# **International Journal of Educational Excellence**

(2020) Vol. 6, No. 2, 15-46 ISSN 2373-5929

DOI: 10.18562/IJEE.056

# The Paradoxical Relationship between Principals' Transformational Leadership Styles and Teachers' Motivation

Michael Agyemang Adarkwah a, & Yu Zeyuan a

Received: 13 August 2020 • Accepted: 30 September 2020

Abstract: Leadership and motivation are inseparable. Principals' transformational leadership has been a focus on education for over a decade because of the crucial role it plays in influencing the performance of teachers and students. There is a call for principals who are more transformational and less transactional. Prior research has established that principals who adopt the transformational leadership style can motivate their teachers to ensure the higher academic achievement of students. Nonetheless, there is limited study on the relationship between the transformational leadership styles of principals and teacher motivation in most developing countries such as Ghana. Using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ 6S), this study investigates the four dimensions of transformational leadership; idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration of teacher motivation in some selected basic schools in Eastern Region, Ghana. Findings indicate that although principals self-reported higher transformational leadership style, it had no significant relationship with teacher motivation. Three of the four dimensions of transformational leadership negatively correlated with teacher motivation ("idealized influence, inspirational motivation, and intellectual stimulation). Teachers also reported low motivation. Further analysis revealed that principals equally practiced the transactional leadership style and less of the laissez-faire leadership style. The laissezfaire leadership style negatively correlated with teacher motivation. School administrators are encouraged to organize more leadership training programs for principals, and ensure teachers are adequately motivated to improve the academic performance of students.

**Key-words:** transformational leadership; teacher motivation; leadership; job satisfaction; academic performance.

8965

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Southwest University (China). Correspondence: Michael Agyemang Adarkwah, College of Education, 15th Floor, BRCIC Building, Tongchuan Road No.216, Licang District, Qingdao, 266061 Shandong, P.R. China. adarkwahmichael1@gmail.com. DORCID 0000-0001-8201-

# 1. Introduction

Leadership and motivation are inseparable (Le Tellier, 2006). Leadership is the process of motivating a group of people towards the realisation of a common objective (Abdullah, Muhammad, & Nasir, 2019). Le Tellier (2006), in his book, mentioned that school leaders employ motivation to upgrade the efficiency of classroom and school organization. They establish motivational variables in the school and classroom context, and even in the community to ensure continuous school improvement. Principal leadership has been identified as a critical management skill to motivate a group of people towards the attainment of a common goal (Bello, Ibi, & Bukar, 2016). Principals are those who ensure effectiveness and educational change (Cheng & Townsend, 2000). Principal supportive leadership is instrumental in reducing teacher anxiety, isolation, frustration and regulating staff behaviors (Ackah-Jnr, 2018). Ackah-Jnr (2018) believes that motivation plays a key role in leadership and asserts that teachers need "extra motivation". School heads indirectly affect the performance of teachers and students through their leadership practices and behaviors (Heck, Larsen, & Marcoulides, 1990). School leaders who possess an understanding of motivation theory are able to influence the performance of teachers in their schools (Prelli, 2016). Successful leaders indirectly promotes students' learning by motivating their teachers (Leithwood, 2008). Studies have found that there is a relationship between principal's leadership style and teacher motivation (Dou, Devos, & Valcke, 2016; Eyal & Roth, 2011; Ghazala, Riffat-un-Nisa, & Anam, 2015; Ling & Ling, 2012). The motivation, job satisfaction, and performance of teachers is affected by the kind of leadership style that is in place at work (Kiboss & Jemiryott, 2014). Principals who adopt a type of leadership style that provide support for teachers will contribute to the effectiveness of the school (Eranil & Özbilen, 2017). The leadership practices of principals affect teacher job satisfaction and retention (Ladd, 2011). Wasserman et al. (2016) who examined the relationship between principal leadership style and teacher motivation concluded that principal leadership style has an impact on the motivation of teachers, and how they perceive the teaching profession. Leadership should be transformative to survive in complex environment (Balyer & Özcan, 2012). There is a need to maintain transformational leadership among principals (Haj & Jubran, 2016).

Traditionally, leadership in Ghana is not a new concept (Williams, 2011). Leadership plays an important role in different and complex social structure of most societies in the country (Dampson, Havor, & Laryea, 2018). School leadership in Ghana is very important and ought to be transformative in nature (Afful-Broni, 2004). One major problem facing the Ghana Education Service (GES) is school leadership and this has affected the performances of

basic schools in the country (Edwards & Aboagye, 2015). Donkor (2015) who examined the leadership preparedness in Ghana basic schools found out that in all the thirty-eight (38) training institutions in Ghana, pre-service teachers are not taught school leadership as a full course in Ghana. This suggests that pre-service teachers who will eventually become basic school leaders in the future are not adequately equipped to assume leadership roles in basic schools in Ghana. The poor performance of students in the West African Examination Council's (WAEC) Basic Education Certificate Examination (BECE) in Ghana has led to a public outcry on school leadership in the country (Edwards & Aboagye, 2015). It is also found in another study that principals' leadership style used in the colleges of Ghana is to inspire a shared vision in teachers, but practices are weak (Atakora, 2019). Edwards & Aboagye (2015) believes that leadership should be capable to bring about efficiency and results, and should be full of countless transformative ideas that ensures high performance of staff and students. Gyasi, Xi, & Owusu-Amponsah (2016) confirmed that headmasters are not well equipped in leadership practices and advocates GES to address the problem in the institutions in Ghana. There is a need to improve school leadership and management in all schools in Ghana (Ministry of Education, 2018). One of the core aims of transformational leadership is to reform low-achievement institutions for it to be effective in the academic and educational setting through motivating workers to be innovative, and providing them with a sense of freedom (Haj & Jubran, 2016). The purpose of this research is to investigate the transformational leadership style used by principals in some selected basic schools in the Eastern Region of Ghana and its relationship with teacher motivation in the view of improving higher performances of teachers and students in Ghana. Findings from the study adds to existing literature on the relationship between principals' transformational leadership style and teacher motivation and address the gap of the subject in the Ghanaian context. The researcher attempts to re-consider transformational leadership used by principals in basic schools in Ghana in motivating teachers towards school improvement, and to emphasize the need of GES to implement leadership courses in training schools in Ghana.

#### 2. Literature Review

# 2.1. Principals' Transformational Leadership Style

Research on transformational leadership have been on the increase over the past three decades in diverse context such as education, military, corporations, and politics (Balwant, 2019). Transformational leadership is considered as one of the most influential leadership models in the field of education (Berkovich, 2016; Crowne, 2019). Transformational leadership is a postmodern leadership approach where the leader is observable and measurable (Ustun, 2018). Transformational Leadership was first introduced

by Bass (1985). A transformational leader refers to a person who comprehend a realistic vision of the future that can be conveyed and shared, motivates subordinates intellectually, and addresses individual differences among subordinates (Balwant, Birdi, Stephan, & Topakas, 2018). Bass (1999) also define a transformational leader as one who has the ability to inspire followers to look beyond personal interests and elevates the subordinates' level of maturity, sense of achievement, well-being for people, organization and community. Traits associated with transformational leadership is exhibiting idealized influence, instilling confidence, respect and trust in organizational members, acting as role models, and expressing faith in organizational members (Al-husseini & Elbeltagi, 2018; Crowne, 2019). Sharma, Nagar, & Pathak (2012) asserts that a transformational leader encourages team work, is sensitive to the needs of followers, and tolerate diversity.

According to Bass (1985, 1990, 1999) and Avolio & Bass (2004), there are four dimensions of transformational leadership, namely; charismatic behavior. inspirational motivation, individualized consideration, intellectual stimulation. Bass termed charismatic behavior as idealized influence. Both idealized influence and inspirational motivation entails designing a better future for the organization, and articulating how it can be attained, setting examples and high standards of performance to be followed, and exhibiting determination and confidence. *Individualized consideration* is depicted by giving attention to the developmental needs of subordinates. supporting them, and coaching their development Lastly, intellectual stimulation involves encouraging followers to be creative and innovative. Transformational leadership is also associated with four other concepts; challenging the process, modelling the way, inspiring followers, encouraging the heart, and empowering followers through shared vision and trust (Curtis, De Vries, & Sheerin, 2011; Kouzes & Posner, 2002). Transformational leadership theory emphasizes on reconstructing and transforming schools to meet the educational demands of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Berkovich, 2016). Transformational leaders challenge themselves and their followers to achieve success in the organization (Crowne, 2019). They also challenge the practical approaches to problems and the status quo, and take risks (Lowe, Kroeck, & Sivasubramaniam, 1996).

Transformational leaders embrace dynamism, uncertainty, and complexity while building relationship that hinges on trust and shared vision (Clancy, Ferreira, Rainsbury, Rosenau, & Lock, 2017). Principals consider transformative leadership as significant (Balyer & Özcan, 2012). Transformational leadership is for those principals who can create conditions to pioneer a school to a new level (Yang, 2014). Teachers who work under principals who adopts the transformational leadership style are often satisfied and motivated to accomplish their tasks (Layton, 2003). Transformational leadership is applicable in the instructional context, helps to develop students

ability to generate ideas and examine situations critically (Pounder, 2003; Pounder, 2008). There is a relationship between transformational leadership exhibited by teachers in teaching and student motivation and learning (Noland & Richards, 2014). Although all leadership styles have some kind of influence on teachers and students' academic achievement, the transformational leadership style is considered to be the most efficient in reforming and restructuring schools (Gyasi, Xi, & Owusu-Ampomah, 2016). There's a correlation between transformational leadership and quality of work (Kallapadee, Tesaputa, & Somprach, 2017). Transformational leadership is a crucial element for innovation (Aguas, Zapata, & Arellano, 2017). Atakora (2019) is one of the few researchers who concluded in his study that transformational leadership has no direct impact on the job satisfaction of teachers, but fringe benefits, professional development, salary, and working environment.

#### 2.2. Teacher Motivation

Teacher motivation is simply the desire to teach and an individual's interpersonal style toward students when teaching (Gagne, 2014). Teacher motivation includes the practices they employ to ensure students' determination which ultimately leads to their success (Collie, Granziera, & Martin, 2019). Teacher motivation underpins teacher professional teaching practices and engagements (Thoonen, Sleegers, Oort, Peetsma, & Geijsel, 2011). In diverse cultures, teacher motivation has been linked to teaching quality, teacher commitment, and engagement which tend to influence students' outcomes in the classroom (Klassen, Al-Dhafri, Hannok, & Betts, 2011). To ensure teachers well-being and effective learning, there is a need to provide support (motivation) for teachers (Durksen, Klassen, & Daniels, 2017). Motivated teachers tend to be better performers in instructing students (Afshar & Doosti, 2016). The Ministry of Education assesses teachers' performance by measuring their dedication and commitment (Seniwoliba, 2013). Teacher's performance in contributing to students' success is affected by their motivation (Akuoko, Dwumah, & Baba, 2013). Teachers are vital figures in terms of their ability to motivate students for them to achieve academic success through their motivational strategies (Soenens, Sierens, Vansteenkiste, Dochy, & Goossens, 2012). Teacher motivation is regarded as one of the significant factors that influence students' interest in a particular subject (Keller, Neumann, & Fischer, 2017). Studies have proved that teacher motivation is a critical factor in teachers' commitment to their work and students' lessons at school (Davidson, 2007).

Prior studies have also identified teacher motivation as a decisive factor which is strongly related to students' learning (Klusmann & Richter, 2016). A large-scale survey which was conducted in an Iranian Junior Secondary School revealed that satisfied teachers strikingly differed from their

dissatisfied colleagues. While motivated teachers showed a lot of commitment to teaching, that was not the case for demotivated teachers (Afshar & Doosti, 2016). Another study which investigated the impact of teacher motivation on students' performance in Iran and Russia suggested that there is a significant positive correlation between teacher motivation and students' achievement (Taştan, et al., 2018). Teacher motivation has been also linked to higher educational reform and student motivation (Han & Yin, 2016). Teachers motivate students to ensure high academic performance by engaging interpersonally in their learning, preparing a structure for education, and increasing their autonomy (Ahn, Patrick, Chiu, & Levesque-Bristol, 2018). Mary (2010) also posited that to get the best performance from teachers for students to excel academically, there must be both intrinsic and extrinsic motivational systems for teachers. She noted job satisfaction, promotion, career achievement to be examples of intrinsic motivation, and salary, free meals, allowances to be examples of extrinsic motivation.

In order to highly motivate teachers, they should be adequately remunerated (Nwokeocha, 2017). Students are sometimes motivated to join the teaching profession because of the level of salary (Goller, Ursin, Vahasantanen, & Festner, 2019). Countries who offer high salary to their teachers are likely to contribute positively to students' academic performance and are also expected to have higher achievements at the national level (Akiba, Chiu, Shimizu, & Liang, 2012). Good salary serves as a motivation for academic staffs, including university teachers to be satisfied at work (Osakwe, 2014). Teachers play a crucial role in students' performance, better pay leads to higher motivation and satisfaction of teachers (Wamitu, 2018). Financial incentive is a mechanism that should improve the quality of teaching. In 2005, the Teacher Law was passed in Indonesia to address the weakness in teacher effectiveness, poor levels of pay and low motivation. Huge incentives were introduced to give certified teachers a professional allowance However, financial incentives may not be associated with improved learning (Ree, Al-Samarrai, & Iskandar, 2012). Though some researchers argue that monetary incentives improve performance and learning, recent studies with large samples suggest that it only increase performance quantity but not quality. Thus, in some way, monetary incentives are ineffective (Hulleman & Barron, 2010).

An important construct in teacher motivation research is teacher self-efficacy (Cobanoglu & Capa-Aydin, 2019). Teacher self-efficacy is a predictor of teaching practices, and to the extent to which a teacher will be involved in a classroom even when faced with challenges (Elisa Oppermann, Martin Brunner, & Yvonne Anders, 2019; Sarac & Aslan-Tutak, 2017). The academic achievement of students and the job satisfaction of teachers are impacted by teacher self-efficacy (Korte, 2018; Ninkovic' & Floric', 2018). Teachers with low self-efficacy are one of the contributing factors of teacher

attrition (Brown, Lee, & Collins, 2014; McKim & Velez, 2015) while high teacher self-efficacy is linked with teacher career commitment, teacher job satisfaction, student achievement, and teacher retention (Hancock & Scherff, 2010; Kelly & Northrop, 2015).

One deciding factor in teacher motivation has been identified as the interpersonal relationship between the teacher and his students (Hagenauer, Hascher, & Volet, 2015). The researchers found out that students who formed a positive relationship with their teachers made their teachers joyful, whereas negative relationships between students and their teachers made their teachers angry. Also, when students form good relationships with their teachers, they can navigate their way through school and improve their academic performance (Valientea, Julia , Swansona, Bradley, & Groh, 2019). Haruthaithanasan (2018) found out that educational reforms affect teacher motivation, and teacher motivation affects students' academic achievements. According to him, when teachers are in positive school environments, it promotes the academic performance of students. Teachers in supportive school climates are often kind, warmhearted, and friendly, and are more likely to provide useful feedback to their students.

# 2.3. Prior Research on Principals' Transformational Leadership Style and Teacher Motivation

Transformational leadership style is associated with greater teacher motivation, effort, and commitment (Berkovich & Eyal, The mediating role of principals' transformational leadership behaviors in promoting teachers' emotional wellness at work: A study in Israeli primary schools, 2016). Principals who practised the transformational leadership style increase teacher motivation and commitment (Raman, Mey, Don, Daud, & Khalid, 2015). School improvement is less likely to occur when there is no teacher motivation and trust between teachers and principals (Eliophotou-Menon & Androula, 2016). Eyal and Roth (2011) showed that transformational leadership style is positively associated with teacher motivation while transactional leadership style is negatively linked to teacher motivation. Alfahad, Alhajeri, & Alqahtani (2013) investigated whether there is a relationship between principal's leadership style and teacher achievement motivation. Their study revealed that both transformational and transactional leadership style motivated teachers to achieve educational goals. According to them, transformational leaders motivate teachers through task achievement while transactional leaders motivate teachers through bonuses punishment. Practising transformational leadership ends in the job satisfaction of teachers (Griffith, 2004). Cemaloğlu, Sezgin, & Kılınc (2012) in their study found that there is a relationship between the transformational and transactional leadership style of principals and teacher commitment in an organization. Both transformational and transactional principal leadership

styles have a positive relationship with teacher job satisfaction, however, transformational leadership style was found to be closely correlated to teacher job satisfaction (Nazim & Mahmood, 2016). Transformational leadership and teacher job satisfaction are closely related (Haj & Jubran, 2016). Cerit (2009) found that there is a positive correlation between servant leadership style and teacher commitment, job satisfaction, and improved student learning. Laissezfaire leadership style was also found to be negatively correlated to teacher motivation (Kadi, 2015). However, Eres (2011) and Gallmeier (1992) found a contrasting result which suggests that the leadership style of a principal has no correlation with teacher motivation.

Leaders who adopt the transformational leadership style are able to perceive the needs of followers (such as teachers) and use it to motivate them (Balyer & Özcan, 2012). Transformational leaders motivate and influences organization members to build trust and confidence in the organization (Kallapadee, Tesaputa, & Somprach, 2017). There is a need for principals to improve their transformational leadership to increase the morale of members. motivate staffs, and improve their satisfaction having the overall objectives of the school in mind (Yang, 2014). The emotional intelligence of followers is linked with transformational leadership (Aguas, Zapata, & Arellano, 2017). A study conducted in Greece revealed that teachers felt more satisfied when their principals practised the transformational leadership style as opposed to other leadership styles. By motivating teachers to work towards the fulfilment of school objectives and giving them extra attention, principals using the transformational leadership style makes their teachers satisfied (Aydin, Sarier, & Uysal, 2013). Savadi (2016) also found that principals' transformational leadership plays an essential role in the intrinsic and extrinsic motivation of teachers (Sayadi, 2016).

# 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. Research Design

A quantitative research design was used to investigate the relationship between principals' transformational leadership styles and teacher motivation. A non-experimental correlational design was used to study the relationship between the two constructs. Correlational research design is an aspect of quantitative study in which researchers use "correlation statistical test to describe and measure the degree of association (or relationship) between two or more variables or sets of scores" (Creswell, 2018, p. 338). A non-experimental correlational design, also known as ex-post facto design examines conditions that have already occurred and investigate relationships between these circumstances, behaviors, and characteristics (Leedy & Ormrod, 2010). This approach was chosen because the researcher does not manipulate directly the characteristics that serves as independent and

dependent variables in the study since their manifestations had already occurred (Cohen, Manion, & Morrison, 2007).

# 3.2. Research Questions

- 1. How do principals perceive their transformational leadership attributes?
- 2. How do teachers perceive their motivation as professionals working in the GES?
- 3. The main research question leading this investigation is to: Examine the relationship between principals' transformational leadership and teacher motivation in the view of improving the academic performance of students in the basic schools of Ghana.

This question seeks to investigate how the four dimensions of transformational leadership (Bass, 1985, 1990, 1999) are related to the intrinsic and extrinsic motivation of teachers in ensuring higher academic achievement of students in Ghanaian basic schools.

- a. What is the relationship between idealized influence and teacher motivation?
- b. What is the relationship between inspirational motivation and teacher motivation?
- c. What is the relationship between individualized consideration and teacher motivation?
- d. What is the relationship between intellectual stimulation and teacher motivation?

# 3.3. *Hypothesis*

- H0. There is no statistically significant relationship between idealized influence and teacher motivation.
- H01. There is no statistically significant relationship between inspiration motivation and teacher motivation.
- H02. There is no statistically significant relationship between individualized consideration and teacher motivation.
- H03. There is no statistically significant relationship between intellectual stimulation and teacher motivation.

# 3.4. Study Population and Sampling

The study was conducted in Nsawam-Adoagyiri Municipal Assembly in the Eastern Region of Ghana. The municipal has 51 basic schools, 54 principals, and 1,746 teachers. The target population consisted of all school principals and teachers in the municipal assembly. Two sampling techniques were used. First, purposive sampling was used to select 5 schools whose

principals practised the transformational leadership style and have at least five years of working experience at the school. Random sampling technique was used to select 20 teachers from each of the 5 schools. In all, 5 principals and 100 teachers were used in the study. Teachers included in the study were those who had spent at least two years at the school as a professional teacher at the Ghana Education Service (GES). This will enable teachers to effectively assess the intrinsic and extrinsic motivational variables at play in their schools and affecting them as professionals under the GES.

Personal Information	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Male	44	44.0
Female	56	56.0
Total	100	100.0
Age		
25-34	43	43.0
35-40	30	30.0
41-55	20	20.0
56-60	7	7.0
Total	100	100
<b>Marital Status</b>		
Married	59	59.0
Single	41	41.0
Total	100	100.0
<b>Education Level</b>		
Diploma	29	29.0
Bachelor's	53	53.0
Master's	18	18.0
Total	100	100.0
Years in Service		
1-10	40	40.0
11-20	37	37.0
21-30	23	23.0
Total	100	100.0

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants (Teachers)

The above table shows the demographic information about the "teacher" participants. The number of males was 44 whereas females were 56. The number of teachers between age 25-34 was 43, 35-40 were 30, 41-55 were 20, and 56-60 were 7. Also, 59 of the teachers were married while 41 were single. By educational level, 29 of the teachers had a diploma, 53 had bachelor's, and 18 had Master's. The number of teachers having 1-10 years of teaching experience was 40, 11-20 years of teaching experience were 37, and 21-30 years of teaching experience were 23.

### 3.5 Measures

Transformational Leadership: Data on principals' transformational style were collected using the Multifactor Questionnaire (MLQ6S), which was originally developed by Bass (2004). MLQ (6S) is a free and self-assessment leadership scale containing 21 items that highlights 7 factors of leadership styles. The scale comprises of four subscales measuring the elements of transformational leadership used by principals (idealized influenced, inspirational motivation, individualized consideration, and intellectual stimulation), two subscales of transactional leadership (contingent reward, management-by-exception), a subscale of Laissez-faire leadership (a type of non-leadership style where the leader abandons duties and avoid taking decisions). It is a five Likert scale that differentiates the response of participants ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree). The scale is widely used by researchers worldwide. It has been validated as having a good internal consistency (a Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.845) (Costache, 2018).

Teacher Motivation: The Teacher Motivation Assessment Scale (TMAS) developed by Obunadike (2013) was used to collect information on how teachers perceived their level of motivation. The scale was validated in Nigeria using three universities and is known to have a good internal consistency with a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.74. It is a four-point Likert scale consisting of 22 items with rating options ranging from strongly agree (4) to strongly disagree (1). The scale is designed to measure 5 motivational constructs; attitude, reward, commitment, punishment and interest. The researcher self-designed items to collect the demographic data of the teachers based on their age, gender, education level, and number of years in service.

#### 3.6. Procedures

After the consent of the Municipal Education Office was gained, an introductory letter was sent to each principal of the 5 selected schools detailing the purpose of the study. The Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ6S) was administered to the 5 principals of the selected schools for them to assess how they perceived their transformational leadership attributes. The Teacher Motivation Assessment Scale (TMAS) was sent to the 100 randomly chosen teachers to assess how they perceive their motivation as professionals working under the GES in their schools.

# 3.7. Data Analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) 20.0 was used to analyze the quantitative data collected. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the first two research questions ("How do principals perceive their transformational leadership attributes?", and "how do teachers perceive their motivation as professionals working in the GES?") while correlational

analysis was used to gain insights to the third research question ("What is the relationship between principal transformational leadership attributes and teacher motivation?"). To test the null hypothesis, statistical significance was set at p=0.05. The scores were presented in number, minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviations.

# 4. Results

1. How do principals perceive their transformational leadership attributes?

Lea	dership Dimension	Number of respondents	Mean	Standard deviation
1.	Idealized Influence	5	3.53	.38
a.	I make others feel good to be around me	5	3.60	.54772
b.	· ·	5	3.40	.54772
c.	Others are proud to be associated with me	5	3.60	.54772
2.	Inspirational	5	3.47	.18
	Motivation			
a.	I express with a few simple words what we could and should do	5	3.40	.54772
b.	I provide appealing images about what we can do	5	3.60	.54772
c.	I help others find meaning in their work	5	3.40	.54772
3.	Intellectual	5	3.47	.18
	Stimulation			
a.	I enable others to think about old	5	3.40	.54772
b.	problems in new ways I provide others with new ways of looking	5	3.60	.54772
c.	at puzzling things I get others to rethink ideas that they had never questioned	5	3.40	.54772
	before	_		
4.	Individual Consideration	5	3.53	.18
a.	I help others develop themselves	5	3.60	.54772

b.	I let others know how	5	3.60	.54772
	I think they are doing	_		
d.	I give personal	5	3.40	.54772
	attention to others			
	who seem rejected			
5.	Contingent Reward	5	3.53	.29
a.	I tell others what to do	5	3.40	.54772
	if they want to be			
	rewarded for their			
	work			
b.	I provide	5	3.60	.54772
	recognition/rewards			
	when others reach			
	their goals			
c.	I call attention to what	5	3.60	.54772
	others can get for			
	what they accomplish			
6.	Management-bye-	5	3.47	.38
	exception			
a.	I am satisfied when	5	3.60	.54772
	others meet agreed-			
	upon standards			
b.	As long as things are	5	3.80	.44721
	working, I do not try			
	to change anything			
c.	I tell others the	5	3.00	.70711
	standards they have to			
	know to carry out			
	their work			
7.	Laissez-faire	5	2.30	.47
	leadership			
a.	I am content to let	5	1.60	.54772
	others continue			
	working in the same			
	ways always			
b.	Whatever others want	5	1.80	.83666
	to do is OK with me			
c.	I ask no more of	5	3.60	.54772
	others than what is			
	absolutely essential			
	Table 2 Descriptive sta	4:-4:£41 1	. d	

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the leadership style of principals

Overall, the five principals self-reported that the transformational leadership dimension which they practised the most were "idealized influence" (M=3.53, SD=0.38) and "individual consideration" (M=3.53, SD=0.18), followed by "inspirational motivation" (M=3.47, SD=0.18) and "intellectual stimulation"(3.47, SD=0.18) based on the scores attained on a

four-point Likert scale on the four dimensions of transformational leadership. Additionally, scores were generated on their transactional leadership style (contingent reward and management-bye-exception) and laissez-faire leadership style. The scores obtained were; contingent reward (M=3.53, SD=0.29), management-by-exception (M=3.47, SD=0.38), and laissez-faire leadership (M=2.30, SD=0.47). The means scores suggest that the principals perceived they equally practised both the transformational leadership and transactional leadership style but less of laissez-faire leadership style.

2. How do teachers perceive their motivation as professionals working in the GES?

Teacher Motivation Assessment Sub-Scales							
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation		
Attitude	100	1.00	4.00	2.34	.48659		
Commitment	100	1.25	3.75	2.44	.54802		
Reward	100	1.00	3.60	2.23	.57516		
Punishment	100	1.20	4.00	2.48	.54003		
Interest	100	1.00	4.00	2.29	.58354		

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of teacher motivation

Generally, teachers reported low motivational levels. The descriptive statistics indicate that the average scores for attitude (M=2.34, SD=0.49), commitment (M=2.44, SD=0.55), reward (M=2.23, SD=0.58), punishment (M=2.48, SD=0.54), and interest (M=2.29, SD=0.58) are low. This means that the basic school teaches attitude and commitment towards the profession are low. Furthermore, reward and punishment systems put in place by educators are not effective in improving the motivation of the teachers. Also, strategies by administrators to keep the teachers interested in the profession are low. However, teachers reported improved motivation on the "punishment" dimension than all other dimensions of the Teacher Motivation Assessment Scale (TMAS).

Subs	scales of TMAS	N	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
				Freque	ency		
1.	Attitude			-			
a.	Adequate facilities are made available to enhance our job performance		8	39	52	1	
b.	There is a fair		6	46	46	2	

c.	consideration for all teachers in assignment of responsibilities The government and school authority, usually stimulate and encourage		14	35	46	5	
d.	teachers to greater work efficiency Conditions of	100	28	45	22	5	100
	service for teachers are stream-lined to enhance their performance						
<b>2.</b> a.	Commitment The authority		41	38	20	1	
	provides enough incentives to challenge teacher productivity						
b.	Teachers are allowed to express their feeling about their jobs		9	25	60	6	
c.	There is approval for teachers who apply for inservice training		4	27	55	14	
d.	Teachers are usually given assistance in solving their personal problems		13	32	43	12	
3.	Reward						
a.	Adequate leisure activities/progra ms are enjoyed by teachers		11	27	44	18	
b.	Teachers receive adequate commendation		27	39	27	7	

	for jobs well done					
c	. Teachers who go for further	23	41	24	12	
	studies are recognized by					
	the authority through instant					
	promotion or higher rank when					
	they successfully					
	complete their studies					
d	. Teachers are promoted	22	38	26	14	
	regularly without prejudice					
e		48	35	11	6	
	them attend					
	seminars and workshops					
4	. Punishment					
a	. Usually, there is poor human	11	25	39	25	
	relationship					
	between teachers and the school					
b	authority . Basic allowances	13	18	45	24	
	accruing from extra duties are					
	not made					
	available to teachers					
C	Erring teachers are not included	28	30	32	10	
	in school activities that					
	yield money to					
d		15	44	30	11	
	deprived compensations					
	that are due to them					
e	. Teachers are	23	38	35	4	
	denied up-to-date					

	information about their jobs					
5.	Interest					
a.	The authority often uses constructive criticism in correcting defaulting teachers	28	20	44	8	
b.	Teachers' social status are often compromised	19	37	33	11	
c.	Teachers enjoy adequate welfare scheme	15	51	25	9	
d.	There is a special package for teachers whose students excel in examinations	25	33	36	6	

Table 1. Frequencies of teacher response to TMAS

Analyzing the data descriptively, in the area of "attitude", a significant amount of the teachers believed that there were adequate facilities in the school to enhance their job performance. There was no difference between the teachers who disagreed and agreed that there is a fair consideration for teachers in terms of the assignment of tasks. Strikingly, a greater amount of the teachers reported that the working conditions of the profession are poor. As regards "commitment", most teachers believed incentives to challenge their productivity was low. However, more than half of the teachers asserted that they are able to express their feelings about their work. Approval for teachers to partake in in-service training and assistance given to teachers by school leaders were all inadequate. When it comes to "reward", close to half of the teachers were of the opinion that they enjoyed adequate leisure activities, but commendations on their jobs were minimal. The number of teachers who disagreed promotion opportunities was readily available outweighed those who agreed that there are opportunities for teachers to be promoted. Looking at "punishment", ample of the teachers agreed that there were good human relationships at their schools and basic allowances were made available. In terms of "interest", what stands out is that, more than half of the teachers disagreed that they enjoy adequate welfare scheme, and that their social status is low.

# 3. The relationship between principals' transformational leadership and teacher motivation

		Teacher Motivation
Transformational	Pearson Correlation (r)	.596
Leadership Attributes	Sig. (2-tailed)	.289

Table 5. Correlation between transformational leadership attributes and teacher motivation

		Teacher Motivation
Multifactor Leadership	Pearson Correlation (r)	630
Attributes	Sig. (2-tailed)	.255

Table 6. Correlation between multifactor leadership attributes and teacher motivation

Correlational analysis demonstrates no significant relationship between the four attributes of transformational leadership (*idealized influenced, inspirational motivation, individualized consideration, and intellectual stimulation*) and teacher motivation. Additionally, "table 6" reveals that there is a negative correlation between the multifactor leadership attributes of principals and teacher motivation. Statistical test with significance level set at p=0.05 was computed.

Transformational Leadership Dimension	Pearson (r)	Sig. (p)
Idealized Influence	648	.237
Inspirational Motivation	128	.838
Intellectual Stimulation	267	.664
Individual Consideration	.267	.664

Table 7. Correlation between transformational leadership dimensions and teacher motivation

The table shows the magnitude of correlation coefficient (Pearson, 'r") between each of the four dimensions of transformational leadership style and teacher motivation. Significant level was set at p=0.05. The results indicate a negative relation between "idealized influence", "inspirational motivation", "intellectual stimulation" and teacher motivation (r = -0.648, p=0.237, r = -0.128, p=0.838, r=-0.267, p=0.664, respectively). There was a positive but not statistically significant relationship between "individual consideration" and teacher motivation (r = 0.267, p=0.664). Correlational analysis done on each of the four transformational leadership attributes and teacher motivation shows that there is no statistically significant relationship between the transformational leadership style of principals and teacher motivation. This means that all the null hypothesis (H0, H01, H02, H03) were maintained.

		Attitude	Commitment	Reward	Punishment	Interest
Idealized Influence	Pearson Correla- tion	480	747	813	628	615

	Sig. (2-	.413	.147	.094	.257	.269
	tailed)					
Inspirational	Pearson	389	389	247	.050	320
Motivation	Correla-					
	tion					
	Sig. (2-	.518	.518	.689	.936	.599
	tailed)					
Intellectual	Pearson	.444	.167	247	452	120
Stimulation	Correla-					
	tion					
	Sig. (2-	.453	.789	.689	.444	.847
	tailed)					
Individual	Pearson	444	167	.247	.452	.120
Considera-	Correla-					
tion	tion					
	Sig. (2-	.453	.789	.689	.444	.847
	tailed)					
Contingent	Pearson	.748	.408	.043	185	.196
Reward	Correla-					
	tion					
	Sig. (2-	.146	.495	.945	.766	.752
	tailed)					
Management-	Pearson	.347	.347	.559	.266	.808
bye-exception	Correla-					
	tion					
	Sig. (2-	.567	.567	.327	.666	.098
	tailed)					
Laissez-faire	Pearson	645	968**	<b>888</b> *	876	388
leadership	Correla-		., 00	.000		.2 30
	tion					
	Sig. (2-	.239	.007	.044	.052	.519
	tailed)		,		.022	
	- tarroay					

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).\*\*

Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).\*

Table 8. Correlation between Multifactor Leadership dimensions and Teacher Motivation subscales

The results show that there is a negative correlation between "idealized influence" and all five subscales of teacher motivation; "attitude" (r = -4.80), "commitment" (r = -0.747), "reward" (r = -0.813), "punishment" (r = -0.628), and "interest" (r = -6.15). A negative correlation exists between "inspirational motivation" and four subscales of teacher motivation; "attitude" (r = -0.389), "commitment" (r = -0.389), "reward" (r = -0.247), and "interest" (r = -0.320). A negative correlation exists between "intellectual stimulation" and three subscales of teacher motivation; "reward" (r = -0.247), "punishment" (r = -0.452), and "interest" (r = -0.120). Finally, a negative correlation exists

between "individual consideration" and two subscales of teacher motivation; "attitude" (r = -0.444), "commitment" (r = -0.167).

Also, there is no statistically significant relationship between principal transactional leadership style (contingent reward and management-bye-exception) and teacher motivation. However, there is a statistically significant negative relationship between principal laisses-faire leadership style and two dimensions of teacher motivation ("commitment", Pearson "r" = -0.968, and "reward", Pearson "r" = -0.888).

#### 5. Discussion

The study aimed to investigate the relationship between principals' transformational leadership style and teacher motivation. Findings from the study revealed that there was no significant relationship between principal transformational leadership style and teacher motivation even though evidence exists that there is a statistically significant relationship between the two variables (Alfahad, Alhajeri, & Algahtani, 2013; Berkovich & Eyal, 2016; Eyal & Roth, 2011; Eliophotou-Menon & Androula, 2016; Griffith, 2004; Raman, Mey, Don, Daud, & Khalid, 2015). However, the results of the study are consistent with few other studies which also found no significant relationship with transformational leadership style and teacher motivation (Eres, 2011; Dale, 2012; Drakpa, 2018). This suggests that it is possible for teachers to record low motivational levels even when led by transformational leader. Who found a weak negative relationship between transformational and leadership and teacher motivation opined that teacher motivation decreased as transformational leadership decreased (Reynolds, 2009). Leong & Fischer (2010) in discussing the research by Eres (2011) in Turkey opined that a plausible reason for this findings is that transformational leadership in eastern cultures is weak. Thus, transformational leadership is contextual. Atakora (2019) found out that although leaders in Ghana employed the "shared vision" type of leadership, leaders rarely put it into practice.

Further analysis revealed that there was no or little difference between the transformational leadership style used by principals and their transactional leadership styles. Also, principals practised less of the laissez-faire leadership style. Since several studies (Eyal & Roth, 2011; Kunter et al, 2013; Nazim & Mahmood, 2016; Kriegbauma et al., 2019) have revealed that transactional leadership is more prone to teacher burnout and stress than transformational leadership, this could be a plausible reason why no significant relationship exists between transformational leadership style and teacher motivation in the study.

The low motivation of teachers in Ghana has been attributed to leadership and supervisory practices, low remuneration, less chance for promotion, unfavorable educational policies, low salaries, poor working

conditions, and low occupational status (Akuoko, Dwumah, & Baba, 2013; Atakora, 2019; Bennell & Akyeampong, 2007; Bennell, 2004; Salifu, Barriers to teacher motivation for professional practice in the Ghana education service, 2014). Findings from the teacher motivation survey indicate that teachers believe their welfare is not taken into consideration. Although the Ministry of Education ([MOE] 2017) asserted that they have recognized that teachers are integral to quality education and all policies (provision of incentives for teachers, pay their arrears, provide affordable houses, give teachers opportunities for professional development, restoration of trainee allowances, among others) on education will be teacher-centred in the next four years, teachers in Ghana still feel their welfare is not taken into consideration (Awuah, 2019). Also, teachers were of the opinion that their social status is compromised. Since social significance is one of the main motivators of entering the teaching profession (Berger & D'Ascoli, 2012) and teachers obtain satisfaction from high-order needs, including social relations and respect (Salifu & Agbenyega, 2013), it is imperative for school administrators and educators to establish mechanisms that would elevate the teaching profession.

# 6. Conclusion/Implications

The study results revealed that principals perceived that their transformational leadership were high, however, the teachers perceived their motivation to be low. Correlational analysis found out that there is no significant relationship between principals' transformational leadership style and teacher motivation, and a negative relationship between overall multifactor leadership attributes of principals and teacher motivation. This finding is in contrast with myriads of evidence that exists in literature that there is a significant relationship between transformational leadership and teacher motivation. This makes this study an isolated case among many studies. As already revealed, some of the plausible factors (i.e. incentives and educational policies) accounting for the low motivation of teachers may not be directly related to the transformational leadership style of principals. However, the results from the multifactor leadership questionnaire indicate that principals should improve upon their transformational leadership attributes like "idealized influence" and "inspirational motivation". It is imperative for principals to put into practice the transformational leadership style as they self-reported. Policymakers and school administrators should organize more leadership training programs for principals, inculcate leadership courses in the curriculum of teacher training institutions in the country, and also ensure teachers are adequately motivated to increase their productively, which ultimately improve the academic performance of students (Alam & Farid, 2011; Keller, Neumann, & Fischer, 2017).

#### 7. Recommendations

The purposive sampling was used to select 5 schools out of 51 schools in the municipality. This means that the large sample size of the country made it impossible to collect data from all the target population. Future researchers are encouraged to carry out a similar research in the municipality using many schools which will help in the reliability, validity, and generalizing of the findings obtained.

#### References

- Alam, M. T., & Farid, S. (2011). Factors affecting teachers motivation. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(1), 298-304.
- Abdullah, A. R., Muhammad, M. Z., & Nasir, N. A. (2019). The role of soft skills within business students towards graduate employability. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business*, 7(2), 1-14. doi:10.17687/JEB.07.02
- Ackah-Jnr, F. R. (2018). System and school-level resources for transfroming and optimizing inclusive education in early childood settings: What Ghana can learn. *European Journal of Education Studies*, *5*(6), 203-220. doi:10.5281/zenodo.1494880
- Afful-Broni, A. (2004). Theory and practice of educational leadership in Ghana. Accra, Ghana: Yamens Press.
- Afshar, H. S., & Doosti, M. (2016). Investigating the impact of job satisfaction/dissatisfaction on Iranian English teachers' job performance. *Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research*, 4(1), 97-115.
- Aguas, P. P., Zapata, L. V., & Arellano, D. L. (2017). Transformational leadership plans. *World Journal of Education*, 7(4), 1-11. doi:10.5430/wje.v7n4p1
- Ahn, I., Patrick, H., Chiu, M. M., & Levesque-Bristol, C. (2018). Measuring teacher practices that support student motivation: Examining the factor structure of the teacher as social context questionnaire using multilevel factor analyses. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 37(6), 1-14. doi:10.1177/0734282918791655
- Akiba, M., Chiu, Y.-L., Shimizu, K., & Liang, G. (2012). Teacher salary and national achievement: A cross-national analysis of 30 countries. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *53*, 171-181. doi:10. 1016/j.ijer.2012.03.007
- Akuoko, K. O., Dwumah, P., & Baba, W. M. (2013). Teacher motivation and quality education delivery: A study of public basic schools in Tamale metropolis in Ghana. *International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research*, 1(12), 29-46.

- Alfahad, H., Alhajeri, S., & Alqahtani, A. (2013). The Relationship between school principals' leadership styles and teachers' achievement motivation. *Chinese Business Review*, 12(6), 443-448.
- Al-husseini, S., & Elbeltagi, I. (2018). Evaluating the effect of transformational leadership on knowledge sharing using structural equation modelling: the case of Iraqi higher education. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 21(4), 506–517. doi:10.1080/13603124.2016.1142119
- Atakora, P. O. (2019). Leadership practices of principals of colleges of education and their influence on job satisfaction of tutors in Ghana. *The International Journal of Humanities and Social Studies*, 7(5), 32-43. doi:10.24940/theijhss/2019/v7/i5/HS1905-010
- Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2004). *Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire: Manual and sample set.* California: Mind Garden, Inc.
- Awuah , J. J. (2019, December 10). *Teachers Continue strike over Mahama Arrears*. Retrieved from https://dailyguidenetwork.com/teachers-continue-strike-over-mahama-arrears/
- Aydin, A., Sarier, Y., & Uysal, S. (2013). The effect of school principals' styles on teachers' organizational commitment and job satisfaction. *Educational Sciences. Theory & Practice*, 13(2), 806 811.
- Balwant, P. (2019). Stay close! The role of leader distance in the relationship between transformational leadership, work engagement, and performance in undergraduate project teams. *Journal of Education for Business*, 94(6), 369-380. doi:10.1080/08832323.2018.1541851
- Balwant, P. T., Birdi, K., Stephan, U., & Topakas, A. (2018). Transformational instructor-leadership and academic performance: a moderated mediation model of student engagement and structural distance. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 43(7), 884-900. doi:10.1080/0309877X.2017.1420149
- Balyer, A., & Özcan, K. (2012). Cultural adaptation of headmasters' transformative leadership scale and a study on teachers' perceptions. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 49, 103-128.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and Performance beyond Expectations*. New York: London: Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. (1990). 'From transactional to transformational leadership: learning to share the vision". *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(3), 19-31. doi:10.1016/0090-2616(90)90061-S
- Bass, B. M. (1999). Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8(1), 9-32. doi:10.1080/135943299398410
- Becker, E. S., Goetz, T., Morger, V., & Ranellucci, J. (2014). The importance of teachers' emotions and instructional behavior for their students'

- emotions. An experience sampling analysis. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 43, 15-26. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2014.05.002
- Bello, S., Ibi, M. B., & Bukar, I. B. (2016). Principals' administrative styles and students' academic performance in Taraba state secondary schools, Nigeria. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(18), 62-69.
- Bennell, P. (2004). *Teacher motivation and incentives in Sub-Saharan Africa and Asia*. Brighton: Knowledge and Skills for Development.
- Bennell, P., & Akyeampong, K. (2007). *Teacher Motivation in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia*. London: DFID.
- Berger, J.-L., & D'Ascoli, Y. (2012). Becoming a VET teacher as a second career: Investigating the determinants of career choice and their relation to. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 40(3), 317-341. doi:10.1080/1359866X.2012.700046
- Berkovich, I. (2016). "School leaders and transformational leadership theory: time to part ways? *Journal of Educational Administration*, 54(5), 609-622. doi:10.1108/JEA-11-2015-0100
- Berkovich, I., & Eyal, O. (2016). The mediating role of principals' transformational leadership behaviors in promoting teachers' emotional wellness at work: A study in Israeli primary schools. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 45(2), 16-335. doi:10.1177/1741143215617947
- Brown, A. L., Lee, J., & Collins, D. (2014). Does student teaching matter? Investigating pre-service teachers' sense of efficacy and preparedness. *Teaching Education*, 26(1), 77-93. doi:10.1080/10476210.2014.957666
- Burić, I. (2019). The role of emotional labor in explaining teachers' enthusiasm and students' outcomes: A multilevel mediational analysis. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 70, 12-2. doi:10.1016/j.lindif. 2019.01.002
- Cheng, Y. C., & Townsend, A. C. (2000). Educational Change and Development in the Asia-Pacific Region: Challenges for the Future. Lisse Netherland: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Clancy, T. L., Ferreira, C., Rainsbury, J., Rosenau, P., & Lock, J. (2017). Influence of co-teaching on the development of transformational leadership skills in undergraduate nursing students: a pilot study. *College Quarterly*, 20(3), 1-23.
- Cobanoglu, R., & Capa-Aydin, Y. (2019). Sources of teacher beliefs about developmentally appropriate practice: a structural equation model of the role of teacher efficacy beliefs. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 27(2), 195-207. doi:10.1080/1350293X.2019. 1579547
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research Methods in Education* (6 ed.). London: Routledge.

- Collie, R. J., Granziera, H., & Martin, A. J. (2019). Teachers' motivational approach: Links with students' basic psychological need frustration, maladaptive engagement, and academic outcomes. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 86, 1-13. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2019.07.002
- Costache, A. (2018). Transformational leadership in Romania's education system: preliminary results. *Romanian Journal of Psychological Studies*, 6(2), 3-12.
- Creswell, J. W. (2018). *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (Fifth edition ed.). Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Crowne, K. A. (2019). "Investigating antecedents of transformational leadership in students". *Journal of International Education in Business*, 12(1), 80-94. doi:10.1108/JIEB-07-2018-0029
- Curtis, E., De Vries, J., & Sheerin, F. (2011). Developing leadership in nursing: exploring core factors. *British Journal of Nursing*, 20(5), 306-309. doi:10.12968/bjon.2011.20.5.306
- Dale, J. C. (2012). The correlation of the perceived leadership style of middle school principals to teacher job satisfaction and efficacy. Lynchburg, VA: Liberty University.
- Dampson, D. G., Havor, F. M., & Laryea, P. (2018). Distributed leadership an instrument for school improvement: The study of public senior high schools in Ghana. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, *5*(2), 79-85. doi:10.20448/journal.509.2018.52 79.85
- Davidson, E. (2007). The pivotal role of teacher motivation in tanzanian education. *The Educational Forum*, 71(2), 157-166.
- Ding, H., Margaret, C., & Rubie-Davies. (2019). Teacher expectation intervention: Is it effective for all students? *Learning and Individual Differences*, 74, 1-10. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2019.06.005
- Donkor, A. K. (2015). Basic school leaders in Ghana: How equipped are they? *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 18(2), 225-238. doi:10.1080/13603124.2013.817610
- Dou, D., Devos, G., & Valcke, M. (2016). The relationships between school autonomy gap, principal leadership, teachers' job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *Educational Management Administration and Leadership*, 45(6), 959–977. doi:10.1177/1741143216653975
- Drakpa, D. (2018). Relationship between principals' transformational leadership and teacher motivation in central cchools of Zhemgang District, Bhutan. RSU International Research Conference.
- Durksen, T., Klassen, R., & Daniels, L. M. (2017). Motivation and collaboration: The keys to a developmental framework for teachers' professional learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 67, 53-66. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2017.05.011

- Edwards, A. K., & Aboagye, S. K. (2015). Assessing school leadership challenges in Ghana using leadership practices inventory. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, *3*(4), 168-181.
- Eliophotou-Menon, M., & Androula, I. (2016). The link between transformational leadership and teachers' job satisfaction, commitment, motivation to learn, and trust in the leader. (M. Shurden, & S. Shurden, Eds.) *Academy of Educational Leadership Journal*, 20(3), 12-22.
- Elisa Oppermann, Martin Brunner, & Yvonne Anders. (2019). The interplay between preschool teachers' science self-efficacy beliefs, their teaching practices, and girls' and boys' early science motivation. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 70, 86-99. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2019.01.006
- Eranil, A. K., & Özbilen, F. M. (2017). Relationship between school principals' ethical leadership behaviours and positive climate practices. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 6(4), 100-112. doi:10.5539/jel.v6n4p100
- Eres, F. (2011). Relationship between teacher motivation and transformational leadership characteristics of school principals. *International Journal of Education*, *3*(2), 1-17. doi:10.5296/ije.v3i2.798
- Eyal, O., & Roth, G. (2011). Principals' leadership and teachers' motivation Self-determination theory analysis. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 49(3), 256-275. doi:10.1108/09578231111129055
- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Decker, A.-T., Büttner, G., Hardy, I., Klieme, E., & Kunter, M. (2019). The effects of teacher competence on student outcomes in elementary science education: The mediating role of teaching quality. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2019.102882
- Gagne, M. (2014). The Oxford handbook of work engagement, motivation, and self-determination theory. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ghazala, N., Riffat-un-Nisa, A., & Anam, N. (2015). Relationship between leadership styles of school heads and their teachers' job satisfaction as moderated by locus of control and task structure. *Journal of Educational Research*, 18(2), 14-31.
- Goller, M., Ursin, J., Vahasantanen, K., & Festner, D. (2019). Finnish and German student teachers' motivations for choosing teaching as a career. The first application of the FIT-Choice scale in Finland. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 49, 235-248. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.06.023
- Griffith, J. (2004). Relation of principal transformational leadership to school staff job satisfaction staff turnover and school performance. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 42(3), 333-356. doi:10.1108/095782304 10534667
- Gyasi, R. S., Xi, W. B., & Owusu-Ampomah, Y. (2016). The effect of leadership styles on learners' performance. The case of Asonomaso

- Nkwanta in the Kwabre district assembly of Ashanti Region in Ghana. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(29), 8-17.
- Hagenauer, G., Hascher, T., & Volet, S. (2015). Teacher emotions in the classroom: Associations with students' engagement classroom discipline and the interpersonal teacher-student relationship. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 30, 385-403. doi:10.1007/s10212-015-0250-0
- Haj, S. J., & Jubran, A. M. (2016). The extent of principals' application of the transformational leadership and its relationship to the level of job satisfaction among teachers of Galilee region. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(11), 114-119.
- Han, J., & Yin, H. (2016). Teacher motivation: Definition, research development and implications for teachers. *Cogent Education*, 3(1), 1217819. doi:10.1080/2331186X.2016.1217819
- Hancock, C. B., & Scherff, L. (2010). Who will stay and who will leave? Predicting secondary English teacher attrition risk. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 61(4), 328-338. doi:10.1177/022487110372214
- Heck, R. H., Larsen, T. J., & Marcoulides, G. A. (1990). Instruction leadership and school achievement: Validation of a causal model. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 26(2), 94-125. doi:10.1177/00 13161X90026002002
- Hui, H., Jenatabadi, H. S., Ismail, N. A., & Radzi, C. W. (2013). Principal's leadership style and teacher job satisfaction: A case study in China. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 5(4), 175-184.
- Hulleman, C. S., & Barron, K. E. (2010). Performance pay and teacher Motivation: Separating myth from reality. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 91(8), 27-31. doi:10.1177/003172171009100806
- Janke, S., Bardach, L., Oczlon, S., & Lüftenegger, M. (2019). Enhancing feasibility when measuring teachers' motivation: A brief scale for teachers' achievement goal orientations. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 83, 1-11.
- Kadi, A. (2015). Investigating teachers' organizational socialization levels and perceptions about leadership styles of their principals. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, *3*(4), 101-109. doi:10.11114/jets.v3i4 .837
- Kallapadee, Y., Tesaputa, K., & Somprach, K. (2017). Strengthening the creative transformational leadership of primary. *International Education Studies*, 10(4), 179-186. doi:10.5539/ies.v10n4p179
- Keller, M. M., Neumann, K., & Fischer, H. E. (2017). The impact of physics teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and motivation on students' achievement and interest. *Journal of Research In Science Teaching*, 54(5), 586-614. doi:10.1002/tea.21378

- Kelly, S., & Northrop, L. (2015). Early career outcomes for the "Best and the Brightest": selectivity, satisfaction, and attrition in the beginning teacher longitudinal survey. *American Educational Research Journal*, 52(4), 1-31. doi:10.3102/0002831215587352
- Kiboss, J. K., & Jemiryott, H. K. (2014). Relationship between principals' leadership styles and secondary school teachers' job satisfaction in Nandi south district, Kenya. *Journal of Education and Human Development*, 3(2), 493-509.
- Klassen, R. M., Al-Dhafri, S., Hannok, W., & Betts, S. M. (2011). Investigating pre-service teacher motivation across cultures using the Teachers'. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(3). 579-588. doi:10. 1016/j.tate.2010.10.012
- Klusmann, U., & Richter, D. (2016). Teachers' emotional exhaustion is negatively related to students' achievement: Evidence from a large-scale assessment study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 108(8), 1193-1203. doi:10.1037/edu0000125
- Korte, D. S. (2018). Influence of social support on teacher self-efficacy in novice agricultural education teachers. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 59(3), 100-123.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2002). *Leadership Challenge*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Kriegbauma, K., Steinmayrb, R., & Spinath, B. (2019). Longitudinal reciprocal effects between teachers' judgments of students' aptitude, students' motivation, and grades in math. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 48, 67–84 doi:10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.101807
- Kunter, M., Klusmann, U., Baumert, J., Richter, D., Voss, T., & Hachfeld, A. (2013). Professional competence of teacher: Effects on instructional quality and student development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105(3), 805-820.
- Ladd, H. F. (2011). Teachers' perceptions of their working conditions: How predictive of planned and actual teacher movement? *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 33(2), 235-261. doi:10.3102/0162373711398128
- Layton, J. K. (2003). *Transformational leadership and the middle school principal*. United States, Indiana: Purdue University.
- Le Tellier, J. P. (2006). *Quantum Learning & Instructional Leadership in Practice*. Thousand Oaks, California: Corwin: Sage Publications.
- Leedy, P., & Ormrod, J. (2010). *Practical Research* (9 ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Leithwood, K. H. (2008). Seven strong claims about successful school leadership. *School Leadership and Management*, 28(1), 27-42. doi:10. 1080/13632430701800060

- Leong, L., & Fischer, R. (2010). Is transformational leadership universal? A metaanalytical investigation of multifactor leadership questionnaire means across cultures. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 18(2), 164-174.
- Ling, S., & Ling, M. (2012). The influence of transformational leadership on teacher commitment towards organization, teaching profession, and student learning in secondary schools in Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia. *International Journal for Educational Studies*, 4(2), 155-178.
- Lowe, K. B., Kroeck, K. G., & Sivasubramaniam, N. (1996). Effectiveness correlates of transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic review of the MLQ literature. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 7(3), 385-415. doi:10.1016/S1048-9843(96)90027-2
- McKim, A. J., & Velez, J. J. (2015). Exploring the relationship between self-efficacy and career commitment among early career agriculture teachers. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 56(1), 127-140. doi:10.5032/jae.2015.01127
- Ministry of Education. (2018). Education Sector Analysis 2018.
- Nazim, F., & Mahmood, A. (2016). Principals' transformational and transactional leadership style and job satisfaction of college teachers. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(34), 18-22.
- Ninkovic', S. R., & Floric', O. C. (2018). Transformational school leadership and teacher self-efficacy as predictors of perceived collective teacher efficacy. *Educational Management, Administration & Leadership*, 46(1), 49–64. doi:10.1177/1741143216665842
- Noland, A., & Richards, K. (2014). The relationship among transformational teaching and student motivation and learning. *The Journal of Effective Teaching*, 14(3), 5-20.
- Nwokeocha, S. (2017). Teacher quality, development and motivation in Nigeria. *African Educational Research Journal*, *5*(2), 126-134.
- Obunadike, J. C. (2013). Development and validation of teacher motivation assessment scale for quality assurance in universities in Anambra State. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, *3*(6), 95-104.
- Osakwe, R. N. (2014). Factors affecting motivation and job satisfaction of academic staff of universities in South-South geopolitical zone of Nigeria. *International Education Studies*, 7(7), 43-51. doi:10.5539/ies.v7n7p43
- Pounder, J. S. (2003). Employing transformational leadership to enhance the quality of management development instruction. *Journal of Management Development*, 22(1), 1-13. doi:10.1108/02621710310454824
- Pounder, J. S. (2008). Transformational leadership: Practicing what we teach in the management classroom. *Journal of Education For Business*, 84(1), 2-6. doi:10.3200/JOEB.84.1.2-6

- Prelli, G. E. (2016). How school leaders might promote higher levels of collective teacher efficacy at the level of school and team. *English Language Teaching*, 9(3), 174-180. doi:10.5539/elt.v9n3p174
- Raman, A., Mey, C. H., Don, Y., Daud, Y., & Khalid, R. (2015). Relationship between principals' transformational leadership style and secondary school teachers' commitment. *Asian Social Science*, *11*(15), 221-228. doi:10.5539/ass.v11n15p221
- Ree, J., Al-Samarrai, S., & Iskandar, S. (2012). *Teacher certification in Indonesia: a aoubling of Pay, or a way to improve learning?* indonesia: Policy Brief.
- Reynolds, C. L. (2009). *Transformational leadership and teacher motivation in Southwestern Arizona high schools*. Capella University.
- Rimm-Kaufman, S., & Hamre, B. (2010). The role of psychological and developmental science in efforts to improve teacher quality. *Teachers College Record*, 2988-302.
- Ritzema, E. S., Deunk, M. I., Bosker, R. J., & van Kuijk, M. F. (2016). The relation between teacher-set performance goals and students' mathematical achievement. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *51*, 17-28. doi:10.1016/j.stueduc.2016.08.003
- Ruiter, J. A., Poorthuis, A. M., & Koomen, H. M. (2019). Relevant classroom events for teachers: A study of student characteristics, student behaviors, and associated teacher emotions. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 86. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2019.102899
- Ruzek, E. A., Hafen, C. A., Allen, J. P., & Gregory, A. (2016). How teacher emotional support motivates students: The mediating roles of perceived peer relatedness, autonomy support, and competence. *Learning and Instruction*, 42, 95-103. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2016.01.004
- Salifu, I. (2014). Barriers to teacher motivation for professional practice in the Ghana education service. *Policy Futures in Education*, *12*(5), 718-729.
- Salifu, I., & Agbenyega, J. S. (2013, May). Teacher Motivation and Identity Formation: Issues Affecting Professional Practice. *Journal of Educational Studies, Trends & Practices, Vol. 3*, 58-74.
- Sarac, A., & Aslan-Tutak, F. (2017). The relationship between teacher efficacy, and students' trigonometry self-efficacy and achievement. *International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, 18(11), 66-83.
- Sayadi, Y. (2016). The effect of dimensions of transformation, transactional, and non leadership on the job satisfaction and organizational commitment of teachers in Iran. *Management in Education*, 30(2), 57-65. doi:10.1177/0892020615625363
- Schiefele, U. (2017). Classroom management and mastery-oriented instruction as mediators of the effects of teacher motivation on student

- motivation. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 64, 115-126. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2017.02.004
- Schiefele, U., & Schaffner, E. (2015). Teacher interests, mastery goals, and self-efficacy as predictors of instructional practices and student motivation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 42, 159-171. doi:10.1016/j.cedpsych.2015.06.005
- Seniwoliba, A. J. (2013). Teacher motivation and job satisfaction in senior high schools in the Tamale metropolis of Ghana. *Merit Research Journal of Education and Review, 1*(9), 181-196.
- Sharma, P., Nagar, P., & Pathak, S. C. (2012). Impact of transformational leadership on creative flexibility of engineers in India. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 57, 555 559. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1224
- Shen, B., McCaughtry, N., Martin, J., Garn, A., Kulik, N., & Fahlman, M. (2015). The relationship between teacher burnout and student motivation. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 519-532.
- Soenens, B., Sierens, E., Vansteenkiste, M., Dochy, F., & Goossens, L. (2012). Psychologically controlling teaching: Examining outcomes, antecedents, and mediators. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 104(1), 108-120, doi:10.1037/a0025742
- Sun, J. (2004). Understanding the impact of perceived principal leadership style on teacher commitment. *International Studies in Educational Administration*, 32(2), 18-31.
- Taştan, S. B., Davoudi, S. M., Masalimova, A. R., Bersanov, A. S., Kurbanov, R. A., Boiarchuk, A. V., & Pavlushin, A. A. (2018). The impacts of teacher's efficacy and motivation on student's academic achievement in science education among secondary and high school students. *Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(6), 2353-2366. doi:10.29333/ejmste/89579
- Tella, A. (2008). Teacher Variables As Predictors of Academic Achievement of Primary School Pupils Mathematics. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 17-33.
- Thoonen, E. E., Sleegers, P. J., Oort, F. J., Peetsma, T. T., & Geijsel, F. P. (2011). How to improve teaching practices: The role of teacher motivation, organizational factors, and leadership practices. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 47(3), 496–536. doi:10.1177/0013161X11400185
- Ustun, U. D. (2018). Transformational leadership behaviors of high school students' according to leisure preferences and participation type. *World Journal of Education*, 8(4), 18-23. doi:10.5430/wje.v8n4p18
- Valientea, C., Julia, P. H., Swansona, J., Bradley, R. H., & Groh, M. (2019). Early elementary student-teacher relationship trajectories predict girls'

- math and boys' reading achievement. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 49(4), 109–121. doi:10.1016/j.ecresq.2019.05.001
- Wamitu, S. N. (2018). Motivational strategies for teacher attraction and retention in Nyeri County, Kenya. *The International Journal of Business Management and Technology*, 2(1), 16-25.
- Wenjuana, G., Ling, L. K., & Jun, W. (2019). Teacher feedback and students' self-regulated learning in mathematics: A comparison between a high-achieving and a low-achieving secondary schools. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 63, 48-58. doi:10.1016/j.stueduc.2019.07.001
- Williams, C. G. (2011). Distributed leadership in South African schools: Possibilities and constraints. *South African Journal of Education*, 31(2), 190 200.
- Williams, W. E. (2018). *Principal leadership style, teacher motivation, and teacher retention.* Minneapolis, Minnesota: Walden University.
- Yang, Y. (2014). Principals' transformational leadership in school improvement. *Journal of Academic Administration in Higher Education*, 28(3), 77-83. doi:10.1108/IJEM-04-2013-0063
- Yu, R., & Singh, K. (2016). Teacher support, instructional practices, student motivation, and mathematics achievement in high school. *The Journal* of Education Research, 111(1), 81-94. doi:10.1080/00220671.2016. 1204260
- Zahay, D., & Kumar, A. (2017). Motivation and active learning to improve student performance: An extended abstract. In M. Stieler (Ed.), *Academy of Marketing Science* (pp. 1-5). Germany: Springer, Cham. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-45596-9



© 2020 Adarkwah, & Zeyuan, licensee International Journal of Educactional Excellence, Universidad Ana G. Méndez (UAGM). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.