



---

Year: 2017

---

## **Ultrasonography as a complementary diagnostic method for evaluating the skin of healthy cats**

Zanna, G ; Zini, E ; Scarpella, F ; Attanasi, A ; Arrighi, S ; Auriemma, E

**Abstract:** Ultrasonography is not often used in feline dermatology. The purpose of this study was to assess the usefulness and applicability of ultrasonography for skin evaluation in 21 clinically healthy cats. Ultrasonographic examination was conducted in 4 cutaneous regions (frontal, dorsal neck, sacral, and abdominal) using an 18-MHz linear-sequential-array transducer. Findings were assessed using histomorphometric analysis of skin samples set as reference standards. Morphologic evaluation, thickness measurements, measurement variability, and comparison between regions and genders were carried out. The ultrasonographic pattern of feline skin was characterized by 3 distinct layers of different echogenicity and echostructure. Skin was thickest at the dorsal neck region and thinnest at the abdominal region. Skin at the frontal region and dorsal neck region was thicker in males. Variability was < 10% in all regions. No apparent correspondence was found between ultrasonographic and histometric measurements of skin thickness. Collectively, these findings suggest that ultrasonography is a simple, noninvasive, and reproducible technique that allows cutaneous layers to be identified and accurately measures skin thickness in cats.

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-143609>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Zanna, G; Zini, E; Scarpella, F; Attanasi, A; Arrighi, S; Auriemma, E (2017). Ultrasonography as a complementary diagnostic method for evaluating the skin of healthy cats. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research = Revue Canadienne de Recherche Vétérinaire*, 81(4):292-296.

1 **Ultrasonography as a complementary diagnostic method for evaluating the skin of**  
2 **healthy cats**

3  
4 **Zanna G, Zini E, Scarpella F, Attanasi A, Arrighi S, Auriemma E.**

5  
6  
7 **Introduction**

8 In recent decades the introduction of ultrasound as a diagnostic imaging tool has allowed for  
9 rapid development of it as an important instrument in dermatology as well. Owing to its  
10 versatility, repeatability, and non-invasiveness, ultrasound has become useful in the  
11 evaluation of skin thanks to technical advances that now enable very high resolution images  
12 to be obtained (1-3).

13 Skin ultrasonography was first proposed in human dermatology in 1979 as an addition to the  
14 dermatologic toolbox; at the time it was used for measuring skin thickness (4). Since then it  
15 has broadened its spectrum of applications, permitting examiners to qualify and quantify  
16 abnormalities within the skin layers and surrounding structures (5). In veterinary medicine, in  
17 spite of numerous studies regarding the use of ultrasound in abdominal, pleural, pericardial,  
18 and pulmonary evaluation (6), as well as in the characterization of superficial tumors (7,8),  
19 few ultrasound imaging findings in skin have been reported. Among these are a skin  
20 ultrasound image study in cattle using a 7.5 MHz transducer (9), ultrasonographic studies of  
21 skin thickness in dogs using a 13 MHz transducer (10,11), and a study in dogs, also using a 13  
22 MHz transducer, dealing with changes in skin thickness in relation to hydration status and  
23 fluid distribution (12). Finally, a study of high-frequency ultrasound biomicroscopy of the  
24 normal canine haired skin has been documented, further proving the usefulness of this

25 diagnostic tool in veterinary dermatology (13). Nevertheless, to the authors' knowledge, no  
26 studies of feline skin ultrasonography have been described in the literature.  
27 The aim of this study was to assess whether ultrasonography, using a transducer frequency of  
28 18 MHz, might improve feline skin characterization by combining echogenicity evaluation  
29 and skin thickness measurements. Furthermore, the investigation sought to correlate  
30 ultrasonographic results with gender and age of selected cats, in order to determine whether  
31 skin thickness might be affected by these variables.

32

### 33 **Materials and methods**

#### 34 *Study design*

35 The investigation was performed in accordance with ethical guidelines published in no. 289 of  
36 the Italian Gazzetta Ufficiale (G.U., 10 December 1996, 289: 47-53).

37 Twenty-one young adult domestic shorthair cats from a feline rescue association were  
38 included in the study, and informed consent was obtained prior to any procedure. This group  
39 consisted of 10 neutered males, 9 spayed females, and 2 intact females, of known age ranging  
40 from 1 to 6 years (median 3 years) with body weight ranging from 1.9 to 6 kg (median 3.1  
41 kg). Cats were included on the basis of the following criteria: (i) no evidence of skin lesions  
42 on physical examination; (ii) for intact female cats, not being pregnant or lactating; (iii) no  
43 clinical evidence of dehydration; (iv) normal results of complete blood count, and routine  
44 serum biochemical analysis.

#### 45 *Ultrasonography*

46 A B-mode real-time ultrasound machine (GE-LogiQ S8; GE Healthcare, Italy) equipped with  
47 an 18 MHz linear-sequential-array transducer and with an axial resolution  $\leq 0.4$  mm  
48 (frequency range: 8-18 MHz) was used. A total of 4 regions, including the frontal, dorsal  
49 neck, sacral, and abdominal regions, were selected for ultrasonographic examination. In all

50 these regions, hairs in areas of 2 x 4 cm were gently clipped at 1 mm of length and skin  
51 surface was cleaned with 70% isopropyl alcohol to remove any cutaneous debris.  
52 A copious amount of acoustic coupling gel was applied between the transducer and skin  
53 surface and the ultrasound probe was then placed strictly perpendicular to the skin. The  
54 frontal region was examined halfway along the line connecting the rostral margins of the  
55 supraorbital processes, the dorsal neck region at the junction between the second and third  
56 cervical vertebrae, and the sacral region halfway along the line connecting the right and left  
57 *tuber coxae*. When cats were then positioned in dorsal recumbency, the abdominal region was  
58 examined along the caudal third of the *linea alba*. A series of images of the skin with a width  
59 of 26 mm and height of 10 mm were obtained and stored for subsequent off-line evaluation  
60 using a dedicated DICOM viewer (OsiriX; Pixmeo SARL, Switzerland). Three measurements  
61 of skin thickness expressed as the sum of the epidermal entry echo layer and the dermis layer  
62 were obtained at 3 different points of the same ultrasonographic image at an interval distance  
63 of approximately 5 mm.

#### 64 *Statistical analysis*

65 To assess consistency of ultrasonographic measurements of the examined skin regions, the  
66 coefficient of variation was calculated for each of them. To identify possible differences in  
67 skin thickness among the 4 regions, paired comparisons were made using the Kruskal-Wallis  
68 test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. To verify whether skin thickness  
69 differences were present between genders (male vs. female) for each region, the Mann-  
70 Whitney test was used. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. The Spearman rank  
71 correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) was used to verify whether associations were present between age  
72 and skin thickness for each region. Analyses were performed using commercially available  
73 software (GraphPad QuickCalcs calculator; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA).

75 **Results**

76 In accordance with previous studies in dogs (10-13), feline skin also showed a characteristic  
77 ultrasonographic pattern composed of 3 layers: a superficial hyperechoic linear band at the  
78 interface between the gel and the skin and corresponding to the epidermal entry echo level,  
79 beneath which there was a less echogenic band with a granular echotexture corresponding to  
80 the dermis, and, more deeply, a hypoechoic pattern separated by hyperechoic septa  
81 corresponding to the subcutaneous tissue (Figure 1). Skin thickness was measured at 3  
82 different points of each ultrasonographic image (Figure 2). The median coefficient of  
83 variation for skin measurements in the frontal region was 8.7% (range: 0.7-15.7), in the dorsal  
84 neck 6.0% (range: 0.7-19.0), in the sacral region 6.2% (range: 0.9-22.9), and in the abdominal  
85 region 7.4% (range: 0-30.7). Median thickness of the frontal region was 1.4 mm (range: 0.8-  
86 2.0), of the dorsal neck 1.7 mm (range: 1.1-2.2), of the sacral region 1.4 mm (range: 1.0-1.9),  
87 and of the abdominal region 1.0 mm (range: 0.8-1.5). The skin of the abdominal region was  
88 significantly thinner than the frontal ( $P<0.01$ ), dorsal neck ( $P<0.001$ ), and sacral ( $P<0.001$ )  
89 regions. Thickness was not different among the frontal, dorsal neck, and sacral regions  
90 (Figure 3). Median skin thickness of the frontal region was 1.6 mm (range: 1.1-2.0) in males  
91 and 1.2 mm (range: 0.8-1.5) in females. That of the dorsal neck was 1.7 mm (range: 1.3-2.2)  
92 in males and 1.5 mm (range: 1.1-1.9) in females, that of the sacral region was 1.6 mm (range:  
93 1.2-1.9) in males and 1.4 mm (range: 1.0-1.8) in females, and that of the abdominal region  
94 was 1.1 mm (range: 0.9-1.5) in males and 0.9 mm (range: 0.8-1.4) in females. Skin thickness  
95 of the frontal region and of the dorsal neck was significantly greater in males than females  
96 ( $P<0.01$  and  $P<0.05$ , respectively). Thickness was not different between genders in the sacral  
97 and abdominal regions (Figure 4). Correlation coefficients ( $\rho$ ) between age and frontal  
98 region, dorsal neck, sacral, and abdominal regions were 0.02, -0.17, 0.18, and 0.43,

99 respectively. The coefficients were therefore very weak to weak, and none yielded  
100 significance.

101

## 102 **Discussion**

103 To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the ultrasonographic  
104 appearance of normal feline skin. In general, the superficial anatomy of skin structures is not  
105 visible using low-frequency ultrasound equipment and in humans, the mainstay is a B-mode  
106 ultrasound machine with transducers that reach frequencies of 15 MHz or higher (14-17).

107 Therefore, findings from the present study provide additional supporting evidence that a  
108 transducer of 18 MHz may offer relevant anatomical data in feline dermatology as well.

109 Indeed, the transducer frequency used here was higher than the 13 MHz of the linear array  
110 transducers that have normally been employed (10-12), albeit with a lower frequency than the  
111 ultrasound biomicroscopy of the 50 MHz transducer recently used in dogs (13). However and  
112 up to now, the latter is a model type less commonly available in veterinary institutions.

113 First, skin layers were clearly identified. A hyperechoic band corresponding to the epidermal  
114 entry echo level was first observed, followed by a second thicker and less echogenic layer  
115 band with a finely granular homogeneous echotexture compatible with the dermis. The  
116 subcutaneous tissue appeared as the deepest layer and was characterized by a hypoechoic  
117 pattern with thin linear hyperechoic bands likely corresponding to connective septa.

118 Secondly, the use of ultrasonography was shown to provide measurements of skin thickness  
119 that were repeatable, as indicated by the relatively low coefficient of variation; indeed, in all 4  
120 regions the calculated coefficient was well below 10%. It cannot be ruled out that a larger  
121 degree of variation may occur if stronger pressure were used by the operator when applying  
122 the transducer on the cat skin. Nonetheless, because subcutaneous tissue, which includes more  
123 connective tissue and is therefore expected to be more compressible, was not included in the

124 measurement because a clear demarcation of the subcutis boundary was lacking in the  
125 ultrasonographic images, as previously reported (10-13), it is very likely that irrespective of  
126 the operator the thickness would not change to any relevant degree.

127 In general, it is known that both cats and dogs have a skin thickness that decreases dorsally to  
128 ventrally on the trunk and proximally to distally on the limbs (18). However, in cats the  
129 reported average thickness of the general body skin ranges from 0.4 to 2 mm, with the  
130 thickest being on the back and dorsal neck and the thinnest ventrally, in the inguinal and  
131 axillary regions (19). In this study, the greatest skin thickness was demonstrated in the dorsal  
132 neck region and the least thickness in the abdominal region, in agreement with what has been  
133 described in the literature (19). Moreover, in the present series, although no correlation was  
134 detected between ultrasonographically-measured skin thickness and age in any region,  
135 probably due to the narrow age range of the cats examined, differences in skin thickness were  
136 observed between males and females. Indeed, the skin of the frontal region and dorsal neck  
137 was significantly thicker in males than in females. In general, both in humans and mice, sex  
138 steroids have been demonstrated to have significant effects on skin physiology and to  
139 modulate skin thickness, with males having a thicker dermis and females thicker  
140 subcutaneous tissues (20,21). Although from our study it may be hypothesized that gender has  
141 an influence on skin thickness and specific body regions, further studies with larger  
142 populations are needed to confirm this.

143 In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that in cats, as in other species,  
144 ultrasonography represents a valid and consistent tool to investigate the skin. It allows  
145 characterization of its layers and accurately measurement of its thickness. Based on these  
146 findings, we expect that ultrasonographic examination of the skin will provide, in the future,  
147 useful information during the assessment of several dermatological disorders of cats.

148

149 **References**

- 150 1. Rallan D, Harland CC. Ultrasound in dermatology: basic principles and  
151 applications. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2003; 28:632-638.
- 152 2. Aspres N, Egerton IB, Lim AC, Shumack SP. Imaging the skin. *Australas J Dermatol*.  
153 2003; 44:19-27.
- 154 3. Dill-Müller D, Maschke J. Ultrasonography in dermatology. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges*. 2007;  
155 5:689-707.
- 156 4. Alexander H, Miller DL. Determining skin thickness with pulsed ultrasound. *J Invest*  
157 *Dermatol*. 1979; 72:17-19.
- 158 5. Wortsman X. Common applications of dermatologic sonography. *J Ultrasound Med*.  
159 2012; 31:97-111.
- 160 6. Boysen SR, Lisciandro GR. The use of ultrasound for dogs and cats in the emergency  
161 room: AFAST and TFAST. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2013; 43:773-797.
- 162 7. Nyman HT, Kristensen AT, Lee MH, Martinussen T, McEvoy FJ. Characterization of  
163 canine superficial tumors using gray-scale B mode, color flow mapping, and spectral  
164 Doppler ultrasonography: a multivariate study. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound*. 2006; 47:192-198.
- 165 8. Nyman HT, Nielsen OL, McEvoy FJ, Lee MH, Martinussen T, Hellmén E, Kristensen  
166 AT. Comparison of B-mode and Doppler ultrasonographic findings with histologic  
167 features of benign and malignant mammary tumors in dogs. *Am J Vet Res*. 2006; 67:985-  
168 991.
- 169 9. Butler LG, Head GM. The medium frequency (7.5 MHz) ultrasound image characteristics  
170 of cattle skin. *Aust Vet J*. 1993; 70:344-347.
- 171 10. Diana A, Preziosi R, Guglielmini C, Degliesposti P, Pietra M, Cipone M. High-frequency  
172 ultrasonography of the skin of clinically normal dogs. *Am J Vet Res*. 2004; 65:1625-1630.



- 173 11. Zanna G, Fondevila D, Ferrer L, Espada Y. Evaluation of ultrasonography for  
174 measurement of skin thickness in shar-peis. *Am J Vet Res.* 2012; 73:220-226.
- 175 12. Diana A, Guglielmini C, Fracassi F, Pietra M, Balletti E, Cipone M. Use of high  
176 frequency ultrasonography for evaluation of skin thickness in relation to hydration status  
177 and fluid distribution at various cutaneous sites in dogs. *Am J Vet Res.* 2008; 69:1148-  
178 1152.
- 179 13. Mantis P, Tontis D, Church D, Lloyd D, Stevens K, Balomenos D, Gouletsou  
180 PG, Gianoulopoulos G, Doukas D, Galatos AD, Saridomichelakis M. High-frequency  
181 ultrasound biomicroscopy of the normal canine haired skin. *Vet Dermatol.* 2014; 25:176-  
182 181.
- 183 14. Zmudzinska M, Czarnecka-Operacz M, Silny W. Principles of dermatologic ultrasound  
184 diagnostics. *Acta Dermatovenerol Croat.* 2008; 16:126-129.
- 185 15. Kleinerman R, Whang TB, Bard RL, Marmur ES. Ultrasound in dermatology: principles  
186 and applications. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2012; 67:478-487.
- 187 16. Schmid-Wendtner MH, Dill-Müller D. Ultrasound technology in dermatology. *Semin*  
188 *Cutan Med Surg.* 2008; 27:44-51.
- 189 17. Tikjob G, Kassis V, Sondergaard J. Ultrasonic B-scanning of the human skin. An  
190 introduction of a new ultrasonic skin-scanner. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 1984; 64: 67-70.
- 191 18. Strickland JH, Calhoun ML. The integumentary system of the cat. *Am J Vet Res.* 1963;  
192 24:1018.
- 193 19. Miller WH, Griffin CE, Campbell KL. Structure and function of the skin. In: Miller WH,  
194 Griffin CE, Campbell KL, eds. *Muller and Kirk's Small Animal Dermatology.* 7<sup>th</sup> ed.  
195 Elsevier, St. Louis 2013: pp 1-56.

- 196 20. Azzi L, El-Alfy M, Martel C, Labrie F. Gender differences in mouse skin morphology and  
197 specific effects of sex steroids and dehydroepiandrosterone. *J Invest Dermatol* 2005;  
198 124:22-27.
- 199 21. Dao H Jr, Kazin RA. Gender differences in skin: a review of the literature. *Gend Med*.  
200 2007; 4:308-328.
- 201

202 **Figure legends**

203

204 **Figure 1.** Sacral region. Ultrasonographic appearance of normal skin in cats: 3 distinct layers  
205 are recognizable, including a well-defined hyperechoic band corresponding to the epidermal  
206 entry echo, a less echogenic layer corresponding to the dermis, and a deep layer  
207 corresponding to the subcutis and containing linear hyperechoic images.

208

209 **Figure 2.** Dorsal neck region. Skin thickness measurements obtained from 3 points of the  
210 same ultrasonographic image and at a distance of approximately 5 mm.

211

212 **Figure 3.** Box and whiskers plot of skin thickness measured in the frontal, dorsal neck, sacral,  
213 and abdominal regions of 21 healthy cats. Significant *P*-values are reported.

214

215 **Figure 4.** Dot plots of skin thickness measured in males and females in the frontal (A), dorsal  
216 neck (B), sacral (C), and abdominal (D) regions of 21 healthy cats. Significant *P*-values are  
217 reported.

218

219