



Correction to: The value of procalcitonin for predicting urosepsis after mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy or flexible ureteroscopy based on different organisms

Minghui Liu¹ · Zewu Zhu¹ · Yu Cui¹ · Huimin Zeng¹ · Yongchao Li¹ · Fang Huang¹ · Zhongxiao Cui¹ · Feng Zeng¹ · Zhiyong Chen¹ · Yang Li¹ · Xiaoqiong Zhang² · Jinbo Chen¹ · Hequn Chen¹ 

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: World Journal of Urology
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-021-03845-9>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

In the original publication of the article, the term “thrombus rate” in the last line of Fig. 2 was incorrect. The correct term should be “Urosepsis rate” and correct Fig. 2 is given below:

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-021-03845-9>.

✉ Xiaoqiong Zhang
zhangxiaoqiong2021@126.com

✉ Jinbo Chen
chenjinbo1989@yahoo.com

✉ Hequn Chen
chenhequnxy@126.com

¹ Department of Urology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha 410008, China

² Transplantation Center, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha 410008, China

Fig. 2 Nomogram to predict the probability of post-PCNL and post-FURS urosepsis caused by GN bacteria

