

Handgrip strength and health-related quality of life in elderly patients attending physiotherapy clinics

Janet Bong May Ing¹, Bsc, Howell Menor¹, Bsc, Naimah binti Wahab¹, Bsc, Nur Rasyda binti Ishak¹, Bsc, Mohamad Adam Bujang², PhD, Fatin Ellisya Sapri² Bsc

ABSTRACT

Background. A progressive decrease in muscle mass and consequent muscle strength can lead to disability, morbidity, and mortality. This study aimed to determine the association between handgrip strength and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in elderly patients with medical conditions.

Methods: Those aged ≥ 60 years who attended the physiotherapy clinics between September and November 2016 were invited to participate. Handgrip strength was measured in kg using a Jamar Handgrip Dynamometer. The SF-36 health survey was used to assess HRQOL; lower scores represent greater disability.

Results: A total of 142 female and 87 male elderly patients participated. The most common medical condition was osteoarthritis (25.3%), followed by cardiac problems (20.5%), low back pain (17.4%), others (15.7%), stroke (13.1%), fracture (4.4%), and trigger finger (3.5%). In males and females, the most common medical conditions were cardiac problems (36.8%) and osteoarthritis (31.0%), respectively. Compared with females, males had better handgrip strength (24.46 vs. 15.25 kg, $p < 0.001$) and HRQOL in terms of most SF-36 sub-scores. In males, handgrip strength differed significantly in those with different medical conditions ($p = 0.026$); handgrip strength was highest in those with cardiac problems and lowest in those with osteoarthritis. In females, higher handgrip strength was associated with younger age-group ($p = 0.003$, analysis of covariance). In males, handgrip strength was positively correlated with all domains of SF-36. In females, handgrip strength was positively correlated with domains of physical functioning, role physical, social functioning, and physical component summary.

Conclusions: Lower handgrip strength is associated with poor HRQOL, particularly physical functioning, among Malaysian elderly patients with medical conditions. Handgrip strength can be used to determine the physical condition of elderly patients.

Key words: Aged; Hand strength; Quality of life

¹ Physiotherapy Department, Sarawak Heart Centre, Malaysia

² Clinical Research Centre, Sarawak General Hospital, Malaysia

Correspondence to: Mohamad Adam Bujang, Clinical Research Centre, Sarawak General Hospital, Jalan Tun Ahmad Zaidi Adruce, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.
Email: adam@crc.gov.my

INTRODUCTION

In Malaysia in 2016, 6.0% (1.9 million) of a total population of 31.7 million were estimated to be elderly people.¹ By 2030, the proportion is expected to

increase to $>15\%$, according to the World Population Ageing Report 2015.² Population ageing is a challenge to the healthcare system.³ Ageing is associated with a progressive decrease in muscle mass and strength⁴ that may lead to disability, morbidity, and mortality.

Handgrip strength is a simple and good indicator of overall muscle strength.^{5,6} Elderly people with lower handgrip strength are more likely to have poorer self-reported general health and quality of life, as well as more falls and disability and impaired health-related quality of life (HRQOL).^{6,7} According to the American Society of Hand Therapists, the Jamar dynamometer is the gold standard for measuring handgrip strength,⁸ with good-to-excellent ($r>0.80$) test-retest reproducibility,⁹ and excellent ($r=0.98$) interrater reliability.¹⁰

HRQOL is defined as an individual's satisfaction or happiness with domains of life as far as they affect or are affected by health.¹¹ The Short Form-36 health survey (SF-36) is extensively used across all ages, widely translated, and sensitive to illness conditions.^{7,11}

There are limited studies investigating the association of muscle strength with HRQOL in elderly people. Early detection of decreased muscle strength enables proper intervention to prevent deterioration. This study aimed to determine the association of handgrip strength with HRQOL in Malaysian older people.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by the Malaysia Ministry of Health Medical Research Ethics Committee. Informed consent from each participant was obtained. This cross-sectional study was carried out at two government hospitals in the Kuching and Kota Samarahan regions. Those aged ≥ 60 years who attended the physiotherapy clinic at either hospital between September and November 2016 for a wide range of conditions were invited to participate. Those who had poor cognitive function (Mini-Mental State Examination score of <15) or were illiterate were excluded. Participants were interviewed by a trained research assistant using a case report form.

Handgrip strength was measured in kg using a Jamar Handgrip Dynamometer (Patterson Medical, UK). Patients were asked to sit on a chair with the shoulder adducted, elbow flexed at 90° , and middle fingertip resting on the inner handle of the hand dynamometer. Each hand was measured 3 times, and the highest measurement was used.

TABLE 1
Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of elderly patients

Variable	Male (n=87)*	Female (n=142)*
Mean \pm SD age, y	69.3 \pm 5.8	68.5 \pm 6.3
Age-group, y		
60-69	47 (54.0)	90 (63.4)
70-79	34 (39.1)	42 (29.6)
≥ 80	6 (6.9)	10 (7.0)
Ethnicity		
Malay	31 (35.6)	28 (19.7)
Chinese	40 (46.0)	100 (70.4)
Bumiputera Sarawak	11 (12.6)	10 (7.0)
Others	5 (5.7)	4 (2.8)
Education level		
Primary	29 (33.3)	40 (28.2)
Secondary	39 (44.8)	55 (38.7)
College/university	14 (16.1)	16 (11.3)
Never been to school	5 (5.7)	31 (21.8)
Marital status		
Single	5 (5.7)	12 (8.5)
Married	78 (89.7)	102 (71.8)
Widowed	4 (4.6)	28 (19.7)
Smoking history		
Yes	9 (10.3)	1 (0.7)
No	78 (89.7)	141 (99.3)
Alcohol history		
Yes	11 (12.6)	8 (5.6)
No	76 (87.4)	134 (94.4)
Diagnosis		
Cardiac	32 (36.8)	15 (10.6)
Stroke	10 (11.5)	20 (14.1)
low back pain	15 (17.2)	25 (17.6)
Osteoarthritis	14 (16.1)	44 (31.0)
Trigger finger	2 (2.3)	6 (4.2)
Fracture	4 (4.6)	6 (4.2)
Others	10 (11.5)	26 (18.3)
Body mass index		
Underweight	4 (6.3)	6 (5.5)
Normal	29 (46.0)	49 (44.5)
Overweight	22 (34.9)	40 (36.4)
Obese	8 (12.7)	15 (13.6)
Dyslipidaemia	48 (55.2)	79 (55.6)
Hypertension	51 (58.6)	88 (62.0)
Diabetes	34 (39.1)	36 (25.4)

* Data are presented as No. (%) of participants unless otherwise stated

The self-administered, bilingual SF-36 was used to assess HRQOL. It comprises the physical component summary and the mental component summary, with 36 items in 8 health domains: physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role emotional, and mental health. Total score ranges from 0 to 100; lower scores represent greater disability.

One-way analysis of variance was used to determine the association between patient characteristics and handgrip strength. Significant factors were further analysed using analysis of covariance. A post hoc test based on Fisher's least significant difference test was conducted to determine the significance in the pairing categories.

RESULTS

A total of 142 female and 87 male elderly patients participated (TABLE 1). The mean age was 68.8 years; 60%, 33%, and 7% were in the age-groups of 60-69 years, 70-79 years, and ≥ 80 years, respectively. The most common medical condition was osteoarthritis (25.3%), followed by cardiac problems (20.5%), low back pain (17.4%), others (15.7%), stroke (13.1%), fracture (4.4%), and trigger finger (3.5%). In males and females, the most common medical conditions were cardiac problems (36.8%) and osteoarthritis (31.0%), respectively.

Compared with females, males had better handgrip strength (24.46 vs. 15.25 kg, $p < 0.001$) and HRQOL in terms of SF-36 sub-scores of physical functioning (67.70 vs. 55.25, $p = 0.001$), role physical (58.19 vs. 46.57, $p = 0.003$), bodily pain (70.21 vs. 57.05, $p < 0.001$), general health (63.40 vs. 58.51, $p = 0.024$), and mental health (76.21 vs. 71.20, $p = 0.019$), as well as physical component summary score (45.34 vs. 40.39, $p < 0.001$) [TABLE 2].

In males, handgrip strength differed significantly in those with different medical conditions ($p = 0.026$, TABLE 3); handgrip strength was highest in those with cardiac problems and lowest in those with osteoarthritis. In females, higher handgrip strength was associated with younger age-group ($p < 0.001$), secondary education level ($p = 0.001$), and absence of diabetes ($p = 0.036$) in one-way analysis of variance (TABLE 3), but only age-group remained significant in analysis of covariance ($p = 0.003$, TABLE 4).

In males, handgrip strength was positively correlated with all domains of SF-36. In females, handgrip strength was positively correlated with domains of physical functioning, role physical, social functioning, and physical component summary (TABLE 5).

DISCUSSION

In our study, handgrip strength was moderately

TABLE 2
Comparison of health-related quality of life and handgrip strength between males and females

Variable	Male (n=87)*	Female (n=142)*	p Value (independent t-test)
SF-36 sub-score			
Physical functioning	67.70 \pm 27.22	55.25 \pm 25.10	0.001
Role physical	58.19 \pm 31.69	46.57 \pm 26.64	0.003
Social functioning	77.59 \pm 26.08	75.09 \pm 23.56	0.456
Vitality	64.73 \pm 16.97	60.62 \pm 17.74	0.085
Bodily pain	70.21 \pm 25.90	57.05 \pm 24.34	<0.001
General health	63.40 \pm 16.42	58.51 \pm 15.36	0.024
Role emotional	77.87 \pm 26.44	74.53 \pm 27.32	0.364
Mental health	76.21 \pm 15.42	71.20 \pm 15.68	0.019
Physical component summary	45.34 \pm 9.07	40.39 \pm 8.08	<0.001
Mental component summary	51.90 \pm 9.44	51.46 \pm 9.86	0.737
Handgrip strength, kg	24.46 \pm 7.79	15.25 \pm 4.70	<0.001

* Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation

TABLE 3
Handgrip strength in terms of patient characteristics and medical conditions

Variable	Male (n=87)		Female (n=142)	
	Mean±SD handgrip strength, kg	p Value	Mean±SD handgrip strength, kg	p Value
Age-group, y		0.285		<0.001
60-69	22.57±8.83		16.42±4.36	
70-79	22.81±1.11		13.87±4.59	
≥80	25.08±1.82		10.45±3.66	
Ethnicity		0.664		0.204
Malay	24.18±6.68		13.64±4.95	
Chinese	25.41±8.29		15.73±4.58	
Bumiputera Sarawak	23.00±10.16		15.45±4.91	
Others	21.80±4.15		14.00±4.32	
Education level		0.203		0.001
Primary	25.29±7.92		15.34±4.33	
Secondary	23.99±7.90		16.71±3.91	
College/university	26.32±6.35		15.13±5.96	
Never been to school	18.10±8.43		12.60±4.78	
Marital status		0.751		0.259
Single	23.20±8.32		16.83±4.20	
Married	24.67±7.83		15.34±4.51	
Widow/widower	22.00±7.83		14.23±5.45	
Smoking		0.783		0.558
Yes	23.78±6.74		18.00±0	
No	24.54±7.93		15.23±4.71	
Alcohol drinking		0.868		0.262
Yes	24.09±8.05		17.06±2.91	
No	24.51±7.80		15.14±4.77	
Medical condition		0.026*		0.411
Cardiac problems	27.98±6.13		16.67±4.52	
Stroke	20.50±10.70		13.70±5.16	
Low back pain	24.40±6.67		16.00±4.27	
Osteoarthritis	20.25±7.23		15.53±5.07	
Trigger finger	23.25±12.37		16.50±4.28	
Fracture	25.75±8.88		15.00±5.51	
Others	22.85±7.36		14.17±3.98	
Body mass index		0.757		0.168
Underweight	22.00±8.64		18.17±4.12	
Normal	23.60±7.86		14.80±4.24	
Overweight	25.36±8.05		16.39±4.36	
Obese	23.00±4.41		15.20±4.90	
Dyslipidemia		0.242		0.484
Yes	25.34±7.98		15.49±4.20	
No	23.37±7.50		14.94±5.27	
Hypertension		0.813		0.190
Yes	24.63±7.82		14.84±4.86	
No	24.22±7.85		15.91±4.38	
Diabetes		0.464		0.036
Yes	23.69±7.43		13.83±4.54	
No	24.95±8.04		15.73±4.70	

* Least significant difference multiple test comparison: cardiac problems> stroke (p=0.007) and cardiac problems > osteoarthritis (p=0.002)

correlated with HRQOL in terms of physical component summary in males ($r=0.455, p<0.001$) and females ($r=0.372, p<0.001$). This finding is similar to those of another study that showed lower handgrip strength to be associated with increased prevalence of poor role physical score in men and increased prevalence of poor physical functioning in women.⁷ A positive association between handgrip strength and HRQOL suggests that handgrip strength can be a predictor of HRQOL in elderly people with health problems.

Handgrip strength was significantly greater in men than in women and gradually decreased with

age. The maximum handgrip strength has been reported to be 28.8 kg and 18.9 kg respectively in healthy Malaysian elderly men and women.¹² In our elderly men and women with medical conditions, the maximum handgrip strength was 24.46 kg and 15.25 kg, which is 0.17 times weaker. Their medical conditions might have contributed to weaker handgrip strength. Patients with cardiac problems had stronger handgrip strength, whereas males with osteoarthritis and females with stroke had weakest handgrip strength. Different medical conditions contributed differently to handgrip strength ($p<0.05$) in males only; handgrip strength was higher in those with cardiac problems than with stroke ($p=0.007$) or

TABLE 4
Association of handgrip strength with age-group, education level, and presence of diabetes in female patients

Factors	Marginal means of handgrip strength, kg	p Value	Least significant difference multiple test comparison
Age-group, y		0.003	
60-69	15.49		1>2 (0.002)
70-79	13.74		1>3 (<0.001)
≥80	10.61		2>3 (0.025)
Education level		0.088	
Primary	13.69		
Secondary	14.48		
College/university	13.09		
Never been to school	11.86		
Diabetes		0.075	
Yes	12.53		
No	14.03		

TABLE 5
Correlation between handgrip strength and health-related quality of life

SF-36 domain	Male (n=87)		Female (n=142)	
	r	p Value	r	p Value
Physical functioning	0.484	<0.001	0.442	<0.001
Role physical	0.464	<0.001	0.341	<0.001
Social functioning	0.362	0.001	0.171	0.042
Vitality	0.244	0.023	0.123	0.144
Bodily pain	0.240	0.025	0.157	0.062
General health	0.254	0.018	0.067	0.427
Role emotional	0.321	0.002	0.144	0.087
Mental health	0.284	0.008	0.139	0.100
Physical component summary	0.455	<0.001	0.372	<0.001
Mental component summary	0.217	0.044	0.041	0.624

osteoarthritis ($p=0.002$).

Increasing age significantly decreased handgrip strength in women only ($p<0.001$) not men ($p=0.285$). This finding is consistent with studies that report a progressive decline in handgrip strength with age among elderly females,^{12,13} probably owing to lower body weight.¹⁴

One limitation of our study is the cross-sectional nature that cannot establish a causal relationship between handgrip strength and HRQOL. It is plausible that poor HRQOL could lead to inactivity and loss of muscle function. In addition, the sample size was small and the findings may not be generalisable to the entire population.

CONCLUSION

Lower handgrip strength is associated with poor HRQOL, particularly physical functioning, among Malaysian elderly patients with medical conditions. Handgrip strength can be used to determine the physical condition of elderly patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by a grant from the Secretariat, National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. We would like to thank the Director General of Health Malaysia for his permission to publish this article and Miss Chien Joo Lim for her assistance in paper submission. The authors would like to express gratitude to the head of Sarawak Physiotherapy Profession, Hj Ismail Bin Damit, and our research assistants, Khor Sze Min and Nuranisa, for their assistance.

DECLARATION

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Department of Statistics Malaysia (2016). Available from <http://www.dosm.gov.my>. Accessed 25 May 2017.
2. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. *World Population Ageing*. 2015.
3. Ambigga,KS, Ramli AS, Suthahar A, Tauhid H, Clearihan L, Browning C. Bridging the gap in ageing: translating policies into practice in Malaysian primary care. *Asia Pac Fam Med* 2011;10:2. [Crossref](#)
4. Giampaoli S, Ferrucci L, Cecchi F, et al. Hand-grip strength predicts incident disability in non-disabled older men. *Age Ageing* 1999;28:283-8. [Crossref](#)
5. Jeune B, Skyttte A, Cournil A, et al. Handgrip strength among nonagenarians and centenarians in three European regions. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006;61:707-12. [Crossref](#)
6. Roberts HC, Denison HJ, Martin HJ, et al. A review of the measurement of grip strength in clinical and epidemiological studies: towards a standardised approach. *Age Ageing* 2011;40:423-9. [Crossref](#)
7. Sayer AA, Syddall HE, Martin HJ, Dennison EM, Roberts HC, Cooper C. Is grip strength associated with health-related quality of life? Findings from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. *Age Ageing* 2006;35:409-15. [Crossref](#)
8. Fess EE. *Grip Strength*. 2nd Ed. Chicago: American Society of Hand Therapists; 1992.
9. Mathiowetz V, Weber K, Volland G, Kashman N. Reliability and validity of grip and pinch strength evaluations. *J Hand Surg Am* 1984;9:222-6. [Crossref](#)
10. Peolsson A, Hedlund R, Oberg B. Intra- and inter-tester reliability and reference values for hand strength. *J Rehabil Med* 2001;33:36-41. [Crossref](#)
11. Sararaks S, Azman AB, Low LL, et al. Validity and reliability of the SF-36: the Malaysian context. *Med J Malaysia* 2005;60:163-79.
12. Moy F, Chang E, Wee K. Predictors of handgrip strength among the free living elderly in rural Pahang, Malaysia. *Iran J Public Health* 2011;40:44-53.
13. van Lier AM, Payette H. Determinants of handgrip strength in free-living elderly at risk of malnutrition. *Disabil Rehabil* 2003;25:1181-6. [Crossref](#)
14. Alley DE, Shardell MD, Peters KW, et al. Grip strength cutpoints for the identification of clinically relevant weakness. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2014;69:559-66. [Crossref](#)