Advance Publication

# **INDUSTRIAL HEALTH**

Received: September 14, 2021

Accepted: November 14, 2021

J-STAGE Advance Published Date: November 22, 2021

1	Article type: Original Article
2	
3	Title: Inter-observer agreement and accuracy in classifying radiographs for pneumoconiosis
4	among Asian physicians taking AIR Pneumo certification examination
5	
6	Authors:
7	Naw Awn J-P <sup>1</sup> , Agus Dwi SUSANTO <sup>2</sup> , Erlang SAMOEDRO <sup>2</sup> , Muchtaruddin MANSYUR <sup>3</sup> ,
8	Sutarat TUNGSAGUNWATTANA <sup>4</sup> , Saijai LERTROJANAPUNYA <sup>4</sup> , Ponglada
9	SUBHANNACHART <sup>4</sup> , Somkiat SIRIRUTTANAPRUK <sup>5</sup> , Narongpon DUMAVIBHAT <sup>6</sup> ,
10	Eduardo ALGRANTI <sup>7</sup> , John E. PARKER <sup>8</sup> , Kurt G. HERING <sup>9</sup> , Hitomi KANAYAMA <sup>10</sup> ,
11	Taro TAMURA <sup>11</sup> , Yukinori KUSAKA <sup>12, 13</sup> and Narufumi SUGANUMA <sup>1</sup>
12	
13	Affiliation:
14	<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Medicine, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University, Japan
15	<sup>2</sup> Department of Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas
16	Indonesia, Persahabatan Hospital, Indonesia
17	<sup>3</sup> Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia &
18	Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Regional Centre for Food and Nutrition (SEAMEO
19	RECFON), Indonesia
20	<sup>4</sup> Department of radiology, Central Chest Institute of Thailand, Department of Medical
21	Services, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand
22	<sup>5</sup> Department of Disease Control, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand
23	<sup>6</sup> Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital,
24	Mahidol University, Thailand
25	<sup>7</sup> Division of Applied Research, FUNDACENTRO, Brasil

- 26 <sup>8</sup>Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Robert C. Byrd Health Sciences Center, School of
- 27 Medicine, West Virginia University, USA
- <sup>9</sup>Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Radio-oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Radiological
- 29 Clinic, Miner's Hospital. Klinikum-Westfalen (Knappschaftskrankenhaus), Germany
- 30 <sup>10</sup>Division of Environmental Health, Department of International Social and Health Sciences,
- 31 Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Fukui, Japan
- 32 <sup>11</sup>Fukui City Public Health Center, Japan
- 33 <sup>12</sup>School of Medical Sciences, University of Fukui, Japan
- 34 <sup>13</sup>Kochi Medical School, Kochi University, Japan
- 35
- 36 Corresponding Author: Narufumi Suganuma
- 37 Department of Environmental Medicine, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University
- 38 Kohasu, Oko, Nankoku, Kochi, 783-8505 Japan.
- 39 Phone & Fax: +81-88-880-2407
- 40 E-mail: <u>nsuganuma@kochi-u.ac.jp</u>
- 41 ORCID: 0000-0003-1610-6216
- 42

43 Running title: CLASSIFYING PNEUMOCONIOSIS BY AIR PNEUMO READERS

- 44
- 45

46	Abstract: This study examined inter-observer agreement and diagnostic accuracy in
47	classifying radiographs for pneumoconiosis among Asian physicians taking the AIR Pneumo
48	examination. We compared agreement and diagnostic accuracy for parenchymal and pleural
49	lesions across residing countries, specialty training, and work experience using data on 93
50	physicians. Physicians demonstrated fair to good agreement with kappa values 0.30 (95% CI:
51	0.20–0.40), 0.29 (95% CI: 0.23–0.36), 0.59 (95% CI: 0.52–0.67), and 0.65 (95% CI: 0.55–
52	0.74) in classifying pleural plaques, small opacity shapes, small opacity profusion, and large
53	opacities, respectively. Kappa values among Asian countries ranging from 0.25 to 0.55
54	(pleural plaques), 0.47 to 0.73 (small opacity profusion), and 0.55 to 0.69 (large opacity size).
55	The median Youden's J index (interquartile range) for classifying pleural plaque, small
56	opacity, and large opacity was 61.1 (25.5), 76.8 (29.3), and 88.9 (23.3), respectively.
57	Radiologists and recent graduates showed superior performance than other groups regarding
58	agreement and accuracy in classifying all types of lesions. In conclusion, Asian physicians
59	taking the AIR Pneumo examination were better at classifying parenchymal lesions than
60	pleural plaques using the ILO classification. The degree of agreement and accuracy was
61	different among countries and was associated with background specialty training.
62	
63	Keywords: AIR Pneumo, Chest radiograph, Diagnostic accuracy, Occupational health,

64 Pneumoconiosis, Reader agreement

#### 66 INTRODUCTION

67 Pneumoconiosis, a diffuse lung disease caused by inhaled industrial or environmental dust, presents radiographically with multiple reticular or variable-sized nodular opacities<sup>1</sup>). 68 69 Pleural plaque, an irregular, circumscribed area of dense, firm, fibrous tissue, usually resulting from asbestos exposure, appears radiographically as discrete areas of pleural 70 thickening<sup>2</sup>). Screening for lung or pleural changes in a dust-exposed worker is performed 71 primarily by periodic reviews of chest radiographs<sup>3</sup>). The detection and interpretation of the 72 two conditions in a chest radiograph is highly subjective and reader-dependent. To 73 74 standardize reports and facilitate international comparison of data, the International Labour Office developed a classification system (ILO classification)<sup>4)</sup>. This classification system is 75 76 composed of guidelines and a set of standard radiographs, exemplifying the spectrum of the 77 disease. The ILO published the first edition in 1950 and made several revisions to clarify ambiguities in earlier editions but preserved the basic structure of the system. Since its 78 establishment, the ILO classification is increasingly being adopted internationally for use in 79 80 epidemiological research, screening, and surveillance of pneumoconiosis. Screening and surveillance programs are very effective at detecting new cases of 81 pneumoconiosis and also provide information about trend and burden of disease in workers 82

exposed to mineral dust<sup>5)</sup>. To promote the efficiency of screening programs in developing countries, the Asian Intensive Reader of Pneumoconiosis (AIR Pneumo) provides training and examination programs for raising physicians who can perform the ILO classification<sup>6)</sup>. At the end of 2019, more than five hundred physicians had received training since the program began in 2006. The participating physicians have expertise in general medicine, occupational medicine, public health, pulmonology, and radiology. They include physicians from several developing Asian countries who were practicing in hospitals or working in corporations, 90 government institutions and ministries. Most importantly, they have been working on91 pneumoconiosis screening.

Despite using the ILO classification, substantial variation in the interpretation of
radiographs for pneumoconiosis exists among physicians<sup>7, 8</sup>. Thus, before sharing
epidemiological information, it is worth understanding the extent of inter-observer agreement
and diagnostic accuracy among physicians of Asian countries. Therefore, the objective of this
study was to examine the degree of observer agreement, diagnostic accuracy, and possible
causes for reader variability in classifying radiographs for pneumoconiosis using reading
results of Asian physicians taking the AIR Pneumo examinations.

99

#### **100 SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

#### 101 AIR Pneumo's examination film set

The AIR Pneumo's examination film set is composed of 60 chest radiographs; the 102 diagnosis of each radiograph was established by a panel of experts formed by 12 National 103 104 Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the United States (NIOSH) certified B Readers. The technical quality of the radiographs was classified by the 12 B Readers as ILO 105 grade 1 (Good) or 2 (Acceptable, with no technical defect likely to impair classification of 106 107 the radiograph for pneumoconiosis)<sup>4)</sup>. The 60-film set includes 20 radiographs with no reticular or nodular lesions, 9 boundary cases (ILO profusion classification 0/1 or 1/0), and 108 109 31 radiographs with small opacities (ILO profusion classification 1/1 or higher). Among the 110 radiographs with small opacities, 20 have purely rounded while 4 have purely irregular opacities. Of the 31 radiographs with small opacities, 9 also have varying sizes of large 111 opacities (opacities with the longest diameter larger than 1 cm). Nine of the 60 examination 112 films have pleural plaques with or without calcification. Details of the AIR Pneumo's 113

training program, development of training materials (including chest radiographs),

examination, and scoring system have been published previously<sup>9, 10</sup>).

116

### 117 Physicians' information and radiograph reading data

Our study used 5,580 readings of 93 physicians from the two examinations conducted 118 119 in Thailand (December 2018) and Indonesia (February 2019). They had taken the examination after completing an intensive 2-day AIR Pneumo training course. Physicians' 120 information, including residing country, specialty training, and work experience, was 121 122 collected through self-administered questionnaires. During the examinations, physicians independently read the chest radiographs on a standard view box in a comfortable reading 123 124 room (controllable lighting with no direct sunlight) and reported the findings on reading 125 sheets according to the ILO classification. They were given three hours to classify 60 radiographs. Each radiograph was graded for technical quality. Small opacities were 126 127 classified according to their shape (rounded or irregular), size (size up to 1.5mm, 1.5-3 mm, 128 or 3–10 mm), location (upper, middle, or lower lung zones), and profusion. Profusion was determined by side-by-side comparison with ILO standard radiographs and classified on a 129 twelve-point scale with increasing order of concentration (codify as 0/- to 3/+ within four 130 major profusion classifications: 0, 1, 2, and 3). Large opacities were classified as size A, B, 131 or C, corresponding to size up to 5 cm, up to right upper lung zone, or exceeding right upper 132 133 lung zone. The presence or absence of pleural plaques, their extent and width if any were recorded. We extracted data on the profusion and shape classifications of small opacities. We 134 also obtained the size classifications of large opacities and the presence or absence of pleural 135 plaques. Classifications on the size and location of small opacities and the technical quality of 136 radiographs were not the purpose of this study. 137

#### 139 Statistical analysis

We grouped physicians according to their residing country, specialty training, and 140 experiences. Considering the number of years required to develop medical experience or to 141 142 enroll in specialty training, years after graduation was grouped as "5 or fewer years", "6 to 10 years", or "11 or more years". Information on the total number of reviewed pneumoconiosis 143 144 chest radiographs, the participating physicians have encountered since they became physicians, was collected as "none", "less than 10", "10 to 50", or "50 or more". For small 145 opacity profusion, we examined inter-observer agreement on four major profusion 146 classifications as they showed a close correlation to the clinical severity of "normal," "mild," 147 "moderate", or "severe" conditions<sup>11</sup>). When computing agreement on small opacity shape, 148 149 we used only the data of 40 radiographs, i.e., 9 boundary cases and 31 radiographs with small 150 opacities. For the other analyses, we used data of all 60 radiographs. We used a Stata module 'kappaetc' to compute inter-observer agreement in physicians overall and each group formed 151 by residing country, specialty training, or experience<sup>12)</sup>. This command can handle any 152 153 number of observers and any number of categories. It calculates the agreement coefficient by averaging the observed agreement over all pairs of observers. It also provides seven 154 prerecorded weights, suitable for any level of measurement. We computed weighted Fleiss' 155 156 kappa to quantify the degree of agreement in classifying small opacity profusion and large opacity size and unweighted Fleiss' kappa for agreement on small opacity shape and the 157 presence or absence of pleural plaques<sup>13)</sup>. The result was interpreted values <0.2 as poor 158 159 agreement, 0.21–0.4 as fair, 0.41–0.6 as moderate, 0.61–0.8 as good, and 0.81–1.0 as almost perfect agreement. Accuracy, in this study, was the ability to discriminate between normal 160 and abnormal radiographs, i.e., the ability to classify a radiograph for the presence or absence 161 of small opacities, large opacities, or pleural plaque; the true condition for each chest 162 radiograph was determined based on the reading results of expert panel. Accuracy of the 163

164 physicians was assessed by using only the chest radiographs that were in complete agreement for the presence or absence of small opacities, large opacities, or pleural plaque by all expert 165 166 B Readers. There were 31 radiographs with and 20 radiographs without small opacities; 9 167 radiographs with and 41 radiographs without large opacities; 9 radiographs with and 30 radiographs without pleural plaques. A classification of 1/0 or higher profusion and any of 168 169 the size classifications for large opacity by the physicians was considered as identification of small opacities and large opacities, respectively. We examined the accuracy of each 170 physician group by plotting receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and computing 171 172 area under the curves (AUC) against experts' diagnosis as a reference standard. An ROC curve that plots sensitivity against 1-specificity allows visual inspection of the discriminating 173 174 power, while AUC quantifies the power with a value of 1.0 representing perfect discriminatory ability and 0.5 being at chance level<sup>14)</sup>. We used Stata's 'roccomp' command 175 to execute ROC analysis. Assuming sensitivity and specificity are equally important in 176 identifying each type of lesion, we calculated Youden's J index (i.e., sensitivity + specificity 177 -1) as a global measure of accuracy for every physician<sup>15</sup>; multiplying the index by one 178 hundred generated accuracy scores. For the accuracy score for small opacity shape 179 classification, we computed percent agreement with the reading results of expert panel. There 180 181 were 20 radiographs with purely rounded and 4 with purely irregular opacities. We then compared the accuracy scores between physician groups using the Kruskal-Wallis test with 182 183 Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. All analyses were performed using Stata/MP 15.1 software (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA). This study was approved by the 184 institutional review board of Kochi Medical School (approval number: 31-68). Written 185 186 informed consent from the participating physicians was waived, but opt-out consent was obtained via e-mails instead. 187

#### 189 **RESULTS**

190 Table 1 presents information about our physicians. Information on specialty training 191 and experiences (years after graduation and the number of reviewed pneumoconiosis chest 192 radiographs) were not reported by some participating physicians. Physicians resided in India, Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. They had expertise in occupational medicine, public 193 194 health, respiratory health, and radiology. Specialties' representation was uneven between countries. Working duration since medical graduation ranged from 1 to 34 years. Eighteen 195 percent of our physicians reported they had never seen a pneumoconiosis chest radiograph, 196 197 while 44% encountered less than ten in their work.

198

#### (insert Table 1)

199 Table 2 presents the kappa values for classifying chest radiographs by physicians overall and 200 by the groups studied. Physicians showed fair to good agreement with kappa values 0.30 (95% CI: 0.20–0.40), 0.29 (95% CI: 0.23–0.36), 0.59 (95% CI: 0.52–0.67), and 0.65 (95% 201 CI: 0.55–0.74), respectively for classifying pleural plaques, small opacity shapes, small 202 203 opacity profusion, and large opacities. The degree of agreement was different among 204 physician groups. Physicians from Country 4, or groups formed by physicians who received radiology training, or were five or fewer years working after graduation, achieved the highest 205 206 agreement in all types of lesion.

207

#### (insert Table 2)

Fig. 1 depicts the ROC curves and average AUC values of the physician groups for each

209 pneumoconiotic lesion. Table 3 compares physician groups for their accuracy scores.

210 Accuracy in identifying small opacities, large opacities, and the pleural plaques, as

211 determined by AUC and accuracy scores, was different among physician groups. Physicians

from Country 4, or with radiology training, or who were five or fewer years working after

213 graduation, showed the highest accuracy (Fig. 1 and Table 3). Accuracy scores for small

214	opacity shape classification showed a similar pattern of differences (Table 3). No substantial
215	difference in accuracy was detected between groups formed by the reported number of
216	reviewed pneumoconiosis chest radiographs (Table 3).
217	(insert Fig. 1)
218	(insert Table 3)
219	
220	DISCUSSION
221	To our knowledge, this study is the first in comparing inter-observer agreement and
222	accuracy in classifying radiographs for pneumoconiotic lesions using the ILO classification
223	among physicians from different Asian countries. We observed that the degree of inter-
224	observer agreement and diagnostic accuracy varied with the observer's characteristics,
225	namely, residing country, specialty training, and time after graduation.
226	Physicians in this study showed better agreement in classifying parenchymal lesions
227	than pleural plaques using the ILO classification. However, they agreed on the shape of small
228	opacities poorly. The degree of agreement varied between countries, with kappa values
229	ranging from 0.47 to 0.73 (moderate to good agreement) on the distribution of small opacity
230	profusion, from 0.55 to 0.69 (moderate to good agreement) for large opacity size, from 0.25
231	to 0.55 (fair to moderate agreement) for the presence or absence of pleural plaques, and 0.18
232	to 0.56 (poor to moderate agreement) for small opacity shape classification. The poor
233	agreement between observers for the shape of small opacities was not unexpected. We have
234	noted that of the 40 radiographs with small opacities from the AIR Pneumo examination film
235	set, the expert panel agreed on small opacity shapes in only 24 radiographs. Moreover,
236	studies that examined the shape classification of small opacities reported substantial variation
237	existing between observers <sup>16, 17)</sup> . Not many studies have examined inter-observer agreement
238	involving multiple readers using the ILO classification. One Japanese study <sup>18)</sup> , which

239 examined inter-observer agreement between film-screen radiography and two digital systems, 240 reported the kappa values for the distribution of small opacity profusion on a twelve-point scale ranging from 0.55 to 0.64. However, their study involved a relatively small number of 241 242 subject radiographs (n=30) and readers (n=3). In an American trial where seven B Readers classified 172 coal workers' chest radiographs, the reported kappa value of 0.58 for 243 agreement on small opacity profusion was within the range of our results<sup>19</sup>. In a German 244 study, seven physicians interpreted chest radiographs of 636 asbestos-exposed workers<sup>8</sup>). 245 246 Their reports of an overall kappa value of 0.29 for small opacity profusion was considerably 247 lower than the American study and ours, while 0.42 for pleural lesions was within the range of our findings. Another American study<sup>7)</sup> evaluated 79,185 matched readings by A and B 248 249 Readers from a coal workers' surveillance program; moderate agreement was seen only on 250 the size of large opacities (kappa value 0.50). (A Readers and B Readers are certified by the NIOSH of the USA. A physician can achieve A Reader status by attending a NIOSH-251 252 authorized course on the ILO classification system or submitting radiographs to the NIOSH 253 with ILO classifications for review. To become a B Reader, a physician must pass a rigorous competency-based examination and maintaining B Reader status requires passing the 254 recertification examination every 5 years. In the referenced study<sup>7</sup>), B Readers classified 255 256 more pneumoconiosis chest radiographs than A Readers did.) The authors concluded that the differences between readers in terms of training in the use of ILO classification and reading 257 258 experiences were the likely reasons for the observed unsatisfactory agreement in classifying 259 pleural changes (kappa value 0.16) and small opacity profusion (kappa value 0.24)<sup>7)</sup>. In addition to the observers' characteristics, we suggested that the differences in study designs 260 261 (including the number of radiographs and readers), the defined classifications for studied conditions, and the quality of chest radiographs being classified might have also contributed 262 to the varying degree of inter-observer agreement found across studies. 263

264 Specialty training affects the level of diagnostic accuracy and hence the degree of 265 agreement in classifying chest radiographs for pneumoconiosis. A past study reported the 266 existence of differences in diagnostic capability between specialties in reviewing chest radiographs<sup>20)</sup>. Our observation of the radiologists' group showing the highest performance, 267 followed by the pulmonologists' group and the other specialties, also support this (Fig. 1; 268 269 Table 3). Different physicians may have different thresholds for judging a chest radiograph between normal and abnormal. They may also have differing abilities to observe and 270 271 recognize radiological appearances of pneumoconiotic lesions. The training to become a 272 radiologist or a pulmonologist differs from that of other specialties. Also, radiologists and pulmonologists may have reviewed many more chest radiographs in routine work than 273 274 physicians of other specialties. In our study, we observed that radiologists made up the 275 highest proportion of "Country 4" and pulmonologists formed the majority in "Country 2" (Table 1); this uneven representation of specialties between countries was the likely source 276 277 for differences found between countries.

278 Physicians' working years, as determined by years after graduation, did not ensure for a better agreement or higher accuracy. We observed better performance from the recent 279 graduates (i.e., five or fewer years working after graduation) (Tables 2 and 3; Fig. 1). Uneven 280 281 distribution of radiologists and pulmonologists between groups in our study might be one possible explanation for this observation. One previous study noted that to achieve high-level 282 283 expertise in radiology requires a combination of radiology-specific training and deliberate practice, rather than an absolute number of working years<sup>21)</sup>. Other reasons might be related 284 to the nature of the AIR Pneumo training program. Being younger, recently graduated 285 286 physicians might be able to absorb more information during the two days of intensive training than their seniors. Also, recent graduates would still be familiar with the time-limited 287 examination environment and manage to produce better results. 288

289 Physicians' familiarity with the ILO classification and standard radiographs likely 290 plays a significant role in the reading performance of our physicians. A past study suggested 291 that the number of reviewed chest radiographs also contributed to the poor agreement between A Readers and B Readers<sup>7</sup>). However, we observed that relatively more numbers in 292 reviewed pneumoconiosis chest radiographs appeared to be of no assistance to better 293 294 observer agreement or higher accuracy in our physicians. A possible explanation might be that our physicians are not using ILO classification or the standard radiographs in their 295 296 routine work. And thus, their reading experiences could not provide superior results in a test 297 that required the ILO classification. Although we had not tested for it, our physicians' levels 298 of understanding of the ILO classification might vary, contributing to the variation seen 299 among groups.

300 Our physicians' diagnostic accuracy for pleural plaques appeared less satisfactory compared with parenchymal lesions. This finding was very similar to that observed in the 301 302 U.S. B Reader program. Studies reported that physicians generally classify pleural changes 303 poorly compared with parenchymal lesions, and this nature was the same for physicians who passed or failed the B Reader examinations<sup>22, 23)</sup>. Without specific radiological expertise, the 304 detection of pleural plaques in a chest radiograph becomes challenging. Pleural plaques are 305 306 irregular, circumscribed lesions on the parietal pleura. Radiographically, they appear as discrete areas of pleural thickening and are barely visible in some cases<sup>2</sup>). In posteroanterior 307 308 chest radiographs, shadows of anatomical structures (e.g., subcostal fat, serratus anterior 309 muscles) or pleural thickening secondary to medical conditions (e.g., trauma, infection) may mimic plaques, and distinguishing them required a good knowledge of local anatomy and 310 considerable experience<sup>2, 24, 25)</sup>. A systematic review reported high false-negative and varying 311 false-positive rates in diagnosing pleural plaques on a chest radiograph<sup>24</sup>). In a recent chest 312 radiograph reading trial involving four readers with different clinical and radiography 313

314 interpretation experiences (one B Reader and three AIR Pneumo-certified physicians), the 315 investigators reported a lower detection rate for pleural plaques compared with those for parenchymal lesions<sup>26</sup>). They also demonstrated that the detection rate varied among readers, 316 317 with the most experienced one showing the highest rate. A similar trend was also seen in a study using surveillance data, where B Readers having far greater experiences in diagnosing 318 pneumoconiosis identified more pleural plaques than A Readers did<sup>7</sup>). In the present study, 319 our physicians, except the radiologists, showed a lower accuracy in identifying pleural 320 321 plaques when compared with those of parenchymal lesions, indicating specific training is 322 required to develop diagnostic accuracy and improve agreement in the diagnosis of pleural 323 plaques.

324 Accurate diagnosis and reporting from physicians are vital to the success of screening 325 programs and disease prevention. The ILO/WHO's Global Program for the Elimination of Silicosis (GPES), aiming to eliminate new cases of silicosis from all workplaces by 2030, set 326 327 its strategy on early detection of diseases through surveillance along with dust exposure control<sup>27)</sup>. Similarly, the WHO's Global campaign for the elimination of asbestos-related 328 329 disease works through improving early diagnosis and establishing registries of people with past and/or current exposures along with other primary preventive measures<sup>28</sup>). A recent 330 331 article reported the worldwide occurrence of increasing incidence of pneumoconiosis for the last three decades. Of the 60,055 incident cases in 2017, more than half occurred in Asia: 332 32,305 cases in China and 5,160 cases in India<sup>29)</sup>. Moreover, as the importation and use of 333 asbestos in developing Asian countries has been continuing, a substantial number of people 334 may have been exposed to asbestos occupationally and non-occupationally<sup>30</sup>. In these 335 336 circumstances, our findings have several important occupational and public health implications. First, we reported the degree of inter-observer agreement and sources for 337 variation in classifying pneumoconiotic lesions among Asian physicians taking AIR Pneumo 338

339 examination. The awareness of variability allows a careful comparison of results between 340 different studies and knowledge of the source enables us to recommend measures to correct the variations. Second, we observed a low-level diagnostic accuracy and poor agreement in 341 342 classifying radiographs for pleural plaques. Pleural plaques indicate past exposure to asbestos<sup>31</sup>; in most cases, they are asymptomatic and often identified as incidental chest 343 radiographic findings<sup>32)</sup>. Attending physician's familiarity with the radiological appearance of 344 pleural plaques is central to their identification. The ILO standards radiographs illustrate a 345 spectrum of radiological appearances seen in all types of pneumoconiotic lesions<sup>4</sup>), the use of 346 347 which permits physicians' familiarity with radiological appearances of pneumoconiosis, and thereby, improves diagnostic accuracy, especially for less experienced physicians<sup>33</sup>). Training 348 349 in the use of the ILO classification, such as that provided by the AIR Pneumo, might promote physicians' reading skill further<sup>34</sup>). 350

This study has several limitations. First, we used data derived from examinations. 351 Participants might expect more radiographs showing signs of pneumoconiosis and assess 352 353 them in a manner different from their routine work. However, we believed that the participants' enthusiasm and compliance with the standard assessment procedure made the 354 data featured their actual performance in applying the ILO classification. Second, since our 355 356 physicians have a common interest in pneumoconiosis, findings in this study may not necessarily represent the performance of Asian physicians in general. However, it should be 357 358 noted that our physicians are grossly representing the physician population in 359 pneumoconiosis screening in their respective countries. Third, we do not have information on the requirements of specialty training in each country. But we believe these might differ 360 between specialties and between countries. We suggested the uneven specialty representation 361 within each country requires careful interpretation of individual country results. Fourth, the 362

363 different number of readers among the groups studied might affect the estimated kappa364 coefficients.

365

### 366 CONCLUSION

367 Reviewing chest radiographs using the ILO classification is the current international

368 standard in screening for pneumoconiosis. Asian physicians taking the AIR Pneumo

369 examination were better at classifying parenchymal lesions than pleural plaques using the

370 ILO classification. The degree of inter-observer agreement differed among countries, and this

371 difference was associated with a physician's specialty training background. Specific training

372 on the use of the ILO classification, as provided by the AIR Pneumo, and continuing practice

373 would improve diagnostic accuracy and lessen observer variability.

Acknowledgments: The AIR Pneumo project consumed great amount of work, research, and 375 376 dedication. Still, it's training and examination programs would not have been possible without supports from many individuals and organizations. Therefore, we would like to 377 378 extend our sincere gratitude to all of them, but the list here is not exhaustive. The authors gratefully acknowledge (1) the Supporting Bodies for AIR Pneumo program, which includes 379 the Scientific Committee on Respiratory Diseases, International Commission on 380 Occupational Health (ICOH), Asian Pacific Society of Respirology, Japan Society for 381 382 Occupational Health (JSOH), and University of Fukui (Fukui, Japan); (2) the co-organizers, 383 which include the Thailand Association of Occupational and Environmental Diseases and the Central Chest Institute of Thailand, Bureau of Occupational and Environmental Diseases, 384 Ministry of Public Health (Thailand); (3) the sponsors, which include Thailand Workmen's 385 386 Compensation Fund and Social Security Office (Thailand); (4) personal advisors, which 387 include Dr. Prahalad K. Sishodiya (India), Kazutaka Kogi (Japan), and Yoshiharu Aizawa (Japan), G.R. Wagner (USA), Dr. Tran Anh Thanhh (Vietnam), Dr. Khuong Van Duy 388 389 (Vietnam); and (5) the volunteer experts who devoted their time and knowledge in the 390 training programs as lecturers.

391

Authors' contribution: All authors contributed toward data collection and reviewed and
approved this manuscript. NA J-P: Writing original draft, data curation, data analysis, review
& editing. NS: Writing original draft, data curation, data analysis, review & editing. ADS:
Data curation, review & editing. ES: Data curation, review & editing. MM: Data curation,
review & editing. ST: Data curation, review & editing. SL: Data curation, review & editing.
PS: Data curation, review & editing. SS: Data curation, review & editing. ND: Data curation,
review & editing. EA: Data curation, review & editing. JEP: Data curation, review & editing.

- 399 KGH: Data curation, review & editing. HK: Data curation, review & editing. TT: Data
- 400 curation, review & editing. YK: Data curation, review & editing.

- **Conflict of interest:** None declared.

405		REFERENCES
406		
407	1)	Chong S, Lee KS, Chung MJ, Han J, Kwon OJ, Kim TS (2006) Pneumoconiosis:
408		comparison of imaging and pathologic findings. Radiographics 26, 59–77.
409	2)	Norbet C, Joseph A, Rossi SS, Bhalla S, Gutierrez FR (2015) Asbestos-related lung
410		disease: a pictorial review. Curr Probl Diagn Radiol 44, 371-82.
411	3)	Wagner GR (1996) Screening and surveillance of workers exposed to mineral dust.
412		World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
413	4)	ILO Guidelines for the use of the ILO international classification of radiographs of
414		pneumoconioses. Revised edition 2011. International Labour Office, Geneva,
415		Switzerland.
416	5)	J-P NA, Imanaka M, Suganuma N (2017) Japanese workplace health management in
417		pneumoconiosis prevention. J Occup Health 59, 91–103.
418	6)	J-P NA, Suganuma N (2020) Quality assurance in reading radiographs for
419		pneumoconiosis: AIR Pneumo program. ASEAN-JR 21, 73-84.
420	7)	Halldin CN, Blackley DJ, Petsonk EL, Laney AS (2017) Pneumoconioses radiographs
421		in a large population of U.S. coal workers: variability in A Reader and B Reader
422		classifications by using the International Labour Office classification. Radiology 284,
423		870–6.
424	8)	Ochsmann E, Carl T, Brand P, Raithel HJ, Kraus T (2010) Inter-reader variability in
425		chest radiography and HRCT for the early detection of asbestos-related lung and
426		pleural abnormalities in a cohort of 636 asbestos-exposed subjects. Int Arch Occup
427		Environ Health <b>83</b> , 39–46.
428	9)	Tamura T, Kusaka Y, Suganuma N, Suzuki K, Subhannachart P, Siriruttanapruk S,
429		Dumavibhat N, Zhang X, Sishodiya PK, Thanh TA, Hering KG, Parker JE, Algranti

430		E, O'Connor FS, Shida H, Akira M (2018) Assessment of physicians' proficiency in
431		reading chest radiographs for pneumoconiosis, based on a 60-film examination set
432		with two factors constituting eight indices. Ind Health 56, 382–93.
433	10)	Zhou H, Kusaka Y, Tamura T, Suganuma N, Subhannachart P, Siriruttanapruk S,
434		Dumavibhat N, Zhang X, Sishodiya PK, K. VD, Hering KG, Parker JE, Algranti E,
435		Fedotov I, Shida H, Akira M (2012) The 60-film set with 8-index for examining
436		physicians' proficiency in reading pneumoconiosis chest X-rays. Ind Health 50, 84-
437		94.
438	11)	Gamble JF, Hessel PA, Nicolich M (2004) Relationship between silicosis and lung
439		function. Scand J Work Environ Health 30, 5–20.
440	12)	Klein D (2016) KAPPAETC: Stata module to evaluate interrater agreement. revised
441		06 Jan 2019. https://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s458283.html. Accessed October
442		25, 2021.
443	13)	Gisev N, Bell JS, Chen TF (2013) Interrater agreement and interrater reliability: key
444		concepts, approaches, and applications. Res Social Adm Pharm 9, 330-8.
445	14)	Linden A (2006) Measuring diagnostic and predictive accuracy in disease
446		management: an introduction to receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. J
447		Eval Clin Pract <b>12</b> , 132–9.
448	15)	Youden WJ (1950) Index for rating diagnostic tests. Cancer <b>3</b> , 32–5.
449	16)	Amandus HE, Pendergrass EP, Dennis JM, Morgan WK (1974) Pneumoconiosis:
450		inter-reader variability in the classification of the type of small opacities in the chest
451		roentgenogram. Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med 122, 740-3.
452	17)	Rossiter CE (1972) Initial repeatability trials of the UICC-Cincinnati classification of
453		the radiographic appearances of pneumoconioses. Br J Ind Med <b>29</b> , 407–19.

- 454 18) Takashima Y, Suganuma N, Sakurazawa H, Itoh H, Hirano H, Shida H, Kusaka Y
- 455 (2007) A flat-panel detector digital radiography and a storage phosphor computed
  456 radiography: screening for pneumoconioses. J Occup Health 49, 39–45.
- 457 19) Halldin CN, Petsonk EL, Laney AS (2014) Validation of the International Labour
- 458 Office digitized standard images for recognition and classification of radiographs of
  459 pneumoconiosis. Acad Radiol 21, 305–11.
- 460 20) Cascade PN, Kazerooni EA, Gross BH, Quint LE, Silver TM, Bowerman RA,

461 Pernicano PG, Gebremariam A (2001) Evaluation of competence in the interpretation
462 of chest radiographs. Acad Radiol 8, 315–21.

- 463 21) Kelly BS, Rainford LA, Darcy SP, Kavanagh EC, Toomey RJ (2016) The
- 464 development of expertise in radiology: in chest radiograph interpretation, "expert"
- search pattern may predate "expert" levels of diagnostic accuracy for pneumothorax
  identification. Radiology 280, 252–60.
- 467 22) Halldin CN, Hale JM, Weissman DN, Attfield MD, Parker JE, Petsonk EL, Cohen
- 468 RA, Markle T, Blackley DJ, Wolfe AL, Tallaksen RJ, Laney AS (2019) The National
- 469 Institute for Occupational Safety and Health B Reader certification program-An
- 470 update report (1987 to 2018) and future directions. J Occup Environ Med 61, 1045–
- 471 51.
- 472 23) Wagner GR, Attfield MD, Kennedy RD, Parker JE (1992) The NIOSH B Reader
  473 certification program. An update report. J Occup Med 34, 879–84.
- 474 24) Clarke CC, Mowat FS, Kelsh MA, Roberts MA (2006) Pleural plaques: a review of
  475 diagnostic issues and possible nonasbestos factors. Arch Environ Occup Health 61,
  476 183–92.

- 477 25) Alfudhili KM, Lynch DA, Laurent F, Ferretti GR, Dunet V, Beigelman-Aubry C
- 478 (2016) Focal pleural thickening mimicking pleural plaques on chest computed
  479 tomography: tips and tricks. Br J Radiol 89, 20150792.
- 480 26) Nogami S, J-P NA, Nogami M, Matsui T, Ngatu NR, Tamura T, Kusaka Y, Itoh H,
- 481 Suganuma N (2020) Radiographic diagnosis of pneumoconioses by AIR Pneumo-
- 482 trained physicians: comparison with low-dose thin-slice computed tomography. J

483 Occup Health **62**, e12141.

- 484 27) Fedotov IA, Eijkemans GJM (2007) The ILO/WHO global programme for the
  485 elimination of silicosis (GPES). GOHNET Newsletter.
- 486 28) WHO. Asbestos: elimination of asbestos-related diseases. https://www.who.int/news-
- 487 room/fact-sheets/detail/asbestos-elimination-of-asbestos-related-diseases. Accessed
  488 October 25, 2021.
- Shi P, Xing X, Xi S, Jing H, Yuan J, Fu Z, Zhao H (2020) Trends in global, regional
  and national incidence of pneumoconiosis caused by different aetiologies: an analysis
  from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Occup Environ Med 77, 407–14.
- 492 30) Leong SL, Zainudin R, Kazan-Allen L, Robinson BW (2015) Asbestos in Asia.
- **493** Respirology **20**, 548–55.
- Wolff H, Vehmas T, Oksa P, Rantanen J, Vainio H (2015) Asbestos, asbestosis, and
  cancer, the Helsinki criteria for diagnosis and attribution 2014: recommendations.
  Scand J Work Environ Health 41, 5–15.
- 497 32) Cugell DW, Kamp DW (2004) Asbestos and the pleura: a review. Chest 125, 1103–
  498 17.
- 499 33) Fletcher CM, Oldham PD (1951) The use of standard films in the radiological
  500 diagnosis of coalworkers' pneumoconiosis. Br J Ind Med 8, 138–49.

- 501 34) Ngatu NR, Suzuki S, Kusaka Y, Shida H, Akira M, Suganuma N (2010) Effect of a
- 502 two-hour training on physicians' skill in interpreting Pneumoconiotic chest
- radiographs. J Occup Health **52**, 294–301.
- 504
- 505

## 506 Figure legends

- 507
- 508 Fig. 1. Accuracy in classifying radiographs for the presence or absence of pneumoconiosis.
- 509 Average AUC values of physician groups formed by (A) country, (B) specialty, (C) years
- 510 after graduation, and (D) number of reviewed pneumoconiosis chest radiographs

	Physicians	Country				
Tatel	(n=93)	1	2	3	4	
10(a)		(n=6)	(n=54)	(n=10)	(n=23)	
	Number of physicians (%)					
Gender						
Female	50 (53.8)	2 (33.3)	32 (59.3)	5 (50.0)	11 (47.8)	
Male	34 (36.6)	4 (66.7)	17 (31.5)	5 (50.0)	8 (34.8)	
Missing	9 (9.7)	0	5 (9.3)	0	4 (17.4)	
Specialty						
Pulmonology	40 (43.0)	0	38 (70.4)	1 (10.0)	1 (4.3)	
Occupational medicine	25 (26.9)	4 (66.7)	10 (18.5)	6 (60.0)	5 (21.7)	
Public health	4 (4.3)	1 (16.7)	0	2 (20.0)	1 (4.3)	
Radiology	15 (16.1)	0	2 (3.7)	1 (10.0)	12 (52.2)	
Missing	9 (9.7)	1 (16.7)	4 (7.4)	0	4 (17.4)	
Years after graduation						
Median (range)	6 (1–34)	15 (5-30)	6 (1–34)	8.5 (4–23)	3 (1–34)	
≤5	37 (39.8)	1 (16.7)	21 (38.9)	1 (10.0)	14 (60.9)	
6–10	27 (29.0)	2 (33.3)	16 (29.6)	6 (60.0)	3 (13.0)	
≥11	15 (16.1)	3 (50.0)	7 (13.0)	3 (30.0)	2 (8.7)	
Missing	14 (15.1)	0	10 (18.5)	0	4 (17.4)	
Number of reviewed pneumoconiosis CXR						
None	17 (18.3)	0	12 (22.2)	3 (30.0)	2 (8.7)	
<10	41 (44.1)	2 (33.3)	27 (50.0)	3 (30.0)	9 (39.1)	
<50	20 (21.5)	2 (33.3)	8 (14.8)	4 (40.0)	6 (26.1)	
≥50	6 (6.4)	2 (33.3)	2 (3.7)	0	2 (8.7)	
Missing	9 (9.7)	0	5 (9.3)	0	4 (17.4)	

# Table 1. Information of the physicians

	Small opacity	Small opacity	Large opacity	Presence of		
	profusion <sup>b</sup>	shape <sup>c</sup>	size <sup>b</sup>	pleural plaque <sup>c</sup>		
	Fleiss' kappa coefficient (95% CI)					
Physician overall	0.59 (0.52–0.67)	0.29 (0.23–0.36)	0.65 (0.55–0.74)	0.30 (0.20-0.40)		
Country						
1	0.50 (0.39–0.61)	0.18 (0.05–0.32)	0.57 (0.42–0.72)	0.34 (0.19–0.49)		
2	0.59 (0.51–0.67)	0.26 (0.20-0.32)	0.66 (0.57–0.75)	0.25 (0.16-0.34)		
3	0.47 (0.38–0.55)	0.21 (0.13-0.30)	0.55 (0.40-0.70)	0.31 (0.20-0.42)		
4	0.73 (0.66–0.80)	0.56 (0.48-0.65)	0.69 (0.59–0.79)	0.55 (0.42-0.68)		
Specialty						
Pulmonology	0.62 (0.54–0.69)	0.26 (0.20-0.31)	0.69 (0.61–0.77)	0.29 (0.19–0.38)		
Occupational medicine	0.53 (0.45–0.61)	0.28 (0.20-0.37)	0.56 (0.44-0.68)	0.26 (0.16-0.35)		
Public health	0.51 (0.39–0.64)	0.12 (0.02–0.22)	0.56 (0.38-0.75)	0.30 (0.12-0.48)		
Radiology	0.69 (0.61–0.77)	0.54 (0.45–0.64)	0.74 (0.64–0.83)	0.58 (0.44-0.71)		
Years after graduation						
≤5	0.67 (0.60-0.75)	0.39 (0.32–0.46)	0.72 (0.63-0.80)	0.39 (0.27–0.51)		
6–10	0.52 (0.44–0.61)	0.21 (0.16-0.27)	0.59 (0.48–0.70)	0.26 (0.17-0.35)		
≥11	0.53 (0.45–0.61)	0.28 (0.20-0.36)	0.55 (0.43-0.67)	0.24 (0.14–0.34)		
Number of reviewed pne	eumoconiosis CXR					
None	0.55 (0.48-0.62)	0.23 (0.15–0.31)	0.61 (0.52–0.70)	0.22 (0.14-0.30)		
<10	0.63 (0.55–0.71)	0.32 (0.26–0.39)	0.68 (0.58–0.78)	0.33 (0.22–0.43)		
<50	0.56 (0.47–0.64)	0.31 (0.24–0.38)	0.60 (0.46-0.73)	0.29 (0.17–0.41)		
≥50	0.53 (0.42–0.64)	0.23 (0.11-0.36)	0.68 (0.57-0.79)	0.34 (0.19–0.49)		

Table 2. Inter-observer agreement in classifying radiographs for pneumoconiosis<sup>a</sup>

a= Computation included the readings of 40 radiographs (9 boundary cases and 31 radiographs with small

opacities) for "small opacity shape"; included readings of all 60 radiographs for the others. b= Weighted kappa coefficient. c= Unweighted kappa coefficient.

All kappa coefficients were significant at p < 0.001.

Interpretation of kappa coefficients: <0.2 = poor, 0.21-0.4 = fair, 0.41-0.6 = moderate, 0.61-0.8 = good, and 0.81-1.0 = almost perfect agreement.

	Physicians	Small opacity	Small opacity	Large opacity	Pleural plaque	
			shape			
	Number	Accuracy score <sup>a</sup> , Median (Interquartile range)				
Physician overall	93	76.8 (29.3)	83.3 (25)	88.9 (23.3)	61.1 (25.5)	
Country						
1	6	63.7 (13.5)***	79.2 (12.5)	74.1 (27.1)	68.3 (15.6)	
2	54	73.9 (30.8)***	75 (20.8)***	88.9 (20.9)	56.1 (25.5)***	
3	10	62.5 (16.8)***	66.7 (20.8)***	77.2 (19.5)**	55.0 (15.6)***	
4 (Reference)	23	91.8 (12.9)	95.8 (12.5)	97.6 (13.5)	85.6 (18.9)	
Kruskal-Wallis test		<i>p</i> <0.001	<i>p</i> <0.001	<i>p</i> =0.012	<i>p</i> <0.001	
Specialty						
Radiology	15	91.8 (25.0)	100 (16.7)	100 (13.5)	85.6 (18.9)	
(Reference)						
Pulmonology	40	77.1 (26.0)*	79.2 (22.9)**	95.1 (16.0)	58.3 (25.0)***	
Occupational health	25	67.1 (35.8)**	75 (16.7)**	81.6 (30.6)**	60.0 (15.5)***	
Public health	4	74.6 (32.2)	70.8 (31.2)*	72.4 (12.9)*	47.8 (13.3)***	
Kruskal-Wallis test		<i>p</i> =0.005	<i>p</i> =0.002	<i>p</i> =0.003	<i>p</i> <0.001	
Years after graduation						
$\leq$ 5 (Reference)	37	87.1 (19.7)	87.5 (20.8)	97.6 (11.1)	75.6 (27.8)	
6–10	27	70.0 (34.4)**	66.7 (29.2)***	84.0 (20.9)**	55.6 (38.9)**	
≥11	15	67.1 (27.6)**	83.3 (12.5)	85.4 (16.0)*	57.8 (15.6)**	
Kruskal-Wallis test		<i>p</i> <0.001	p = 0.002	<i>p</i> =0.005	<i>p</i> =0.001	
Number of reviewed pn	eumoconiosis	CXR				
None (Reference)	17	70 (27.1)	75 (25)	88.9 (18.4)	53.3 (25.6)	
<10	41	83.9 (26.8)	83.3 (25)	95.1 (16.0)	67.8 (33.3)	
<50	20	75.2 (30.9)	83.3 (22.9)	82.8 (25.3)	61.1 (28.9)	
≥50	6	71.2 (26.4)	70.8 (29.2)	96.3 (8.7)	67.8 (26.7)	
Kruskal-Wallis test		<i>p</i> =0.10	<i>p</i> =0.206	<i>p</i> =0.113	<i>p</i> =0.139	

**Table 3.** Comparison between physician groups for accuracy in classifying radiographs for pneumoconiosis

a= Accuracy scores are calculated as Youden's J index x 100, except for "small opacity shape". Scores for "small opacity shape" are percent agreement with experts' classification of small opacities as rounded or

irregular.

Reference = reference group in Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons

*p* values of Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons: \* *p*<0.05, \*\* *p*<0.01, \*\*\* *p*<0.001.





0.4 0.6 1-Specificity

0.8

1.0



0.0

0.2