21.42	20.71	22.76	34.76	33.16	33.76	31.49	33.39	34.63
MnO	0.23	0.23	0.31	0.35	0.29	0.24	0.18	0.37	0.50	0.63	0.45	0.68	0.55	0.61
MgO	13.38	12.01	15.36	11.93	12.63	11.47	11.74	15.31	6.74	6.27	6.32	7.01	6.98	5.89
CaO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.07	0.17	0.08	0.18	0.11
Total	100.74	100.31	102.92	92.58	100.20	100.98	99.83	99.11	101.51	102.08	99.75	99.63	100.22	100.29
Fe ⁺³	0.96	0.71	1.43	1.65	0.90	0.50	0.52	2.04	2.08	1.62	1.96	1.65	2.14	1.91
Cr #	0.57	0.58	0.58	0.56	0.59	0.50	0.51	0.46	0.57	0.61	0.61	0.57	0.64	0.58
Mg #	0.61	0.55	0.68	0.59	0.58	0.52	0.54	0.68	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.34	0.34	0.29

Table 4. Representative major element analysis (in wt. %) of spinels from the Ulaş ultramafics (Spl: spinel).

compared with the known SSZ peridotites and clinopyroxenites (Figure 9). The TiO_2 contents of spinels are in a narrow range between 0.00 and 0.21 (wt. %) in the harzburgites and 0.09 and 0.22 (wt. %) in the clinopyroxenites. Additionally, there is a slight positive correlation between TiO_2 contents and Cr-number of spinels from the harzburgites towards the clinopyroxenites (Figure 10). In general, the Fe³⁺-number of spinels in the clinopyroxenite is higher than that from the host harzburgites (Figure 11).

5. Discussion

5.1. Origin of the clinopyroxenite dykes

Mantle pyroxenites are generally composed of all pyroxenite types, such as clinopyroxenite, orthopyroxenite, and websterite.

However, only the clinopyroxenites were found in the harzburgitic host rock of this investigation. The current chemical data from the main minerals (ol, opx, cpx, and Cr-spinel) in the studied clinopyroxenite dykes and host harzburgites revealed differences to the subduction-related origin. Furthermore, the presence of spinel, together with absence of plagioclase and garnet, in the pyroxenites and host rocks confined the depth of the pyroxenite formation to be within the spinel–peridotite stability field (Berly et al., 2006). Field studies showed that the clinopyroxenites occur mainly as dykes within the host harzburgites (Figure 3). This revealed that each was formed in an SSZ mantle wedge, supported by evidence of infrequent orthopyroxene crystals of mantle affinity in the studied clinopyroxenites.



Figure 6. (a) Pyroxene nomenclature diagram for the pyroxenes from the studied ultramafic rocks (after Morimoto et al. (1988)); Al_2O_3 vs. Mg-number diagram for orthopyroxene (b) and for clinopyroxene (c); (d) Cr_2O_3 vs. Mg-number diagram for clinopyroxene (references of the fields after Berly et al. (2006); (e) Cr_2O_3 vs. Al_2O_3 (wt.%) diagram for clinopyroxenes (fields of residual clinopyroxenes after Seyler et al. (2003), field of replaced clinopyroxenes after Seyler et al. (2007), field of secondary clinopyroxenes after Nozaka (2005)).

In a plot of Fo-number vs. NiO, olivines from the harzburgites showed higher NiO content than those of the clinopyroxenites and a plot within the "mantle olivine array" indicated a residual source for the harzburgites, comparable with peridotitic olivines from the Cabo Ortegal peridotites (Girardeau and Ibarguchi, 1991). In contrast, olivines from the clinopyroxenites had very low NiO content and also showed a different distribution to the other SSZ clinopyroxenites, especially when compared to the Solomon Islands clinopyroxenites (Berly et al., 2006), as in Figure 5.

The major element contents of ortho-clinopyroxenes from the clinopyroxenite and host harzburgite were evaluated together. In a plot of Mg-number vs. Al₂O₂ (Figure 6b), orthopyroxenes showed Al₂O₂ depletion towards the clinopyroxenites from the harzburgites, indicating Al₂O₃ extraction during partial melting compatible with the melting trend (Page et al., 2008) and orthopyroxene fractionation trend (Varfalvy et al., 1996, 1997). Moreover, the host harzburgites resembled the fore-arc (SSZ) peridotites and the clinopyroxenites that show an affinity to the mantle pyroxenites rather than the arc-related crustal pyroxenites by orthopyroxene chemistry (Figure 6b). The high Mg-number and low Al₂O₃ contents of clinopyroxenes exhibit a trend as a result of partial melting (Figure 6c). Clinopyroxenes from the host harzburgites plot within the fore-arc peridotite field suggested by Page et al. (2008) prominently differ from the Izo-Bonin fore-arc peridotites proposed by Parkinson and Pearce (1998). Clinopyroxenes from the clinopyroxenites have higher Mg-numbers than the host harzburgites, a few of which were similar to the mantle pyroxenites (Figure 6c). However, in the Cr₂O₂ content vs. Mg-number diagram, most clinopyroxenes from the clinopyroxenites plot were within the mantle pyroxenite field. In this same diagram, clinopyroxenes from the host harzburgites showed a very similar distribution to the Izo-Bonin fore-arc peridotites (Figure 6d). Generally, the high Mg-number of clinopyroxenes in the clinopyroxenites is associated with the high degree of partial melting of the host harzburgites. Furthermore, the Cr₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ contents of clinopyroxenes in the clinopyroxenite and the host harzburgites saw major elements decrease and exhibit a positive correlation towards the harzburgites from the clinopyroxenites (Figure 6e). Conversely, two clinopyroxene samples with high Al₂O₃ contents showed abyssal character, indicative of residual clinopyroxene in the clinopyroxenites. Overall, clinopyroxenes from the clinopyroxenites with lower Cr₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ content than modified clinopyroxenes in the host harzburgites displayed secondary clinopyroxene characteristics, as suggested by Nozaka (2005) and Wojtulek et al. (2016); see Figure 6e.

Trace element contents of clinopyroxenes are crucial in defining the origin of mantle pyroxenites. Many studies show that clinopyroxene is the main mineral for REE, and its pattern reflects the whole rock in the absence of garnet (Garrido et al., 2000). In the presence of garnet, the REE would be distributed between garnet and pyroxene. The REE pattern of clinopyroxenes from the studied clinopyroxenites showed similar patterns to that of clinopyroxene from the SSZ and fore-arc ophiolite (Figure 7). These were depleted in LREE to MREE and HREE, as compared to those of abyssal clinopyroxenes (Johnson et al., 1990), and instead exhibited a pattern closer that of SSZ clinopyroxenes (Bizimis et al., 2000) rather than fore-arc clinopyroxenes (Parkinson et al., 1992). Slight La enrichments of clinopyroxene in the clinopyroxenite support this similarity, as in Figure 7. The slight upward inflection of La could also be clarified by a melt percolation event.

The high Cr-number of spinels indicated that the peridotites formed within a supra-subduction setting (Bonatti and Michael, 1989; Wang et al., 2001). The Fo content of olivines and the Cr-number of spinels are essential indicators of the partial melting degree for mantle peridotite and are used to differentiate tectonic environments (Dick and Bullen, 1984; Arai, 1994; Pearce et al., 2000; Tamura and Arai, 2006). Under this criteria, spinels from the clinopyroxenites and the host harzburgites show similar formation to the subduction-related mantle rocks (Figure 8). Additionally, mid-ocean ridge (MOR) or similar zone (fracture) peridotites have a Cr-number lower than 0.6, whereas SSZ peridotites have Cr-numbers up to 0.8 (Dick and Bullen, 1984; Arai, 1994; Kelemen et al., 1995; Gaetani and Grove, 1998; Choi et al., 2008; Kaczmarek et al., 2015). A typical subduction-related harzburgite, considered to have formed by 20%-25% partial melting, contains olivine with higher forsterite content (Parkinson and Pearce, 1998). The high Fo-number of olivines and relatively high Cr-number of spinels support the depletion trend of the studied harzburgites as a product of highdegree partial melting (25%) and denote similarity with the fore-arc (SSZ) and less so with the abyssal peridotites (Figure 8). However, relatively high Cr-numbers of spinels and low Fo-numbers of olivines from the clinopyroxenites plot outside of the olivine spinel mantle array (OSMA) indicated an eventual fractional crystallization from a magma with MORB-like affinity or initiation of boninitic affinity in the SSZ (Figure 8). The low Mg-number of spinels in the clinopyroxenite shows closer distribution to the SSZ clinopyroxenite field (Figure 9). An important feature to note is that the Mg-number of MORB-spinels



Figure 7. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for clinopyroxenes from the clinopyroxenites of Ulaş (Sivas) ultramafic rocks. For comparison, a range of clinopyroxene REE pattern from abyssal peridotite (Johnson et al., 1990), from suprasubduction peridotite (Bizimis et al., 2000) and from fore-arc peridotite (Parkinson et al., 1992) is shown. Normalizing values of REE for chrondrite are taken from Sun and McDonough (1989).



Figure 8. Compositional relationship between forsterite content of olivine and Cr-number of chromian spinels of the studied Ulaş ultramafic rocks on the olivine-spinel mantle array (OSMA) diagram of Arai (1994) (abyssal peridotite field from Dick and Bullen (1984), forearc peridotite field from Ishii et al. (1992), Parkinson and Pearce (1998), and Pearce et al. (2000).



Figure 9. Relationship between Cr-number and Mg-number in chromian spinel from the studied Ulaş ultramafic rocks (fields for abyssal peridotites, fore-arc peridotites, and boninites are taken from Tamura and Arai (2006). SSZ pyroxenite field is taken from Berly et al. (2006). Partial melting trend is taken from Arai (1992)).

is higher when compared to the cumulates of the Alpinetype ophiolites and the mantle peridotites (Lee, 1999). Hence, the high Cr-number and low Mg-number of the spinels in the studied pyroxenites indicate a subductionrelated origin, and an extensive re-equilibration between the pyroxenites and the mantle peridotites.

5.2. Effects of the melt-peridotite interactions on the pyroxenite formation

The melt-rock interaction is a key factor in the compositional evolution of both melt and host rock in the

mantle (Kelemen, 1990; Edwards, 1995; Varfalvy et al., 1996; Kelemen et al., 1997; Garrido and Bodinier, 1999; Bodinier et al., 2004; Batanova et al., 2011). The Al and Ti contents of chromian spinels are also very useful metrics in order to understand the characteristics of the parental melts of the spinels and the tectonic environment in which these melts were generated (Zhou et al., 1996; Melcher et al., 1997; Uysal et al., 2007; Rollinson, 2008; Page and Barnes, 2009; Gonzalez-Jimenez et al., 2011; Zaccarini et al., 2011; Uysal et al., 2012).

In the present study, spinel mineral chemistry was used to investigate the reactions between the melts forming the clinopyroxenite dykes. The significant reduction of olivine compositions (Fo and NiO) in the clinopyroxenite can be explained by a compositional change during fractional crystallization of magma or melt interaction with the host rocks over the course of dyke growth. Laukert et al. (2014) proposed that the presence of olivine in the pyroxenite would demonstrate a relatively low melt/rock ratio, whereas the absence of olivine indicates the final reaction components at high melt/rock ratios.

The TiO₂ content and other minor elements of spinels are sensitive monitors of melt-rock interaction and denote larger variations than the major elements during the partial melting of peridotites and fractional crystallization processes (Arai, 1992; Dare et al., 2009). Additionally, the TiO₂ content of spinels shows initial records of the impregnating melts as opposed to the primary structure of the host rocks (Cannat et al., 1990), because the impregnating melts are generally richer in concentrations than the nonreacted peridotites (Pearce et al., 2000; Whattam et al., 2011). In general, low TiO, content (<0.2 wt.%) spinels are considered to be plagioclase-free, depleted peridotites that do not react with MORB-like melts at low-P (Dick, 1989; Seyler and Bonatti, 1997). Spinels in the host harzburgites surrounding the dykes also have TiO₂ content (>0.1 wt. %) that is higher than the background harzburgite. This result could be explained by the reaction between the intruding melts and the host rocks. Plots of spinel Cr-number vs. spinel TiO₂ (Figure 10) demonstrate that spinels from the host harzburgite underwent intensive melt-rock interactions with a Ti-rich melt, subsequent to having undergone 25% partial melting. Spinels from the clinopyroxenite were similar those of the host harzburgites and reflect a melt-rock reaction trend towards an SSZ reaction field more than a MORB reaction field (Figure 10).

The high Fe³⁺-number of spinels indicated subductionrelated melts, because of the presence of water associated with an SSZ setting, which promotes oxidizing conditions relative to MORB melts (Arai, 1992). The melt–rock



Figure 10. Relationships between TiO₂ contents and Cr-number atomic ratios of chromian spinels in the studied Ulaş ultramafic rocks (after Pearce et al. (2000)).



Figure 11. Relationships between TiO_2 contents and Fe^{3+} -number atomic ratios of chromian spinels in the studied Ulaş ultramafic rocks (after Pearce et al. (2000)).



Figure 12. Sr/Nd vs. Zr/Sm ratios in clinopyroxenes from pyroxenite in the studied Ulaş ultramafic rocks (after Downes (2007)).

reaction effect in MOR and SSZ settings is shown through the Fe3+-number and TiO, (wt.%) contents of spinels from the clinopyroxenites and host harzburgites in Figure 8. Spinels from the SSZ harzburgites have higher Fe³⁺numbers (0.06) than abyssal harzburgites (0.03) (Dare et al., 2009). Although the obtained data of spinels in the harzburgites showed a wide range of Fe³⁺-numbers and indicated both SSZ and abyssal characteristics, the low Fe³⁺-numbers of these spinels show that reacting melts were more SSZ-like than MORB-like (Figure 11). Spinels in the clinopyroxenite that had higher Fe^{3+} numbers and low TiO₂ (wt. %) content in a narrow range indicate formation from SSZ-like melt interactions. This is compatible with the isolated dyke geochemistry of Divriği ophiolite (Parlak et al., 2006), where the diabasic isolated dykes cut the mantle tectonites, and were ascribed to subsequent magmatism fed by melts that occurred within an asthenospheric window caused by slab breakoff, shortly before the settlement of the ophiolite onto the Tauride Platform. Hence, the clinopyroxenite dykes within the host harzburgite are thought to have crystallized from this SSZ-type magma with similar conditions.

5.3. Comparison with the arc-related (SSZ) and abyssal pyroxenites

Occurrences of the pyroxenites remain controversial in different tectonic environments. The pyroxenite dykes can be formed through various mechanisms, depending on the tectonic setting or formation and a detailed study with field evidence, petrography, and geochemistry is required in every individual case in order to understand the origins thoroughly.

Within this context, the pyroxenites and associated peridotites were discussed in many studies on the arcrelated settings, including the Beni Bousera massif, Morocco (Pearson et al., 1993), the Alaskan complexes (DeBari and Coleman, 1989), the North America-Canada ultramafics (Snoke et al., 1981), the Europe and northwestern Africa ultramafics (Downes, 2007), the pyroxenites from Cabo Ortegal, Spain (Girardeau and Ibarguchi, 1991; Santos et al., 2002), the Andong Ultramafic Complex in Korea (Whattam et al., 2011), the Ronda peridotite massif in Spain (Marchesi et al., 2012), the intraoceanic arc-related cumulates in southern New Zealand (Spandler et al., 2003), the Solomon Islands pyroxenites (Berly et al., 2006), pyroxenite dykes in the North Qaidam Peridotites, China (Xiong et al., 2014), and the Marum ophiolite complex, Papua New Guinea (Kaczmarek et al., 2015).

In contrast, the investigations on abyssal pyroxenites are more limited (Dick et al., 1984, 2010; Fujii, 1990; Kempton and Stephens, 1997; Hellebrand et al., 2005; Arai and Takemoto, 2007; Dantas et al., 2007; Warren et al., 2009; Seyler et al., 2011; Laukert et al., 2014).

In general, when all of the studies are examined in terms of mineral chemistry, it can be seen that the arc-related and abyssal pyroxenites differ in terms of formation. The low Cr-number (20–30) of spinels and relatively low Mgnumber (90) of clinopyroxenes are characteristic properties of abyssal pyroxenites and the presence of websterites at lower melt/peridotite ratios, signifying the products of melt–rock interaction. However, the high Cr-number of spinels and high Mg-number of clinopyroxene are typical of arc-related (SSZ) pyroxenites. The clinopyroxenites can be considered melt-dominated systems with high melt/ peridotite ratios (Van Acken, 2008).

In the present study, the mineral chemistry of the clinopyroxenites within the host harzburgites indicates that these pyroxenites have a similar affinity to the SSZ pyroxenites with relatively high Cr-numbers of spinels and high Mg-numbers of clinopyroxenes (Figure 6). Furthermore, the REE concentrations of clinopyroxenes from the clinopyroxenites were consistent with the SSZ pyroxenites (Figure 7). The high Sr/Nd and low Zr/Sm ratios in these clinopyroxenes suggest crystallization from LREE-enriched melts of a subduction zone (Figure 12), and these ratios show a similar distribution to the Cabo Ortega pyroxenites reported by Downes (2007).

6. Concluding remarks

Field observations and petrographic properties show that clinopyroxenite dykes occur within the harzburgite from Ulaş District, as part of the Divriği ophiolite. A detailed mineral geochemical investigation of the clinopyroxenites and the host peridotites was evaluated using petrological interpretation of different diagrams presenting the obtained analytical data. The sharp margins with the host harzburgites together with the mineral geochemical evidence suggested that the pyroxenite dykes were generated through a series of petrological processes, including fractional crystallization and melt–rock reactions. The dykes may have been formed by a focused flow of melts during the migration towards the crust in the mantle section of this ophiolite. The results have shown that these clinopyroxenites formed as a product of fractional crystallization from magma of relatively highgrade partial melting of the host harzburgite, which reacted with the SSZ-type melts in the mantle wedge of a subduction zone. Consequently, the compositions of spinels and other

References

- Allegre CJ, Turcotte DL (1986). Implications of a two-component marble-cake mantle. Nature 323: 123-127.
- Arai S (1992). Chemistry of chromian spinel in volcanic-rocks as a potential guide to magma chemistry. Min Magazine 56: 173-184.
- Arai S (1994). Characterization of spinel peridotites by olivine-spinel mantle com-positional relationships: review and interpretation. Chem Geol 113: 191-204.
- Arai S, Takemoto Y (2007). Mantle wehrlite from Hess Deep as a crystal cumulate from an ultra-depleted primary melt in East Pacific Rise. Geophys Res Lett 34: L08302.
- Batanova V, Belousov I, Savelieva G, Sobolev A (2011). Consequences of channelized and diffuse melt transport in supra-subduction mantle: evidence from Voykar ophiolite (Polar Urals). J Petrol 52: 2483-2521.
- Berly TJ, Hermann J, Arculus AJ, Lapierr H (2006). Supra-subduction zone pyroxenites from San Jorge and Santa Isabel (Solomon Islands). J Petrol 47: 1531-1555.
- Bilici Ö (2015). Comparative investigation of Kop (Erzurum-Erzincan-Bayburt), Ulaş (Sivas) and Yeşilova (Burdur) ultramafics and chromitites in terms of mineralogical, petrological and geodynamical aspects. PhD, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey (in Turkish with English Abstract).
- Bizimis M, Salters VJM, Bonatti E (2000). Trace and REE contents of clinopyroxenes from supra-subduction zone peridotites, implication for melting and enrichment processes in island arcs. Chem Geol 165: 67-85.
- Bodinier JL, Godard M (2003). Orogenic, ophiolitic, and abyssal peridotites. In: Holland HD, Turekian KK, editors. Treatise on Geochemistry. Oxford, UK: Elsevier, pp. 103-170.
- Bodinier JL, Menzies MA, Shimizu N, Frey A, McPherson E (2004). Silicate, hydrous and carbonate metasomatism at Lherz, France: contemporaneous derivatives of silicate meltharzburgite reaction. J Petrol 4: 299-320.

silicate minerals suggest that the clinopyroxenites were derived from a nonboninitic SSZ type-magma that resulted from slab breakoff. This situation could be explained by the close proximity of the Cr-numbers of spinels in both lithologies.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Assoc Prof Dr Yonghung Gao from the University of Houston (USA) for performing the LA-ICP-MS analyses. We thank Prof Dr Mehmet Arslan and Prof Dr Emin Çiftçi for editorial handling of the manuscript, and the three anonymous reviewers for valuable comments and suggestions. We also thank Tuğba Bilici for help during the preparation of this paper.

- Bonatti E, Michael PJ (1989). Mantle peridotites from continental rifts to ocean basins to subduction zones. Earth Planet Sci Lett 91: 297-311.
- Brooker RA, James RH, Blundy JD (2004). Trace element and Li isotope systematics in Zabargad peridotites: evidence of an ancient subduction process in the Red Sea mantle. Chem Geol 212: 179-204.
- Buchl A, Brugmann G, Batanova VG, Munker C, Hofmann AW (2002). Melt percolation monitored by Os isotopes and HSE abundances: a case study from the mantle section of the Troodos Ophiolite. Earth Planet Sci Lett 204: 385-402.
- Cannat M, Bideau D, Hébert R (1990). Plastic deformation and magmatic impregnation in serpentinized ultramafic rocks from the Garrett transform fault (East Pacific Rise). Earth Planet Sci Lett 101: 216-232.
- Cater JML, Hanna SS, Ries AC, Turner P (1991). Tertiary evolution of the Sivas Basin, Central Turkey. Tectonophysics 195: 29-46.
- Choi SH, Shervais JW, Mukasa SB (2008). Supra-subduction and abyssal mantle peridotites of the Coast Range ophiolite, California. Cont Min Petrol 156: 551-576.
- Constantin M, Hekinian R, Ackerman D, Staffers P (1995). Mafic and ultramafic intrusions into upper mantle peridotites from fast spreading centers of the Easter Microplate (South East Pacific). In: Vissers R, Nicolas A, editors. Mantle and Lower Crust Exposed in Oceanic Ridges and in Ophiolites. Petrology and Structural Geology. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer, pp. 71-120.
- Dantas C, Ceuleneer G, Gregoire M, Python M, Freydier R, Warren J, Dick HJB (2007). Pyroxenites from the Southwest Indian Ridge, 9-168E: Cumulates from incremental melt fractions produced at the top of a cold melting regime. J Petrol 48: 647-660.
- Dare SAS, Pearce JA, McDonald I, Styles MT (2009). Tectonic discrimination of peridotites using fO2–Cr# and Ga–Ti–FeIII systematics in chrome–spinel. Chem Geol 261: 199-216.

- DeBari SM, Coleman RJ (1989). Examination of the deep levels of an island arc: evidence from the Tonsina ultramafic-mafic assemblage. J Geophys Res 94: 4373-4391.
- DeBari SM, Kay SM, Kay RW (1987). Ultramafic xenoliths from Adagdak volcano, Adak, Aleutian Islands, Alaska: deformed igneous cumulates from the Moho of an island arc. J Geol 95: 329-341.
- Dick HJB (1989). Abyssal peridotites, very slow spreading ridges and ocean ridge magmatism. J Geol Soc London Spec Public 42: 71-105.
- Dick HJB, Bullen T (1984). Chromian spinel as a petrogenetic indicator in abyssal and Alpine-type peridotites and spatially associated lavas. Cont Min Petrol 86: 54-76.
- Dick HJB, Sinton JM (1979). Compositional layering in Alpine peridotites: evidence for pressure solution creep in the mantle. J Geol 87: 403-416.
- Downes H (2007). Origin and significance of spinel and garnet pyroxenites in the shallow lithospheric mantle: ultramafic massifs in orogenic belts in Western Europe and NW Africa. Lithos 99: 1-24.
- Edwards SJ, Malpas J (1995). Multiple origins for mantle harzburgites: examples from the Lewis Hills, Bay of Islands ophiolite, Newfoundland. Canad J Earth Sci 32: 1046-1057.
- France L, Chazot G, Kornprobst J, Dallai L, Vannucci R, Grégoire M, Bertrand H, Boivin P (2015). Mantle refertilization and magmatism in old orogenic regions: the role of late-orogenic pyroxenites. Lithos, 232: 49-75.
- Fujii T (1990). Petrology of peridotites from hole 670A, Leg 109. In: Detrick R, Honnorez J, Brian WB, Juteau T, Becker K, Adamson AC, editors. Proceedings of Ocean Drilling Program Scientific Results. College Station, TX, USA: Ocean Drilling Program, pp. 19-25.
- Gaetani GA, Grove TL (1998). The influence of water on melting of mantle peridotite. Cont Min Petrol 131: 323-346.
- Garrido CJ, Bodinier JL (1999). Diversity of mafic rocks in the Ronda peridotite: evidence for pervasive melt-rock reaction during heating of subcontinental lithosphere by upwelling asthenosphere. J Petrol 40: 729-754.
- Girardeau J, Ibarguchi JIG (1991). Pyroxenite-rich peridotites of the Cabo Ortegal Complex (northwestern Spain): evidence for large-scale upper-mantle heterogeneity. J Petrol 2: 135-153.
- Gonzaga RG, Menzies MA, Thirlwall MF, Jacob DE, Leroex A (2010). Eclogites and garnet pyroxenites: problems resolving provenance using Lu–Hf, Sm–Nd and Rb–Sr isotope systems. J Petrol 51: 513-535.
- Gonzalez-Jimenez JM, Proenza JA, Gervilla F, Melgarejo JC, Blanco-Moreno JA, Ruiz-Sanchez R, Griffin WL (2011). High-Cr and high-Al chromitites from the Sagua de Tanamo district, Mayari-Cristal ophiolitic massif (eastern Cuba): constraints on their origin from mineralogy and geochemistry of chromian spinel and platinum group elements. Lithos 125: 101-121.

- Hellebrand E, Snow JE, Mostefaoui S, Hoppe P (2005). Trace element distribution between orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene in peridotites from the Gakkel Ridge: a SIMS and Nano SIMS study. Cont Min Petrol 150: 486-504.
- Irving AJ (1974). Geochemical and high pressure experimental studies of garnet pyroxenite and pyroxene granulites xenoliths from the Delegate Basaltic Pipes, Australia. J Petrol 15: 1-40.
- Ishii T, Robinson PT, Maekawa H, Fiske R (1992). Petrological studies of peridotites from diapiric serpentinite seamounts in the Izu-Ogasawara-Mariana forearc, Leg 125. In: Fryer P, Pearce JA, Coleman P, Stokking LB, editors. Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program Scientific Results. College Station, TX, USA: Ocean Drilling Program, pp. 445-486.
- Johnson KT, Dick HJ, Shimizu N (1990). Melting in the oceanic upper mantle: an ion-microprobe study of diopsides in abyssal peridotite. J Geophys Res 95: 2661-2678.
- Juteau T, Berger E, Cannat M (1990). Serpentinized, residual mantle peridotites from the M.A.R. Median Valley, hole 670A, Leg 109: primary mineralogy and geothermometry. In: Detrick R, Honnorez J, Brian WB, Juteau T, Becker K, Adamson AC, editors. Proceedings of Ocean Drilling Program Scientific Results. College Station, TX, USA: Ocean Drilling Program, pp. 27-45.
- Kaczmarek MA, Jonda L, Davies HL (2015). Evidence of melting, melt percolation and deformation in a supra-subduction zone (Marum ophiolite complex, Papua New Guinea). Cont Min Petrol 170: 19.
- Kelemen PB (1990). Reaction between ultramafic rock and fractionating basaltic magma I. Phase relations, the origin of calcalkaline magma series, and the formation of discordant dunite. J Petrol 31: 51-98.
- Kelemen PB, Shimizu N, Salters VJM (1995). Extraction of midocean-ridge basalt from the upwelling mantle by focused flow of melt in dunite channels. Nature 375: 747-753.
- Kempton PD, Stephens CJ (1997). Petrology and geochemistry of nodular websterite inclusions in harzburgite, hole 920D, Leg 153. In: Karson JA, Cannat M, Miller DJ, Elthon D, editors. Proceedings of Ocean Drilling Program Scientific Results. College Station, TX, USA: Ocean Drilling Program, pp. 321-331.
- Ketin İ (1983). A General Overview on Geology of Turkey. İstanbul, Turkey: ITU Library Publication (in Turkish).
- Kornprobst J (1969). High temperature, high pressure alpinetype peridotite and associated pyroxenites (with or without garnet) from Beni Bouchera (Morocco). Cont Min Petrol 23: 283-322 (article in French with an abstract in English).
- Laukert G, von der Handt A, Hellebrand E, Snow JE, Hoppe P, Klugel A (2014). High pressure reactive melt stagnation recorded in abyssal pyroxenites from the ultraslow-spreading Lena Trough, Arctic Ocean. J Petrol 55: 427-458.
- Lee Y (1999). Geotectonic significance of detrital chromian spinel: a review. Geosci J 3: 23-29.

- Loubet M, Allegre CJ (1982). Trace elements in orogenic lherzolites reveal the complex history of the upper mantle. Nature 298: 809-814.
- Marchesi C, Garrido CJ, Bosch, D, Bodinier JL, Gervilla F, Hidas K (2013). Mantle refertilization by melts of crustal-derived garnet pyroxenite: evidence from the Ronda peridotite massif, southern Spain. Earth Planet Sci Lett 362: 66-75.
- Melcher F, Grum W, Simon G, Thalhammer TV, Stumpfl EF (1997). Petrogenesis of the ophiolitic giant chromite deposits of Kempirsai, Kazakhstan: a study of solid and fluid inclusions in chromite. J Petrol 38: 1419-1458.
- Menzies M, Allen C (1974). Plagioclase lherzolite-residual mantle relationships within two eastern Mediterranean ophiolites. Cont Min Petrol 45: 197-213.
- Morimoto N, Fabries J, Ferguson AK, Ginzburg IV, Ross M, Seifert FA, Zussman J, Aoki K, Gottardi D (1988). Nomenclature of pyroxenes. Am Mineral 62: 53-62.
- MTA (2002). 1:500,000 Scale Geology Map of Turkey. General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration, Ankara, Turkey.
- Nozaka T (2005). Metamorphic history of serpentinite mylonites from the Happoultramafic complex, central Japan. J Metamorphic Geol 23: 711-723.
- Okay IA, Tüysüz O (1999). Tethyan sutures of northern Turkey. J Geol Soc London Spec Public 156: 475-515.
- Page P, Barnes SJ (2009). Using trace elements in chromites to constrain the origin of podiform chromitites in the Thetford Mines Ophiolite, Québec, Canada. Eco Geol 104: 997-1018.
- Page P, Bedard JH, Schroetter JM, Tremblay A (2008). Mantle petrology and mineralogy of the Thetford Mines Ophiolite Complex. Lithos 100: 255-292.
- Parkinson IJ, Pearce JA (1998). Peridotites from the Izu-Bonin-Mariana forearc (ODP Leg 125): evidence for mantle melting and melt-mantle interaction in a supra-subduction zone setting. J Petrol 39: 1577-1618.
- Parkinson IJ, Pearce JA, Thirlwall MF, Johnson KTM, Ingram G (1992). Trace element geochemistry of peridotites from the Izu-Bonin–Mariana forearc, Leg 125. In: Fryer P, Pearce JA, Coleman P, Stokking LB, editors. Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program Scientific Results. College Station, TX, USA: Ocean Drilling Program, pp. 487-506.
- Parlak O (2016). The Tauride ophiolites of Anatolia (Turkey): a review. J Earth Sci 27: 901-934.
- Parlak O, Yılmaz H, Boztuğ D (2006). Origin and tectonic significance of the metamorphic sole and isolated dykes of the Divriği Ophiolite (Sivas, Turkey): evidence for slab breakoff prior to ophiolite emplacement. Turkish J Earth Sci 15: 25-45.
- Pearce JA, Barker PF, Edwards SJ, Parkinson IJ, Leat PT (2000). Geochemistry and tectonic significance of peridotites from the South Sandwich arc-basin system, South Atlantic. Cont Min Petrol 139: 36-53.

- Pearson DG, Davies GR, Nixon PH (1993). Geochemical constraints on the petrogenesis of diamond facies pyroxenites from the Beni Bousera peridotite massif. J Petrol 34: 125-172.
- Pearson DG, Nowell GM (2004). Re-Os and Lu-Hf isotope constraints on the origin and age of pyroxenites from the Beni Bousera peridotite massif; implications for mixed peridotite-pyroxenite mantle sources. J Petrol 45: 439-455.
- Poisson A, Guezou JC, Öztürk A, İnan S, Temiz H, Gürsöy H, Kavak KS, Özden S (1996). Tectonic setting and evolution of the Sivas Basin, Central Anatolia, Turkey. Int Geol Rev 38: 838-853.
- Robertson AHF, Parlak O, Metin Y, Vergili Ö, Tasli K, İnan N, Soycan H (2013). Late Palaeozoic–Cenozoic Tectonic Development of Carbonate Platform, Margin and Oceanic Units in the Eastern Taurides, Turkey. In: Robertson AHF, Parlak O, Unlugenc UC, editors. Geological Development of Anatolia and the Easternmost Mediterranean Region. London, UK: The Geological Society, pp. 167-218.
- Rollinson P (2008). The geochemistry of mantle chromitites from the northern part of the Oman ophiolite: inferred parental melt compositions. Cont Min Petrol 156: 273-288.
- Santos JF, Scharer U, Ibarguchi JIG, Girardeau J (2002). Genesis of pyroxenite-rich peridotite at Cabo Ortegal (NW Spain): geochemical and Pb–Sr–Nd isotope data. J Petrol 43: 17-43.
- Seyler M, Bonatti E (1997). Regional-scale melt-rock interaction in lherzolitic mantle in the Romanche Fracture Zone (Atlantic Ocean). Earth Plan Sci Lett 146: 273-287.
- Seyler M, Brunelli D, Toplis MJ, Mevel C (2011). Multiscale chemical heterogeneities beneath the eastern Southwest Indian Ridge (52°E-68°1422 E): Trace element compositions of alon gaxis dredged peridotites. Geochem Geophys Geosys 12: Q0AC15.
- Seyler M, Cannat M, Mevel C (2003). Evidence for major element heterogeneityin the mantle source of abyssal peridotites from the Southwest Indian Ridge (52 to 68°E). Geochem, Geophys Geosys 4: 1-33.
- Seyler M, Lorand JP, Dick HJB, Drouin M (2007). Pervasive melt percolation reactions in ultra-depleted refractory harzburgites at the Mid Atlantic Ridge,15°20N: ODP Hole 1274A. Cont Min Petrol 153: 303-319.
- Snoke AW, Quick JE, Bowman HR (1981). Bear Mountain igneous complex, Klamath Mountains, California: an ultrabasic to silicic calc-alkaline suite. J Petrol 22: 501-552.
- Spandler CJ, Arculus RJ, Eggins SM, Mavrogenes JA, Price RC, Reay A (2003). Petrogenesis of the Greenhills Complex, Southland, New Zealand: magmatic differentiation and cumulate formation at the roots of a Permian island-arc volcano. Cont Min Petrol 14: 703-721.
- Sun SS, McDonough WF (1989). Chemical and isotopic systematic of oceanic basalts: implications for mantle composition and processes. In: Saunders AD, Norry MJ, editors. Magmatism in the Ocean Basins. London, UK: The Geological Society, pp. 313-345.
- Takahashi E, Uto K, Schilling JG (1987). Primary magma compositions and Mg/Fe ratios mantle resudies Along Mid Atlantic Ridge 29°N to 73°N. Technical reports of ISEI, Okayama University, Ser A 9: 1-14.

- Tamura A, Arai S (2006). Harzburgite-dunite-orthopyroxenite suite as a record of supra-subduction zone setting for the Oman ophiolite mantle. Lithos 90: 43-56.
- Uysal I, Ersoy EY, Karsh O, Dilek Y, Sadıklar MB, Ottely CJ, Tiepolo M, Meisel T (2012). Coexistence of abyssal and ultra-depleted SSZ type mantle peridotites in a Neo-Tethyan ophiolite in SW Turkey: constraints from mineral composition, whole-rock geochemistry (major-trace-REE-PGE), and Re-Os isotope systematics. Lithos 132-133: 50-69.
- Uysal I, Tarkian M, Sadıklar MB, Şen C (2007). Platinum-Group-Element geochemistry and mineralogy of ophiolitic chromitites from the Kop Mountains, northeastern Turkey. Canad Mineral 45: 355-377.
- Van Acken D (2008). Melt-rock interaction and refertilization of oceanic lithosphere - a highly siderophile element and Os isotope study, Totalp Massif, Switzerland. PhD, Freie Universty, Berlin, Germany.
- Van Acken D, Becker H, Walker RJ, McDonough WF, Wombacher F, Ash RD, Piccoli PM (2010). Formation of pyroxenites layers in the Totalp ultramafic massif (Swiss Alps) – Insights from highly siderophile elements and Os isotopes. Geo Cosmo Acta 74: 661-683.
- Varfalvy V, Hebert R, Bedard JH (1996). Interactions between melt and upper-mantle peridotites in the North Arm Mountain massif, Bay of Islands ophiolite, Newfoundland, Canada: implications for the genesis of boninitic and related magmas. Chem Geol 129: 71-90.
- Varfalvy V, Hebert R, Bedard JH, Lafleche M (1997). Petrology and geochemistry of pyroxenite dykes in upper mantle peridotites of the North Arm Mountain massif, Bay of Islands ophiolite, Newfoundland: implications for the genesis of boninitic and related magmas. Canad Mineral 35: 543-570.
- Vergili Ö, Parlak O (2005). Geochemistry and tectonic setting of metamorphic sole rocks and mafic dykes from the Pınarbaşı (Kayseri) Ophiolite, Central Anatolia. Ofioliti 30: 37-52.
- Wang Z, Sun S, Hou Q, Li J (2001). Effect of melt-rock interaction on geochemistry in the Kudi ophiolite (western Kunlun Mountains, northwestern China): implication for ophiolite origin. Earth Planet Sci Let 191: 33-48.

- Warren JM, Shimizu N, Sakaguchi C, Dick HJB, Nakamura E (2009). An assessment of upper mantle heterogeneity based on abyssal peridotite isotopic compositions. J Geophys Res 114: B12203.
- Whattam SA, Moonsup Cho M, Smith IEM (2011). Magmatic peridotites and pyroxenites, Andong Ultramafic Complex, Korea: geochemical evidence for supra-subduction zone formation and extensive melt-rock interaction. Lithos 127: 599-618.
- Wojtulek P, Puziewicz J, Ntaflos T (2016). Melt impregnation phases in the mantle section of the Sleza ophiolite (SW Poland). Chemie der Erde 76: 299-308.
- Yaxley GM, Green DH (1998). Reactions between eclogite and peridotite: mantle refertilization by subduction of oceanic crust. Schweiz Min Petr Mitt 78: 243-255.
- Yilmaz A, Sümengen M, Terlemez I, Bilgiç T (1989). 1:100000 Scale Geology Map of Turkey, Sivas G23. General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration, Ankara, Turkey.
- Yılmaz A, Yılmaz H (2006). Characteristic features and structural evolution of a post collisional basin: the Sivas Basin, Central Anatolia, Turkey. J Asian Earth Sci 27: 164-176.
- Yılmaz H, Arıkal T, Yılmaz A (2001). Geology of the Güneş ophiolite (Divriği-Sivas). Proceedings of the 54th Geological Congress of Turkey, Ankara, Turkey, pp. 54-65 (in Turkish with an abstract in English).
- Yılmaz H, Yılmaz A (2004). Geology and Structural Evolution of the Divriği (Sivas) Region. Geological Bulletin of Turkey 47: 13-45.
- Xiong Q, Zheng JP, Griffin WL, O'Reilly SY, Pearson NJ (2014). Pyroxenite dykes in orogenic peridotite from North Qaidam (NE Tibet, China) track metasomatism and segregation in the mantle wedge. J Petrol 55: 2347-2376.
- Zaccarini F, Garuti G, Proenza JA, Campos L, Thalhammer OAR, Aiglsperger T, Lewis J (2011). Chromite and platinumgroup-elements mineralization in the Santa Elena ophiolitic ultramafic nappe (Costa Rica): geodynamic implications. Geol Acta 9: 407-423.
- Zhou MF, Robinson PT, Malpas I, Li Z (1996). Podiform chromitites in Luobusa ophiolite (Southern Tibet): implications for meltrock interaction and chromite segregation in the upper mantle. J Petrol 37: 3-21.