

Screening colonoscopy in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Emeka Ray-Offor,^{1,2} Fatimah B. Abdulkareem^{3,4}

¹Digestive Disease Unit, Oak Endoscopy Centre, Port Harcourt; ²Department of Surgery, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt; ³Department of Anatomic and Molecular Pathology, College of Medicine, University of Lagos; ⁴The Specialist Laboratories Lagos, Nigeria

Abstract

Colonoscopy is a screening tool for colorectal cancer. The cost of this service, ready availability and expertise are factors limiting its routine use in low-/middle-income countries. The aim was to study premalignant colonic polyps in asymptomatic middle-aged Nigerians and highlight the usefulness of screening colonoscopy in a sub-Saharan African population. We carried out an observational study on asymptomatic patients undergoing screening colonoscopy in a referral endoscopy facility in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria from January to December 2018. The variables collated were demographics, endoscopic and histologic findings. Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20 Armonk, NY. A total of 144 colonoscopy procedures were performed during the study period with 70 asymptomatic cases for screening indication. Sixty-five were males and 5 females. The age range was from 48 years to 60 years; mean 54.8 \pm 3.6 years. A polyp-detection rate of 53.7% was recorded with multiple polyps seen in 13 cases. Adenoma(s) detected in 19 persons were: 22 tubular adenomas with low grade dysplasia; 3 tubulo-villous adenomas with low grade dysplasia; 1 sessile serrated adenoma. The adenoma detection rate was 28.8%. No abnormality was detected in 19 cases. There is a worrisome prevalence of adenomatous polyps; villous adenoma is rare. A targeted policy of screening and surveillance by colonoscopy will curb the rising incidence of colorectal cancer.

Introduction

Globally, colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third leading cancer diagnosis and fourth cause of cancer mortality.¹ It is an observed fact that countries which are undergoing rapid economic and societal changes are afflicted with a rise in incidence and increasing mortality.² Traditionally, CRC was reported to be uncommon in sub-Sahara Africa, but emerging reports reveal a rise in incidence.^{3,4} A transformation to CRC from premalignant adenomatous lesions is common to most cases. The prevalence of adenomas in a population varies based on age, gender and family history. In comparison, an estimated 25% to 40% of the asymptomatic over-50 years population in US have at least one adenoma.⁵ These early disease forms are asymptomatic at the initial stage. Screening is an effective tool for early detection and removal of these neoplasms. The screening tests for CRC are stool-based, radiographic or endoscopic.⁶ Endoscopy screening tests are sigmoidoscopy, capsule endoscopy and colonoscopy. There is a tendency to miss about one third of adenomas and CRCs during sigmoidoscopy as the right colon is not examined.⁷ The stool-based tests can easily be performed; however, an abnormal stoolbased, radiology test, sigmoidoscopy or capsule endoscopy requires a colonoscopic evaluation. Therein lies the option of offering colonoscopy first to affording clients. Colonoscopy is a choice screening tool for colorectal cancer disease with a sensitivity of detecting adenoma more than 10mm in size ranging from 89-98%.8 There is paucity of African literature on screening colonoscopy; hence, the need to report this study conducted in a metropolitan city of Nigeria comprising asymptomatic individuals on periodic medical assessment.

Materials and Methods

Study setting and design

This is an observational study conducted from January to December 2018 in a referral endoscopy facility in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. This ambulatory endoscopy centre receives referrals from nearby states in Nigeria. The inclusion criterion for study was patients who received a colonoscopy with indications for CRC screening. Exclusion criteria included: symptomatic patients undergoing colonoscopy for lower-intestinal bleeding, altered bowel habits, weight loss, or anaemia; age younger than 45 years; previous personal history of CRC or other neoplasia; at high risk for CRC (intestinal polyposis syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, non-polypoid colorectal cancer syndrome or a first degree relative with CRC). Also excluded were individuals with a hisCorrespondence: Emeka Ray-Offor, Department of Surgery, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, P.M.B 6173 Port Harcourt Rivers State, Nigeria. Tel.: +234.8033101168. E-mail: erayoffor@yahoo.com

Key words: Colon; Cancer; Colonoscopy; Screening.

Acknowledgements: the authors will like to acknowledge Drs Baba B, Ojumu MO, Oguntunde OA, Ado Wanka AN, Amadi S, Professor Banjo AAF including the entire staff of Oak Endoscopy Centre Port Harcourt and The Specialist Laboratories Lagos for their technical support in the course of this study.

Contributions: ER-O, FBA, design, data acquisition; ER-O, analysis, write-up; FBA, revision.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Funding: the work was supported by the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC).

Received for publication: 13 January 2019. Revision received: 9 April 2019. Accepted for publication: 17 April 2019.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2019 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Gastroenterology Insights 2019; 10:7987 doi:10.4081/gi.2019.7987

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

tory of colorectal resection for indications other than CRC. A prospective collection of data on demographic, clinical, endoscopic and histopathologic findings on Microsoft Excel software was done.

Pre-procedure

An informed consent for the procedure was obtained according to Helsinki declaration at a pre-procedure visit for clinical evaluation. A two-day dietary restriction was instructed. Bowel cleansing preceding the morning of procedure was commenced using polyethylene glycol or sodium picosulphate citrate. During the period of nonavailability of listed agents, a castor oil/bisacodyl regimen was used. Conscious sedation was the choice of anaesthesia and was administered by assisting physician or nurse anaesthetist for patients of American Society of Anaesthesiology class I-II. Beyond these grades, the procedure was performed under the supervision of an anaesthesiologist. The drugs administered were intravenous diazepam 5-10mg, pentazocine 30mg and hyoscine 40mg. The latter was used after exclusion of obstructive urinary symptoms and history of glaucoma. Intravenous propofol was administered only by an anaesthesiologist.

Procedure

The endoscopy equipment used was Karl Storz (Germany) video-colonoscope 13925 PKS, high definition video-monitor, Telecam DXII camera unit and Xenon 100W gastro insufflation pump. All colonoscopies were performed by the same surgeon endoscopist. A full colonoscopic examination was performed after digital rectal examination. The intubation of the caecum was confirmed by visualization of convergence of the Taenia coli (crow-foot appearance) and appendiceal orifice. An occasional intubation of the ileo-caecal valve was performed in atypical cases for completion. The video image was captured and stored for the medical report. The sites of polyps were recorded according to the endoscopic assessment of the segment of bowel involved as against using distance from anal verge which is variable depending on mechanical shortening or lengthening of colon caused by the endoscope during navigation. A snare loop was used for removal of polyps of 5-20mm size and simple cold biopsy for polyps <5mm in size.

Pathological examination

The tissue samples were immediately fixed after removal in 10% buffered formalin and safely transported by air courier to the laboratory for processing. Sections were stained with routine haematoxylin and eosin and examination under the microscope. All specimens of the screening colonoscopy cases were reviewed at the same laboratory by an experienced gastro-intestinal pathologist. The polyp was assessed and classified as appropriate while the adenomatous polyps were further evaluated for the level of dysplasia using the two-tier grading system (low- or high-grade dysplasia), as well as the whether there is involvement of the margins.

Post procedure

The vital signs of patients were monitored for a minimum of fifteen minutes before discharge home and instructed on a follow-up visit to discuss the histopathology.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20 Armonk, NY. Mean age and standard deviation were calculated. The categorical variables were analysed in simple percentages. The association between the variables was made using the chi-square test and a value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

There were 144 colonoscopy procedures performed during the study period out of which 70 were asymptomatic cases for screening indication. Sixty-six of these asymptomatic cases were included in study analysis after exclusion of a sole case of poor bowel with incomplete study and 3 cases of unavailable histology report. The age range of participants was from 48 years to 60 years: mean 54.8 ± 3.6 years (Figure 1). There were 62 males and 4 females.

A caecal intubation rate of 98.5% was recorded in the screening colonoscopies with inability to navigate endoscope into caecum due to loop formation despite repeated attempts at reduction in one case.

Thirty-six cases had polyps – polyp detection rate of 53.7%. These polyps were multiple per individual in 13 cases (2 polyps per individual in 10 cases and 3 polyps in 3 individuals). The size of polyps



An adenoma detection rate (ADR) of 28.8% was recorded from 19 persons. From histology, there were: 22 cases of tubular adenomas with low grade dysplasia (Figure 2); 3 tubulo-villous adenomas with low-grade dysplasia; and 1 sessile serrated adenoma (Table 2). The remaining polyps were inflammatory polyps and 6 of these cases were seen co-existing with adenomas. There was no malignant polyp or incidental colorectal cancer recorded.

The incidental endoscopic findings were: 17 cases of haemorrhoids; 8 asymptomatic cases of diverticulosis, 1 case of angiodysplasia and 1 case of melanosis coli. No abnormality was detected in 19 cases.

Discussion

Colorectal cancer incidence is rising in countries that recently experienced rapid economic growth.⁹ Hence, the need for screening as about 90% of CRC cases arise from an adenoma and it takes about 10 years for a polyp greater than 1 cm in size to become an invasive malignancy.¹⁰ In this colonoscopy study the indication for nearly half of cases (47.9%) performed during study period was for screening purpose. A search of African literature on colonoscopy shows mostly studies conducted on symptomatic patients with few cases of screening as indication.¹¹⁻¹⁴ A colorectal cancer

Table 1. Site distribution of polyps and adenomas detected in study.

Site	Polyps	%	≤5 mm	Size 6-10 mm	>10 mm	Adenoma
Rectum	22	39.3	14	4	4	7
Sigmoid colon	11	19.6	3	3	5	4
Descending colon	3	5.4	1	1	1	1
Transverse colon	12	21.4	5	3	4	9
Ascending colon	7	12.5	2	1	3	4
Caecum	1	1.8	1	1	0	1
Total	56	100	26	13	17	26

Table 2. Histologic classification of adenomatous polyps recorded in study population.

Histology	Frequency		
Tubular adenoma with low grade dysplasia	22	84.6	
Tubulo-villous adenoma with low grade dysplasia	3	11.5	
Serrated adenoma	1	3.8	
Villous adenoma	0	0	
Total	26	100	





awareness campaign conducted by the study centre, highlighting screening colonoscopy to national and multinational companies in the locality and health-care providers of this major metropolitan city, was probably responsible for the significant percentage recorded. A one-time choice test designed to detect both early cancer and adenomatous polyps-colonoscopy, was advocated if resources were available. An effective colorectal screening test has the primary aim to ameliorate the disease by an early detection of premalignant adenomas and removal then secondarily to detect early CRC for prompt treatment.^{15,16}

The quality metrics for screening colonoscopy include caecal intubation and an adequate bowel preparation; however, ADR has emerged as one of the most important. The ADR is the proportion of patients with at least one adenomatous polyp visualized at endoscopy.17 An acceptable 28.8% ADR was recorded since the benchmark for ADR as recommended by international standard is 25% overall. 30% in men, and 20% in women.18 There is a high dependence on the skill of the pathologist as there is variance among pathology laboratories. All specimens from the screening colonoscopy cases were reviewed by an experienced gastro-intestinal pathologist. Several factors are associated with an increase in ADR, such as the use of image enhancement technology, withdrawal time, quality of intestinal preparation, and the use of antispasmodic drugs.18 In the low-/middle-income country setting of this study, white- light endoscopy with high definition imaging was used with a withdrawal time of at least six minutes and the removal of polyps found during insertion and withdrawal phases adopted. Finding better quality metrics in screening colonoscopy rather than improving technology is more costeffective. An adequate withdrawal technique, including looking behind every fold, a protocol of copious irrigation with water in segments of non-optimal bowel preparation for cleaning debris and needed distension were carefully performed. A caecal intubation rate (98.5%) higher than the reported rates of 62.3-94% from African studies may have positively affected the ADR.11-14

Approximately two-third-36 (64.3%) of polyps were seen in the left side of the colon comprising the rectum, sigmoid and descending colons. In all, 30% of polyps detected were more than 1cm in size but no villous adenoma, high grade dysplasia or early CRC was recorded. There was a near even distribution of adenoma between the right and left sides of the colon with the transverse colon as the most frequent

anatomic site of adenoma detection. This distribution varies from the right colon predominated distribution of adenoma in the East and left sided predominance in Western populations. The reason for this variation in this African population is uncertain. There is however a documented trend in recent literature of a rising incidence of colorectal cancer in sub-Saharan Africa and invariably of pre-mitotic adenomas.¹⁹ Data from this colonoscopy study forecast a further rise in colorectal cancer incidence if unchecked. This is inferred holding true the established transition from early form adenoma to carcinoma over 10 years. Previous studies from Nigeria had shown rising incidence of CRC.^{20,21} For instance, Iliyasu reported a four-fold increase over 2 decades from The Ibadan Cancer Registry in the 90s.²⁰ Rotimi and Abdulkareem in their systematic review of Nigerian literature over 53 years reported increase in annual frequency from 18.2/annum in the early years (1954-1969) to 86.8/annum in the latter years (1991-2007).²¹

Colonoscopy has its limitations including adequacy of intestinal preparation, risks related to sedation, the risk of perforation, missing neoplasia, and high cost. No perforation or major complication was recorded. The limitations to this study include the small sample size and the fact that it is a sin-



Figure 1. Age distribution of study asymptomatic patients who had screening colonoscopy.



Figure 2. Photomicrograph of a case of colonic tubular adenoma with low-grade dysplasia (haematoxylin and cosin stain $\times 100$). The lower left insert shows the same lesion at high magnification ($\times 400$).



gle centre study. The notable barriers to screening identified include lack of comprehensive health insurance, non-optimal physician recommendation and low awareness of the importance of CRC screening. A guideline as formulated by US Multi-Society Task force for the detection of adenomatous polyps and CRC is needed in our setting.²² This will logically yield a similar reduction in mortality from CRC. In the African sub-region, screening for CRC by colonoscopy at age 50 in combination with treatment costs is <\$Int 6000 per disability adjusted life years averted based on WHO-CHOICE analysis and can be considered cost-effective.²³ A targeted policy on screening for colorectal cancer is hereby recommended with an emphasis on screening colonoscopy as adults nay have different preferences and acceptance among the available CRC screening tests.

Conclusions

There is a worrisome prevalence rate of adenomatous polyps in this middle-aged sub-Sahara African population. Villous adenoma, however, is not a common pathology. A targeted policy of screening colonoscopy with removal of abnormal lesions and surveillance will reduce the upward trend of incidence and significant mortality from colorectal cancer.

References

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 2015;136:E359-86.
- Center MM, Jemal A, Smith RA, et al. Worldwide variations in colorectal cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 2009; 59:366-78.
- Graham A, Adeloye D, Grant L, et al. Estimating the incidence of colorectal cancer in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic analysis. J Glob Health 2012;2:020404.

- 4. Negim J, Cumming R, de Ramirez SS, et al. Risk factors for non-communicable diseases among older adults in rural Africa. Trop Med Int Health 2011;16:640-6.
- 5. Rex DK, Bond JH, Winawer S, et al. Quality in the technical performance of colonoscopy and the continuous quality improvement process for colonoscopy recommendations of the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Am J Gastroenterol 2002;97:1296-308.
- Hol L, van Leerdam ME, van Ballegooijen M, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: randomized trial comparing guaiac-based and immunochemical faecal occult blood testing and flexible sigmoidoscopy. Gut 2010;59:62-8.
- Home O, Bretthauer M, Fretheim A, et al. Flexible sigmoidoscopy versus faecal occult blood testing for colorectal cancer screening in asymptomatic individuals. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;9:CD009259.
- Lin JS, Piper MA, Perdue LA, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA 2016;315:2576-94.
- Arnold M, Sierra MS, Laversanne M, et al. Global patterns and trends in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality. Gut 2017;66:683-91.
- Saha D, Roman C, Beauchamp D. New strategies for colorectal cancer prevention and treatment. World J Surg 2002;26:762-6.
- 11. Mahomed AD, Cemona E, Fourie C, et al. A clinical audit of colonoscopy in a gastroenterology unit at a tertiary teaching hospital in South Africa. SAGR 2012;10:9-15.
- Osinowo A, Lawal O, Lesi OA, et al. Audit of colonoscopy practice in Lagos University Teaching Hospital. J Clin Sci 2016;13:29-33.
- Dakubo JCB, Seshie B, Ankrah LNA. Utilisation and diagnostic yield of large bowel endoscopy at KorleBu Teaching Hospital. J Med Biomed Sci 2014;3:6-13.

- Gadoa AS, Ebeidd BA, Abdelmohsenb AM, et al. Quality of colonoscopy practice: a single-center experience in Egypt. Egypt J Intern Med 2016;28: 108-15.
- Mandel JS, Church TR, Bond JH, et al. The effect of fecal occult-blood screening on the incidence of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1603-7.
- Selby JV, Friedman GD, Quesenberry CP Jr, et al. A case-control study of screening sigmoidoscopy and mortality from colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 1992;326:653-7.
- 17. Liem B, Gupta N. Adenoma detection rate: the perfect colonoscopy quality measure or is there more? Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;3:19.
- Rex DK, Schoenfeld PS, Cohen J, et al. Quality indicators for colonoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2015;81:31-53.
- 19. Jedy-Agba E, Curado MP, Ogunbiyi O, et al. Cancer incidence in Nigeria: a report from population-based cancer registries. Cancer Epidemiol 2012;36: e271-8.
- Iliyasu Y, Ladipo JK, Akang EE, et al. A twenty-year review of malignant colorectal neoplasms at University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria. Dis Colon Rectum 1996;39:536-40.
- Rotimi O, Abdulkareem FB. Fifty-three years of reporting colorectal cancer in Nigerians – a systematic review of the published literature. Niger Postgrad Med J 2014;21:68-73.
- 22. Levin B, Lieberman DA, McFarland B, et al. Screening and surveillance for the early detection of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps, 2008: a joint guideline from the American Cancer Society, the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal cancer and the American College of Radiology. Gastroenterology 2008;134:1570-95.
- 23. Ginsberg GM, Lauer JA, Zelle S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of strategies to combat breast, cervical and colorectal cancer in sub-Saharan Africa and South East Asia: mathematical modelling study. BMJ 2012;344:e614.