

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access



Some properties for meromorphic functions associated with integral operators

W. Y. Kota^{1*} and R. M. El-Ashwah¹

*Correspondence:
wafaa_kota@yahoo.com

¹ Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of science, Damietta
University, New Damietta, Egypt

Abstract

In the present paper we aim at proving some subordinations properties for meromorphic functions analytic in the punctured unit disc $\Delta^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ with a simple pole at the origin. The functions under investigation are associated with two integral operators $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma$ (see Lashin in *Comput Math Appl* 59:524–531, 2010, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.06.015>). Several other results and numerical examples are also obtained.

Keywords: Meromorphic functions, Differential subordination, Integral operators

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50

Introduction and Preliminaries

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = 1/z + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} c_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc $\Delta^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ with a simple pole at the origin.

Definition 1 For $f(z) \in \Sigma$, given by (1) and $h(z) \in \Sigma$ defined by

$$h(z) = 1/z + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} h_{\kappa} z^{\kappa},$$

Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $h(z)$ is given by

$$(f * h)(z) = 1/z + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} c_{\kappa} h_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} = (h * f)(z).$$

Definition 2 [2] For two functions f and g , analytic in $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, we say that the function f is subordinate to g in Δ , written $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$ which is analytic in Δ , satisfying the following conditions:

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1; \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)); \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in Δ , we have the following equivalence (see also [3, 4]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

In 2010, Lashin [1] defined the following integral operators $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma, \mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma, \mathcal{J}_\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z) &= \frac{\sigma^\gamma}{z^{\sigma+1}\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^z s^\sigma \left(\log\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)\right)^{\gamma-1} f(s) ds \quad (\gamma, \sigma > 0, z \in \Delta^*), \\ \mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma f(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + \gamma)}{z^{\sigma+1}\Gamma(\sigma)\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^z s^\sigma \left(1 - \frac{s}{z}\right)^{\gamma-1} f(s) ds \quad (\gamma, \sigma > 0, z \in \Delta^*), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_\sigma f(z) = \frac{\sigma}{z^{\sigma+1}} \int_0^z s^\sigma f(s) ds \quad (\sigma > 0, z \in \Delta^*),$$

where $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is the familiar Gamma function.

Using the integral representation of the Gamma functions, it can be shown that

Definition 3 Let $f(z) \in \Sigma$ be given by (1), then

$$\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z) = 1/z + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\kappa + \sigma + 1}\right)^\gamma c_\kappa z^\kappa \quad (\gamma, \sigma > 0, z \in \Delta^*), \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma f(z) = 1/z + \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa + \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(\kappa + \sigma + \gamma + 1)} c_\kappa z^\kappa \quad (\gamma, \sigma > 0, z \in \Delta^*), \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_\sigma f(z) = 1/z + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma}{\kappa + \sigma + 1} c_\kappa z^\kappa \quad (\sigma > 0, z \in \Delta^*). \quad (4)$$

By (3) and (4), we can easily obtain that

$$z(\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z))' = \sigma \mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1} f(z) - (\sigma + 1) \mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z) \quad (\sigma > 0, \gamma > 1) \quad (5)$$

and

$$z(\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma f(z))' = (\sigma + \gamma - 1) \mathcal{Q}_\sigma^{\gamma-1} f(z) - (\sigma + \gamma) \mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma f(z) \quad (\sigma > 0, \gamma > 1). \quad (6)$$

Remark 1

- (i) Putting $\gamma = 1$ in the integral operators $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma$, we obtain $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^1 = \mathcal{P}_\sigma^1 = \mathcal{J}_\sigma$;
- (ii) The operator \mathcal{J}_σ was defined and studied by Kumar and Shukla [5, Theorem 4.2, with $p = 1$].

In order to prove our main results, we recall the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 [6, 7] *If $g(z)$ is a convex function in Δ with $g(0) = 1$, $q(z) = 1 + q_1(z) + q_2(z) + \dots$ is analytic in Δ and $\delta \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Re}(\delta) > 0$, then*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\delta} \prec g(z)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \delta z^{-\delta} \int_0^z s^{\delta-1} g(s) ds = \tilde{h}(z) \prec g(z)$$

and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant.

Definition 4 Let a, b and c be complex and real numbers with $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by the power series

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) &= 1 + \frac{ab}{c}z + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{2!c(c+1)}z^2 + \dots \\ &= \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_\kappa (b)_\kappa}{(c)_\kappa (1)_\kappa} z^\kappa, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a)_\kappa = \Gamma(a + \kappa) / \Gamma(a)$ is the Pochhammer symbol.

Lemma 2 [8, 9] *For a, b, c real numbers other than $0, -1, -2, \dots$ and $c > b > 0$, we have*

$$\int_0^1 s^{b-1} (1-s)^{c-b-1} (1-sz)^{-a} ds = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \tag{7}$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1(a, c-b; c; z/(z-1)) \tag{8}$$

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; z) = -(1/z)\ln(1-z) \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &c(c-1)(z-1) {}_2F_1(a, b; c-1; z) \\ &+ c[c-1-(2c-a-b-1)z] {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \\ &+ (c-a)(c-b)z {}_2F_1(a, b; c+1; z) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Lemma 3 *For any real number $\alpha \neq 0$, we have*

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)) = \frac{(1 + \alpha z)\ln(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z}, \tag{11}$$

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 3; \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)) = \frac{2(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z} \left[1 - \frac{\ln(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z} \right], \tag{12}$$

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 4; \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)) = \frac{3(1 + \alpha z)}{2(\alpha z)^3} [2\ln(1 + \alpha z) - \alpha z(2 - \alpha z)], \tag{13}$$

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 5; \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)) = \frac{2(1 + \alpha z)}{(\alpha z)^3} \left[\frac{2(\alpha z)^2 - 3\alpha z + 6}{3} - \frac{2\ln(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z} \right]. \tag{14}$$

Proof To prove the relation (11), substituting for $z = \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)$ in (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)) &= -\left(\frac{1 + \alpha z}{\alpha z}\right) \ln\left(1 - \frac{\alpha z}{\alpha z + 1}\right) \\ &= -\left(\frac{1 + \alpha z}{\alpha z}\right) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\alpha z + 1}\right) \\ &= \frac{(1 + \alpha z)\ln(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove the relation (12), by replacing $a = b = 1$ and $c = 2$ in (10), we obtain

$$2(z - 1){}_2F_1(1, 1; 1; z) + 2(1 - z){}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; z) + z{}_2F_1(1, 1; 3; z) = 0$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(1, 1; 3; z) &= \frac{1}{z} [-2(z - 1){}_2F_1(1, 1; 1; z) - 2(1 - z){}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; z)] \\ &= \frac{2}{z} \left[1 + \frac{1 - z}{z} \ln(1 - z) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By replacing $z = \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(1, 1; 3; \alpha z/(\alpha z + 1)) &= \frac{2(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\alpha z} \ln\left(1 - \frac{\alpha z}{1 + \alpha z}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{2(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z} \left[1 - \frac{\ln(1 + \alpha z)}{\alpha z} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the relations (13) and (14). □

The purpose of this paper is to prove some subordinations properties for meromorphic functions analytic in the punctured unit disc $\Delta^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. The functions under investigation are associated with two integral operators $\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\gamma$. Several other results and numerical examples are also obtained.

Main results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $\gamma > 2$, $\sigma > 0$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

Theorem 1 *Let*

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \right) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\sigma > 0, \gamma > 2) \tag{15}$$

then we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} < \tilde{h}(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where

$$\tilde{h}(z) = (1 + Bz)^{-1} \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; 1 + \sigma; Bz/(1 + Bz) \right) + \frac{\sigma Az}{\sigma + 1} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; 2 + \sigma; Bz/(1 + Bz) \right) \right] \tag{16}$$

and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \right) > \eta$$

where

$$\eta = (1 - B)^{-1} \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; 1 + \sigma; B/(B - 1) \right) - \frac{\sigma A}{\sigma + 1} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; 2 + \sigma; B/(B - 1) \right) \right]. \tag{17}$$

Proof Suppose that

$$q(z) = \frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)}, \tag{18}$$

then $q(z) = 1 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in Δ with $q(0) = 1$. Using the logarithmic differentiation of the both sides of (18) with respect to z and with the aid of the identity (5), we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \tag{19}$$

By using (18) and (19), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \right) = q(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) zq'(z).$$

Thus, by using Lemma 1, for $\delta = \sigma$, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} < \sigma z^{-\sigma} \int_0^z s^{\sigma-1} \frac{1 + As}{1 + Bs} ds = \tilde{h}(z).$$

Using the identities (7) and (8), we can written $h(z)$ as following:

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= \sigma \int_0^1 t^{\sigma-1} \frac{1 + Atz}{1 + Btz} dt \\ &= \sigma \left[\int_0^1 t^{\sigma-1} (1 + Btz)^{-1} dt + Az \int_0^1 t^{\sigma} (1 + Btz)^{-1} dt \right] \\ &= (1 + Bz)^{-1} \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; 1 + \sigma, Bz/(1 + Bz) \right) + \frac{\sigma Az}{\sigma + 1} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; 2 + \sigma, Bz/(1 + Bz) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (16) of Theorem 1. Now, to prove the assertion (17) of Theorem 1, it suffices to show that

$$\inf_{|z| < 1} \{h(z)\} = h(-1). \tag{20}$$

Indeed, for $|z| \leq r < 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right) \geq \frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br}.$$

Upon setting

$$K(t, z) = \frac{1 + Atz}{1 + Btz} \text{ and } dv(t) = \sigma t^{\sigma-1} dt \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1, z \in \Delta),$$

which is a positive measure on $[0, 1]$, we get

$$h(z) = \int_0^1 K(t, z) dv(t),$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) \geq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1 - Atr}{1 - Btr} \right) dv(t) = h(-r) \quad (|z| \leq r < 1).$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ in the above inequality, we obtain the assertion (20). The result in (17) is the best possible as the function $h(z)$ is the best dominant of (16). The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. \square

Letting $\sigma = 1$ in Theorem 1 and using the identities (11) and (12), we have

Corollary 1 *Let*

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)} \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)} \prec h(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{\ln(1+Bz)}{Bz} + \frac{A}{B} & B \neq 0 \\ 1 + \frac{A}{2}z & B = 0, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

and $h(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)}\right) > \eta$$

where

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{A}{B} - 1\right) \frac{\ln(1-B)}{B} + \frac{A}{B} & B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{A}{2} & B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Letting $B \neq 0$ in Corollary 1, we have

Corollary 2 *If*

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)}\right) < \frac{1 + \frac{B \ln(1-B)}{B + \ln(1-B)}z}{1 + Bz} \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $B = -1$ in Corollary 2, we obtain the following special case

Example 1 *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)}\right)\right) > \frac{2 \ln 2 - 1}{2 \ln 2 - 2} \approx -0.61 \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $A = 1 - 2\lambda$, ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $B = -1$ in Corollary 1, we have

Corollary 3 *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\gamma}f(z)}\right)\right) > \lambda \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right) > (2\lambda - 1) + 2(1 - \lambda) \ln 2.$$

Letting $\sigma = 2$ in Theorem 1 and using the identities (12) and (13), we have

Corollary 4 *Let*

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)} < \tilde{h}(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where

$$\tilde{h}(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{A}{B} - 1\right) \left(\frac{2[\ln(1+Bz)-Bz]}{B^2 z^2}\right) + \frac{A}{B} & B \neq 0 \\ \frac{2}{3}Az + 1 & B = 0, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right) > \eta$$

where

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{A}{B} - 1\right) \frac{2[\ln(1-B)+B]}{B^2} + \frac{A}{B} & B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{2}{3}A & B = 0, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Letting $B \neq 0$ in Corollary 4, we have

Corollary 5 *If*

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right) < \frac{1 + \frac{B \ln(1-B)}{B + \ln(1-B)}z}{1 + Bz} \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $B = -1$ in Corollary 5, we obtain the following special case

Example 2

If

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right)\right) > \frac{4 \ln 2 - 3}{4 \ln 2 - 2} \approx -0.29 \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $A = 1 - 2\lambda$, ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $B = -1$ in Corollary 4, we have

Corollary 6 If

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right)\right) > \lambda \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_2^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_2^\gamma f(z)}\right) > (2\lambda - 1) - 4(1 - \lambda)(\ln 2 - 1).$$

Letting $\sigma = 3$ in Theorem 1 and using the identities (13) and (14), we have

Corollary 7 Let

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)} < \bar{h}(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where

$$\bar{h}(z) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) \frac{3}{B^3 z^3} \left[\ln(1 + Bz) - Bz + \frac{B^2 z^2}{2}\right] + \frac{A}{B} & B \neq 0 \\ 1 + \frac{3}{4}Az & B = 0, \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

and $\bar{h}(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right) > \eta$$

where

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{A}{B} - 1\right) \frac{3}{B^3} \left[\ln(1 - B) + B + \frac{B^2}{2}\right] + \frac{A}{B} & B \neq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{3}{4}A & B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Letting $B \neq 0$ in Corollary 7, we have

Corollary 8 *If*

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right) < \frac{1 + \frac{B \ln(1-B)}{B + \ln(1-B)}z}{1 + Bz} \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $B = -1$ in Corollary 8, we obtain the following special case

Example 3

If

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right)\right) > \frac{12 \ln 2 - 19}{12 \ln 2 - 20} \approx 0.91 \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $A = 1 - 2\lambda$, ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $B = -1$ in Corollary 7, we have

Corollary 9 *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right)\right) > \lambda \quad (\gamma > 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_3^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}_3^\gamma f(z)}\right) > (2\lambda - 1) + 3(1 - \lambda)(2 \ln 2 - 3).$$

Theorem 2 *Let*

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\sigma + \gamma - 1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} + \frac{\sigma + \gamma - 1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \right) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\sigma > 0, \gamma > 2)$$

then we have

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} < \tilde{h}(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(z) = & (1 + Bz)^{-1} \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \sigma + \gamma - 1; Bz/(1 + Bz) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(\sigma + \gamma - 2)Az}{\sigma + \gamma - 1} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \sigma + \gamma; Bz/(1 + Bz) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \right) > \eta$$

where

$$\eta = (1 - B)^{-1} \left[{}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \sigma + \gamma - 1; B/(B - 1) \right) - \frac{(\sigma + \gamma - 2)A}{\sigma + \gamma - 1} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \sigma + \gamma; B/(B - 1) \right) \right]. \tag{28}$$

Proof Suppose that

$$q(z) = \frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)}, \tag{29}$$

then $q(z) = 1 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in Δ with $q(0) = 1$. Using the logarithmic differentiation of the both sides of (29) with respect to z , and with the aid of the identities (6), we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \right) \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\sigma + \gamma - 1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} + \frac{1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \tag{30}$$

By using (29) and (30), we obtain

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-2}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)} - \frac{\sigma + \gamma - 1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \frac{Q_\sigma^{\gamma-1}f(z)}{Q_\sigma^\gamma f(z)} + \frac{\sigma + \gamma - 1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \right) = q(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma + \gamma - 2} \right) zq'(z).$$

Thus, by using Lemma 1, for $\delta = \sigma + \gamma - 2$, the estimates (27) and (28) can be proved on the same lines as that of (16) and (17). This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Letting $\gamma = 3 - \sigma$ in Theorem 2 and using the identities (11) and (12), we have

Corollary 10 *Let*

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \left(2 + \frac{Q_\sigma^{1-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)} - 2 \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (0 < \sigma < 1)$$

then we have

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} < \tilde{h}(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where $\tilde{h}(z)$ given as (21) and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > \eta$$

where η given as (22).

Letting $B \neq 0$ in Corollary 10, we have

Corollary 11 *If*

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \left(2 + \frac{Q_\sigma^{1-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)} - 2 \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) < \frac{1 + \frac{B \ln(1-B)}{B + \ln(1-B)} z}{1 + Bz} \quad (0 < \sigma < 1)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $B = -1$ in Corollary 11, we obtain the following special case

Example 4

If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \left(2 + \frac{Q_\sigma^{1-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)} - 2 \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) \right) > \frac{2 \ln 2 - 1}{2 \ln 2 - 2} \approx -0.61 \quad (0 < \sigma < 1)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $A = 1 - 2\lambda$, ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $B = -1$ in Corollary 10, we have

Corollary 12 *If*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \left(2 + \frac{Q_\sigma^{1-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)} - 2 \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) \right) > \lambda \quad (0 < \sigma < 1)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > (2\lambda - 1) + 2(1 - \lambda) \ln 2.$$

Letting $\gamma = 4 - \sigma$ in Theorem 2 and using the identities (12) and (13), we have

Corollary 13 *Let*

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \right) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (0 < \sigma < 2)$$

then we have

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} < \tilde{h}(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where $\tilde{h}(z)$ given as (23) and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > \eta$$

where η given as (24).

Letting $B \neq 0$ in Corollary 13, we have

Corollary 14 *If*

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \right) < \frac{1 + \frac{B \ln(1-B)}{B + \ln(1-B)} z}{1 + Bz} \quad (0 < \sigma < 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $B = -1$ in Corollary 15, we obtain the following special case

Example 5

If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} \right) \right) > \frac{4 \ln 2 - 3}{4 \ln 2 - 2} \approx -0.29 \quad (0 < \sigma < 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $A = 1 - 2\lambda$, ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $B = -1$ in Corollary 13, we have

Corollary 15 *If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{Q_\sigma^{2-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}\right)\right) > \lambda \quad (0 < \sigma < 2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}\right) > (2\lambda - 1) - 4(1 - \lambda)(\ln 2 - 1).$$

Letting $\gamma = 5 - \sigma$ in Theorem 2 and using the identities (13) and (14), we have

Corollary 16 *Let*

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{5-\sigma} f(z)}\left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{5-\sigma} f(z)}\right) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (0 < \sigma < 3)$$

then we have

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{5-\sigma} f(z)} < \tilde{h}(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

where $\tilde{h}(z)$ given as (25) and $\tilde{h}(z)$ is the best dominant. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{5-\sigma} f(z)}\right) > \eta$$

where given as (26).

Letting $B \neq 0$ in Corollary 16, we have

Corollary 17 *If*

$$\frac{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{5-\sigma} f(z)}\left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{Q_\sigma^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{5-\sigma} f(z)}\right) < \frac{1 + \frac{B \ln(1-B)}{B + \ln(1-B)} z}{1 + Bz} \quad (0 < \sigma < 3)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Q_\sigma^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_\sigma^{5-\sigma} f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $B = -1$ in Corollary 17, we obtain the following special case

Example 6

If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{5-\sigma} f(z)} \left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{Q_{\sigma}^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{5-\sigma} f(z)} \right) \right) > \frac{12 \ln 2 - 19}{12 \ln 2 - 20} \approx 0.91 \quad (0 < \sigma < 3)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{5-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Letting $A = 1 - 2\lambda$, ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$) and $B = -1$ in Corollary 16, we have

Corollary 18 If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{5-\sigma} f(z)} \left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{Q_{\sigma}^{3-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{5-\sigma} f(z)} \right) \right) > \lambda \quad (0 < \sigma < 3)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{Q_{\sigma}^{4-\sigma} f(z)}{Q_{\sigma}^{5-\sigma} f(z)} \right) > (2\lambda - 1) + 3(1 - \lambda)(2 \ln 2 - 3).$$

Conclusion

The purpose of the current work is to demonstrate various subordination characteristics for analytical meromorphic functions in $\Delta^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. Lashin [1] defined and studied the integral operators \mathcal{P}'_{σ} and \mathcal{Q}'_{σ} on the meromorphic functions. We use these operators to study and demonstrate some subordination characteristics for meromorphic functions. In addition, we obtain some particular cases and numerical examples of our main results.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable comments, which helped improve the manuscript.

Author contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research work is not funded.

Availability of data and materials

During the current study the data sets are derived arithmetically.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors do not have competing for any interests.

Received: 31 October 2022 Accepted: 21 October 2023

Published online: 31 October 2023

References

1. Lashin, A.Y.: On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 524–531 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2009.06.015>
2. Duren P.L.: *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259. Springer, New York (1983)
3. Bulboacă, T.: *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations: Recent Results*. House of Scientific Book Publishing, Cluj-Napoca (2005)
4. Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T.: *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*. Marcel Dekker Incorporated, New York (2000)
5. Kumar, V., Shukla, S.L.: Certain integrals for classes of p-valent meromorphic functions. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **25**, 35–97 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700005062>
6. Hallenbeck, D.J., Ruscheweyh, S.T.: Subordination by convex functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **52**, 191–195 (1975). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1975-0374403-3>
7. Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T.: Differential subordination and univalent functions. *Michigan Math. J.* **28**, 157–171 (1981). <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1029002507>
8. Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.A.: *Hand Book of Mathematical functions*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1971)
9. Whittaker, E.T., Watson, G.N.: *A Course on Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions; With An Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions*, 4th edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1927)

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
