

# Erratum in the article ‘Promising therapeutic drug delivery systems for glaucoma: a comprehensive review’

Megha Gopalakrishna and Srinivasan Kavitha

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the review article by Rohan B. Singh et al. on various drug delivery systems for glaucoma.<sup>1</sup> The authors must be commended for their efforts in giving such a vast topic in a concise format. We especially liked the diagram and the table enclosed. Various studies old and new, various phases of trials in human and animal models have all been well reviewed and documented. However, there are multiple small errors while citing the references in the table. To name a few:

1. Under ‘Film impregnation in contact lens’ for Latanoprost, Ciolino and colleagues reference number is 35 but wrongly mentioned as 34.
2. Under ‘Subconjunctival inserts’ for Latanoprost, reference is wrongly mentioned as 37 instead of 38.
3. Under ‘Liposomes’ for Latanoprost, reference is wrongly mentioned as 41 instead of 42.
4. Under ‘Injectables’ ENV515/PGA or travoprost XR for Travoprost drug, reference is wrongly mentioned as 64 instead of 67 for Bao and colleagues. Also, Bao and colleagues worked on PGLA microspheres<sup>2</sup> and not Travoprost XR.
5. Under ‘Injectables’ Bimatoprost SR for Bimatoprost, reference is wrongly mentioned as 65 instead of 69. Also, the placement location is mentioned as ocular surface. However, these implants are being injected intracamerally.<sup>3,4</sup>
6. Under ‘Polymeric nanoparticles’ under subsection ‘PGT–ethylene glycol dimethacrylate nanoparticles’, the full form of HEMA, that is, hydroxy ethyl methacrylate has been mentioned wrongly as hydroxy methyl methacrylate.

It would be nice if the above mentioned few and also the other minor errors can be corrected.

## Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## References

1. Singh R, Ichhpujani P, Jindal S, *et al.* Promising therapeutic drug delivery systems for glaucoma: a comprehensive review. *Therap Adv Ophthalmol* 2020; 12: 1–17. 10.1177/2515841420905740.
2. Bao W, Zhou J, Luo J, *et al.* PLGA microspheres with high drug loading and high encapsulation efficiency prepared by a novel solvent evaporation technique. *J Microencapsul* 2006; 23: 471–479.
3. Allergan. Allergan announces positive topline phase 3 clinical data for bimatoprost SR (sustained-release) implant for IOP lowering in patients with open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension, <https://www.allergan.com/news/news/thomson-reuters/allergan-announcespositive-topline-phase-3-clinic> (accessed 27 July 2020).
4. Myers JS, Bejani M, Chen M, *et al.* Profile of IOP response to bimatoprost sustained-release implant (bimatoprost SR) before added treatment with topical medication: phase 3 study results [Abstract No. PO090]. In: *American glaucoma society annual meeting*, Washington, DC, 27 February–1 March 2020.

*Ther Adv Ophthalmol*

2021, Vol. 13: 1

DOI: 10.1177/  
2515841420970026

© The Author(s), 2021.  
Article reuse guidelines:  
[sagepub.com/journals-](https://sagepub.com/journals-permissions)  
permissions

Correspondence to:  
Srinivasan Kavitha  
Head of the Department,  
Glaucoma Department,  
Aravind Eye Hospital,  
Pondicherry 605007, India.  
[skavitha.shree@gmail.com](mailto:skavitha.shree@gmail.com)

Megha Gopalakrishna  
Glaucoma Department,  
Aravind Eye Hospital,  
Pondicherry, India

Visit SAGE journals online  
[journals.sagepub.com/  
home/oed](https://journals.sagepub.com/home/oed)

SAGE journals